1	ENVIROMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT FROM RADIOACTIVITY AND HEAVY
2	METALS IN SOIL OF TOPLICA REGION, SOUTH SERBIA
3	Vladica Stevanović ^a , Ljiljana Gulan ^a , Biljana Milenković ^{b,1} , Aleksandar Valjarević ^a , Tijana
4	Zeremski ^c , Ivana Penjišević ^a
5	^a Faculty of Natural Science and Mathematics, University of Priština, Lole Ribara 29,
6	38220KosovskaMitrovica, Serbia
7	^b Faculty of Science, University of Kragujevac, Radoja Domanovića 12, 34000 Kragujevac, Serbia
8	^c Institute of Field & Vegetable Crops, Maksima Gorkog 30, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia
9	
10	Acknowledgement

11 This work was supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology Development of the Republic of

12 Serbia under the Projects III41028, 171021 and III40008.

Tel:+381 34336223; fax: +381 34335040

¹Corresponding author: Biljana Milenkovic

E-mail address: bmilenkovic@kg.ac.rs (B. Milenkovic)

14 ABSTRACT

15 Activity levels of natural and artificial radionuclides and content of ten heavy metals (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, 16 Pb, Zn and Hg) were investigated in 41 soil samples collected from Toplica region located in the south part of 17 Serbia. Radioactivity was determined by gamma spectrometry using HPGe detector. The obtained mean activity concentrations ± standard deviations of radionuclides ²²⁶Ra, ²³²Th,⁴⁰K and ¹³⁷Cs were 29.9±9.4, 36.6±11.5, 18 492±181and13.4±18.7Bq kg⁻¹, respectively. According to Shapiro-Wilk normality test, activity concentrations of 19 ²²⁶Ra and ²³²Th were consistent with normal distribution. External exposure from radioactivity was estimated 20 21 through dose and radiation risk assessments. Concentrations of heavy metals were measured by using ICP-OES and 22 their health risks were then determined. Enrichment by heavy metals and pollution level in soils were evaluated 23 using the enrichment factor (EF), the geoaccumulation index (I_{eeo}), pollution index (PI) and pollution load index 24 (PLI).Based on GIS approach the spatial distribution maps of radionuclides and heavy metal contents were made.Spearman correlation coefficient was used for correlation analysis between radionuclide activity 25 26 concentrations and heavy metal contents.

27 Keywords: radionuclides, heavy metals, spatial distribution, environmental risk,GIS

28

29

1. Introduction

30

31 Permanent background radiation arises from natural (terrestrial and cosmic) and anthropogenic sources (UNSCEAR 2008). Main contributors to natural radiation are terrestrial radionuclide⁴⁰K and radionuclides of the ²³⁸U and ²³²Th 32 33 series. The external exposure of population due to background radiation mainly coming up from soil. Since they 34 originated from various geological formations, knowledge about levels and spatial distribution of these radionuclides is substantially for possible risk assessment to gamma ray exposure. As well, fission product¹³⁷Cs is 35 36 very important anthropogenic radionuclide, which allows inclusion in geo-bio-chemical environmental cycles, since 37 it's half-life is relatively long (30.2 y). Therefore, for useful information of background radiation in an area is 38 necessary to investigate and follow up environment radioactivity.

39 The term heavy metal is often refers to the group of elements that have been associated with contamination, 40 toxicity and pollution. Similarly as radionuclides, heavy metals are natural or anthropogenic origin. The main 41 natural sources come from geological degradation, i.e. rock weathering and from thermal springs. Recent surveys 42 confirmed that the anthropogenic sources cause pollution effects through the various inputs: mining, metallurgical, 43 chemical and heavy industries (including their waste discharges) (Alijagić and Šajn 2011; Liang et al. 2017; 44 Ogundele et al. 2017; Pandey et al. 2014; Serbula et al. 2017; Stafilov et al. 2010; Yaylalı-Abanuz 2011), vehicle 45 emissions (Hu et al. 2013; Li et al. 2001; Ordóñez et al.2015; Shi et al. 2008; Wei et al. 2015) and agronomic 46 practices, such as organic and mineral fertilization, application of pesticides, etc.(Barać et al. 2016a; Esmaeili et al. 47 2014; Montagne et al. 2007; Nziguheba and Smolders 2007; Rodríguez et al. 2008).

Environmental pollution with persistent heavy metals can induce harmful effects to ground waters, agricultural production, food safety and consequently to human health, because soil is most important ecosystem for human survival and development. Therefore, determination of heavy metals content and its spatial distributions in soils could partially help in identifying, monitoring and assessment the potential source of pollution in an area.

Recent studies gave some data about environmental state of Serbia, but in various field of interest pointing out various sources of contamination and pollution of certain areas (Borgna et al. 2009; Barać et al. 2016b; Ćujić et al. 2017; Dragović et al. 2014; Gulan et al. 2013; Milenković et al. 2015; Momčilović et al. 2010; Serbula et al. 2014; Tanić et al. 2014, 2016).The earlier two studies in Southern Serbia were related to some other contaminated areas and they dealt with specific problems such as evaluation of the radioactivity and heavy metals in mining sites (Todorovic et al. 2012; Popovic et al. 2008).

58 Natural background radiation and radioactive emissions from nuclear facilities (Chernobyl, Fukushima) are the 59 matter of public concern. Cancer risk from low doses of ionizing radiation is still the focus of a long-standing 60 controversy in radiation protection (Körblein and Hoffmann 2006). The interest of the population about background 61 radiation levels and the potential implications on health started with the increasing risk of cancer incidence and 62 mortality in Serbia over the past years (Dimitrova et al. 2017; Durakovic 2001; Jia et al. 2005; Mihajlović et al. 63 2013; Slijepcevic et al. 2016).

64

65

2. Materials and methods

A study area covers Toplica region, situated between 42°52'-43°24' N and 20°56'-21°50' E in the South Serbia (Fig.1). According to the administrative regionalization Toplica region comprises four municipalities: Prokuplje, Kuršumlija, Blace and Žitoradja, where live90.600 inhabitants, according to data from the 2011 census. Toplica region occupies an area of 3055 km² (Maćejka 1999); it is limited by the river South Morava on the East, and by Mount Kopaonik on the West. Northern border of region formed mountains Veliki and Mali Jastrebac, while the southern boundary follows the highest parts of the mountains: Radan, Vidojevica and Pasjača. Average altitude of Toplica is 482 m, and percent of agricultural land is 10.9% according to data from 2012 (Valjarević et al. 2014).

Toplica region is very attractive in order to develop tourism, since three famous spas (Lukovska banja, Kuršumlijska banja and Prolom banja) belong to this region. Especially affirmation of tourism is Devil's Town, unique tall stones formation, which was nominated for "New seven wonders of nature" (Valjarević et al. 2015). Secondly, it is necessary to mention that growing interest of scientists, inhabitants and visitors attract archaeological excavations from the Neolithic period which are still ongoing. The first archaeological investigation in this area started in 1927 (Kuzmanović 2006). Toplica region is also important fruit growing and agricultural area in Serbia.

82 All abovementioned studies conducted in Serbia consider the environmental levels of radionuclides and various 83 heavy metals in order to outline areas of potential toxicity. Nevertheless, such studies have not been conducted so 84 far in Toplica region and therefore, the distributions of these elements in the natural and polluted soils of this 85 territory are unknown. For this reason, this study was aimed to provide a contribution to a data-base on the 86 radioactivity and heavy metal status, i.e. natural background of soil as basis for a wide variety of environmental 87 applications as well as an approach to assess the relationship between geochemistry and the health of ecosystems. 88 Also, the information of trace elements in the soil could be of great interest for agriculture (Wilcke et al. 1998) as 89 well as for management and land use planning.

90 Therefore, this is the first environmental assessment study for Toplica region carried out to find out 91 environmental state of radioactivity and heavy metals in soil and the potential risks to population health for both 92 residents and visitors. External exposure to radiation was evaluated through dose and risk assessments. To assess the 93 potential contamination by heavy metals in the soils enrichment factor (EF), the geoaccumulation index (I_{geo}), 94 pollution index (PI) and pollution load index (PLI) were determined.

96 <u>2.1.1 Geology</u>

97

98 The geological structure of the region consists of magmatic, sedimentary rocks, and metamorphic rocks of a 99 different age (from Precambrian to Quaternary). The Rhodopes are considered as the oldest mountains of the Balkan 100 Peninsula and Serbia; they are built of the archaic and Paleozoic crystalline schist, but also from the metamorphic 101 rocks. Since Rhodopes consist of old solid rocks, they have been only marginally affected by tangentiall tectonic 102 movements; opposite to them, newly mountain range of Dinaridi (Kopaonik) formed on the west from Rhodopes 103 (Dimitrijevic and Karamata1966).

104 The main types of crystalline schists are andesite, fine-grained gneisses, amphibolites, magmatites, leptonolits, 105 micaschists, quartzite, marble, amphibole schist, pegmatite and mica rocks. Andesite and fine-grained gneises 106 underlie all other rocks. To the Cambrian rocks belongs green shale and metamorphosed gabbro registered on the 107 mountain Jastrebac, but low metamorphosed rocks that belongs to Devon period have been discovered in tectonic 108 contacts of crystalline shale, serpentised peridotite and senonian sediments (Geological Atlas of Serbia 2002). From 109 the Mesozoic era, the oldest rocks are related to Middle Triassic and widespread northwest of Kursumlija. The rocks 110 formed during the Late Jurassic are positioned in the west of the region in the form of mass or elongated, but 111 discontinuous zones having the direction of the NNW-SSE are presented by basic and ultra basic metamorphites and 112 diabase-chert formation (Dimitrijevic and Karamata 1966). During the Tertiary's today's territory of Toplica region 113 has been affected by intense volcanic activity. The beginning of volcanic activity is related to the upper Oligocene. 114 There are some volcanic rocks on the west on the slopes of Kopaonik mountain. Also, there are three old volcanic 115 calderas: caldera Devil's Town, the Gaitan and Tularska caldera. The largest of them, Devil's Town caldera with a 116 diameter of 25 km belongs to the Toplica region (Jovanović 1972).

117

118 <u>2.1.2 Climatic</u>

119

Atlantic Ocean has a great influence on the climate of the region; western parts of the Toplica region receiving a
 significantly greater amount of rainfall (649.6 mm) in comparison to eastern parts (571.6 mm). The climate of the

- 122 region is also affected by continental and air mass from North Africa, bringing a warm and dry weather during the 123 summer. The mean annual air temperature is 11 °C, with a relative humidity of 75%.
- 124

125 2.2 Soil sampling and preparation

126

127 Systematic random sampling of undisturbed soil in Toplica region was carried out in April 2016. Forty-one samples 128 were taken from municipalities as follows: eight from Prokuplje, nineteen from Kuršumlija, eight from Blace and 129 six from Žitorađa. Global Positioning System (GPS, GARMIN eTrex 30x)was used for determining geographical 130 coordinates; sampling elevations ranged from 222-962 m. Soil samples were collected simultaneously for 131 radioactivity and heavy metal analysis, because both are hazardous and toxic elements; most of them are classified 132 as either "known" or "probable" human carcinogens according to United States Environmental Protection Agency 133 (U.S. EPA) and the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). The samples were taken up to 15 cm soil 134 depth applying the template method where each sample was composed from sub-samples taken from 1 m²squarearea with a stainless steel spade according to IAEA recommendations (IAEA 2004). When the stones and rest of 135 136 vegetation were removed, samples were packed to polyethylene bags and transported to laboratory; all samples were 137 prepared for analysis by air-drying to constant weight, and by homogenizing up to granulation less than 2mm.

138

139 2.3 Methods of determination radioactivity and heavy metal in soil

- 140
- 141 <u>2.3.1 Gamma spectrometry analysis</u>
- 142

Gamma spectrometry measurements of samples were performed 40 days after hermetically sealing in Marinelli beakers. Each of prepared soil samples were measured on HPGe detector (GEM30-70, ORTEC) in duration of 6h.Detector has relative efficiency of 30% and energy resolution of 1.85 keV FWHM for ⁶⁰Co at 1.33 MeV. Detector calibration was done using a calibration source of a Marinelli mixture by Chech Metrological Institute (type MBSS 2 containing eleven radionuclides: ²⁴¹Am, ¹⁰⁹Cd, ¹³⁹Ce, ⁵⁷Co, ⁶⁰Co, ¹³⁷Cs, ¹¹³Sn, ⁸⁵Sr, ⁸⁸Y, ²⁰³Hg and ¹⁵²Eu). In order to reduce the background, detector was protected by 10 cm lead. After background subtraction, activity concentration of radionuclides was determined considering the area of total absorption line, time of measurement, mass of sample, full energy peak efficiency and absolute intensity of transition (Gulan et al. 2017).
Gamma energy and intensity (yield) values for radionuclides or their progenies used in gamma spectrometry
analysis are presented in Table S1. The activities of ²²⁶Ra and ²³²Th were determined as a weighted average activity
obtained from gamma-ray lines of their decay products.

- 154
- 155
 - 5 <u>2.3.2 Determination of heavy metals content</u>
- 156

157 Total heavy metal concentrations in soil samples was determined by microwave assisted digestion in accordance to 158 the USEPA Method 3051A using Milestone Ethos 1 microwave sample preparation system. Briefly, 0.5 g of dried 159 and ground soil samples were measured into vessels equipped with controlled pressure relief mechanism. 9 ml of 160 concentrated nitric acid and 1 ml of concentrated hydrochloric acid were added subsequently into the vessels. 161 Vessels were sealed and placed in the microwave system. The temperature of the samples was risen to 180 °C in 10 162 minutes and remained at 180°C for 15 minutes. At the end of the microwave program, the vessels were allowed to 163 cool before being uncapped. After uncapping, samples were filtered and quantitatively transferred in 50 ml flasks 164 and diluted with deionized water.

Analysis was subsequently performed using ICP-OES (Varian Vista Pro-axial). Quality control was periodically carried out with IRMM BCR reference materials CRM-141R and CRM-142R. Recoveries were within $\pm 10\%$ of the certified values. Wavelengths used for analysis, method detection limits as well as certificated reference materials recoveries are given in Table S2.

All reagents were analytical grade or better and blank samples were included in each extraction procedure. Allcalibration standards were prepared in the same acid matrix used for soil samples.

The samples were analyzed for total mercury content using Direct Mercury Analyzer DMA 80 Milestone, which combines techniques of thermal decomposition, catalytic conversion, amalgamation and atomic absorption spectrophotometry ($\lambda = 253.65$ nm) in solid soil samples in accordance with US EPA Method 7473. The limit of detection for total mercury content was 0.0033 mg kg⁻¹. Quality control was periodically carried out with IRMM BCR reference materials 143R and deviations were within ±5 % of the certified values.

176

177 <u>2.3.3 GIS analysis</u>

179	GIS (Geographical Information System) and modeling of data is a very powerful tool for calculating and describing
180	some properties of environmental data in an area. GIS software QGIS (Quantum Geographical Information System)
181	and SAGA (System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses), with tools for geo-spatial calculations (Bíl et al.
182	2012;Frechtling 1999; Wu and Chen 2016) were used for representing activity concentration of radionuclides and
183	heavy metal contents in analyzed soils. Territory of Toplica region with borders of four municipalities was cropped
184	for future manipulating of vectorzed data in GIS. Raster data for heavy metals and radionuclides were geo-
185	referenced and all positions (sampling locations) were digitalized in QGIS. Ordinary kriging method was employed
186	through QGIS and SAGA (GIS) of Spatial Analyst. The priority is given to ordinary kriging and semi-ordinary
187	kriging, since it includes autocorrelation (statistical relationship) between the measured points, although there are a
188	few other methods. Accordingly, the weights are based not only on the distance between the measured points and
189	the prediction of location, but also on their overall spatial arrangement. It also, minimizes the variance of the error of
190	estimation.
191	
192	2.4 Radiation dose and risk assessment
193	
194	2.4.1 Radiation dose assessment
195	
196	By using the A_{Ra} , A_{Th} and A_K , as the activity concentrations of ²²⁶ Ra, ²³² Th and ⁴⁰ K in soil, respectively (hereinafter)
197	and dose coefficients recommended by UNSCEAR (UNSCEAR 2008), the absorbed dose rates \dot{D} (nGy h ⁻¹) in the
198	air due to natural radionuclideswere computed according the following formula:
199	
200	$\dot{D} = 0.462 \cdot A_{Ra} + 0.604 \cdot A_{Th} + 0.0417 \cdot A_K \tag{1}$
201	
202	The calculated values of \dot{D} (nGy h ⁻¹) were converted to effective doses D_E (μ Sv y ⁻¹) by multiplying with 0.7 Sv Gy ⁻¹
203	(conversion coefficient) and 1750 h (annual time for exposure outdoors), as follows:
204	
205	$D_E = 1.226 \cdot \dot{D} \tag{2}$

The absorbed dose rate due to presence of artificial radionuclide ¹³⁷Cs in soil was computed using dose rate per unit 206 of ¹³⁷Cs activity concentration of 0.03 nGy h⁻¹(Bq kg⁻¹)⁻¹ (Nenadović et al. 2011). 207 208 Since gonads are reproductive organs sensitive to radiation, the calculation of annual gonadal dose equivalent G $(\mu Sv y^{-1})$ was done using abovementioned activity concentrations of radionuclides A_{Ra}, A_{Th}, A_K , according to 209 210 formula: $G = 3.09 \cdot A_{Rq} + 4.18 \cdot A_{Th} + 0.314 \cdot A_{K}$ 211 (3) 212 213 2.4.2 Radiation risk assessment 214 Using the D_E (µSv) and life expectancy LE (estimated to 70 y), excess lifetime cancer risk ELCR was calculated 215 216 according to following formula (Taskin et al. 2009): 217 $ELCR = D_E \cdot LE \cdot RF$ 218 (4)219 where fatal cancer risk per Sievert, RF amounts $5.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$ Sv⁻¹ for stochastic effects of radiation to the whole 220 221 population (ICRP 2007). 222 Since natural radionuclides are not uniformly distributed in soil environment, radium equivalent activity Ra_{ea} 223 was introduced to represents a weighted sum of A_{Ra} , A_{Th} and A_K . Presuming that activity concentrations of these 224 radionuclides produce the same dose rates, Ra_{eq} was calculated according to formula (Huy and Luyen 2008): 225 $Ra_{eg} = A_{Ra} + 1.43 \cdot A_{Th} + 0.077 \cdot A_{K} \quad (5)$ 226 227 For estimation gamma radiation hazard associated with the natural radionuclide, representative gamma index 228 $I_{\gamma r}$ was used according to equation: $I_{\gamma r} = \frac{A_{Ra}}{150\frac{Bq}{kg}} + \frac{A_{Th}}{100\frac{Bq}{kg}} + \frac{A_K}{1500\frac{Bq}{kg}} \le 1$ 229 (6) A widely used external hazard index H_{ex} is a modified quantity of Ra_{eq} (H_{ex} equal to unity corresponds to Ra_{eq}) 230 231 of 370 Bq kg⁻¹).It is a useful norm for safety standard regulation in radiation protection and it calculates by 232 following equation (Beretka and Mathew 1985; Papastefanou et al. 2005):

$$H_{ex} = \frac{A_{Ra}}{370} + \frac{A_{Th}}{259} + \frac{A_K}{4810} \tag{7}$$

235

2.5 Enrichment and pollution assessment

236

Enrichment factors (EFs) were estimated to rate the possible anthropogenic contamination caused by heavy metals
in soils. EF was determined as the concentration ratio of an examined metal to a reference metal in each sample,
divided by the concentration ratio of their background values based on equation:

240
$$EF = \frac{(C_i/C_{ref})_{sample}}{(B_i/B_{ref})_{background}}$$
(8)

where C_i is the measured concentration of the ith heavy metal (mg kg⁻¹), C_{ref} is the measured concentration of where 241 C_i is the measured concentration of the ith heavy metal (mg kg⁻¹), C_{ref} is the measured concentration of reference 242 metal for normalization (mg kg⁻¹), B_i is the background value of European concentrations (mg kg⁻¹) and B_{ref} is 243 244 background concentration of the reference metal of the soil in the same region (Salminen et al. 2005). Metals such as 245 Al, Fe, Sc, Mn and Ti were commonly used as reference metals (Szolnoki et al. 2013). In this study Mn was tested 246 as geochemical normalizer, because of its relatively high concentration and stability in the crust (Tasdemir and 247 Kural 2005). To assess the degree of metal pollution, the EF of each element was calculated and classified into 5 contamination categories: EF < 2, minimal enrichment; $2 \le EF < 5$, moderate enrichment; $5 \le EF < 20$, significant 248 249 enrichment; $20 \le EF < 40$, very high enrichment and $EF \ge 40$, extremely high enrichment (Sutherland 2000).

The geoaccumulation index (I_{geo}), pollution index (PI) and pollution load index (PLI) were determined to estimate the pollution level of heavy metal. The geoaccumulation index (I_{geo}) was proposed by Muller (1969) to assess the degree of heavy metal contamination in the soils. It was calculated according to the equation:

253

254

$$I_{geo} = \log_2 \left[\frac{c_i}{1.5B_i} \right] \tag{9}$$

According to contamination degree, the I_{geo} is classified into six classes as follow: $I_{geo} < 0$, practically uncontaminated (Class 0); $0 < I_{geo} < 1$, uncontaminated to moderately contaminated (Class 1); $1 < I_{geo} < 2$, moderately contaminated (Class 2); $2 < I_{geo} < 3$, moderately to heavily contaminated (Class 3); $3 < I_{geo} < 4$, heavily contaminated 258 (Class 4); $4 < I_{geo} < 5$, heavily to extremely contaminated (Class 5); $I_{geo} > 5$, extremely contaminated (Class 6) (Wei 259 and Yang 2010).

The pollution index (PI) was calculated as the ratio of concentration of each metal in the soil sample to the background value. The obtained PI was classified as low (PI \leq 1), middle (1 \leq PI \leq 3) or high (PI > 3) (Chen et al. 2005).

The pollution load index (PLI) was determined to give an estimation of the pollution level for the entire samplinglocation.

$$PLI = (PI_1 \times PI_2 \times PI_3 \times \dots \times PI_n)^{1/n}$$
⁽¹⁰⁾

According to value of the PLI, soils can be classified as unpolluted (< 1), unpolluted to moderately polluted (1– 2), moderately polluted (2–3), moderately to highly polluted (3–4), highly polluted (4–5) or very highly polluted (>5) (Chen et al. 2015).

269

270

- 2.6 Health risk assessment from heavy metals
- 271

Health risk assessment of heavy metals in soil was used to quantify non-carcinogenic risk to population using the hazard quotient (HQ) and the hazard index (HI). US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA 2001) developed health risk assessment model used in this study. Human beings are exposed to soil heavy metals through three pathways: ingestion, air inhalation and dermal contact. Theaverage daily doses (ADDs) from these tree main paths are obtained using equations

277
$$ADD_{ing} = C \times \frac{IngR \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT} \times 10^{-6}$$
(11)

$$ADD_{in} = C \times \frac{InhR \times EF \times ED}{PEF \times BW \times AT}$$
(12)

279
$$ADD_{dermal} = C \times \frac{SA \times AF \times ABS \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT} \times 10^{-6}$$
(13)

where ADD_{ing} , ADD_{inh} and ADD_{dermal} are the average daily intake from soil ingestion, inhalation and dermal absorption in mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹; *C* is the concentration of metal in soil (mg kg⁻¹); *IngR* and *InhR* are the ingestion and inhalation rate of soil, respectively (mg day⁻¹, m³ day⁻¹); EF is the exposure frequency (day year⁻¹); ED is exposure duration (year); BW is the body weight of exposed individual (kg); AT is the averaging time (day); PEF is the
emission factor (m³ kg⁻¹); SA is the surface area of the exposed skin (cm²); AF is the adherence factor (mg cm⁻² day⁻¹); ABS is the dermal absorption factor (unitless). Data for all these parameters for children (aged 1–17) and adults
(aged 18–) are presented in Table S3 (Qing et al. 2015; Haribala et al. 2016).

The calculated average daily doses for each metal and exposure pathway are divided by the reference dose (RfD) to give a non-cancer risk or hazard quotient (HQ). Assessment of the health risk of various exposure pathways was done using the sum of HQs, well known as the hazard index (HI). The HI is calculated as follow:

$$HI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} HQ_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{ADD}{RfD_i}$$
(14)

where *i* correspond to the *i*th element. The value of HI < 1 suggests that harmful health effects are uncertain and the risk increases as HI increases. If HI > 1 there is concern for chronic effects.

- 293
- **3.** Results and discussion
- 295

296 3.1 Environmental risk assessment of radioactivity

297

Spatial distributions of radionuclides ²²⁶Ra, ²³²Th, ⁴⁰K and ¹³⁷Cs based on GIS approach are presented in Fig. 2a-298 d, respectively. According to data in Table S4and Figures 2a-b, the uneven, but quite similar spatial distributions of 299 radionuclides ²²⁶Ra and ²³²This evident; it confirms their common origin and occurrence in nature. High values of 300 301 these radionuclides noticed in the North-West parts of Toplica region, correspond to above-mentioned intrusion of 302 volcanic rocks on the slopes of Kopaonik mountain. For this reason, considering the same origin, the spatial distribution of radionuclide⁴⁰K is similar to the other natural radionuclides ²²⁶Ra and ²³²Th. The distribution of ¹³⁷Cs 303 304 is skewed which is typical for anthropogenic contamination. An explanation of inhomogeneous sradiocaesium distribution could be different dispersion pattern of ¹³⁷Cs released after Chernobyl accident. However, according to 305 Figures 2c-d, the spatial distributions of radionuclides ⁴⁰K and ¹³⁷Cs seem to be the opposite, which can be explained 306 307 by the slow migration of caesium in potassium-rich soils (Van der Stricht and Kirchmann 2001) and through different soil types (Sohlenius et al. 2013). Besides, obtained low values of ¹³⁷Cs at higher altitudes could be 308 309 influenced by enhanced soil erosion (Mitrović et al. 2016); they can be related to the fact that surface soils are

subjected to the "wash of" effect (Gulan et al. 2013)and the type of vegetation (Zhiyanski et al. 2008). It could be concluded that the highest values of ¹³⁷Cs activity concentrations correspond to locations with decomposed plant materials.

313 Shapiro-Wilk's normality test performed using SPSS 20.0 software was found that activity concentrations of ²²⁶Ra and ²³²Th were normally distributed. Descriptive statistics of determined activity concentrations of 314 radionuclides are presented in Table 1. The worldwide average concentrations of radionuclides in soil (UNSCEAR 315 2008) are given as follows: 32,45 and 412 Bq kg⁻¹ for ²²⁶Ra, ²³²Th and ⁴⁰K, respectively. The mean values of 316 measured specific activity for ²²⁶Ra (29.9Bq kg⁻¹) and ²³²Th (36.6 Bq kg⁻¹) are lower than the worldwide average 317 values. There is a great variation $(7-1053 \text{ Bg kg}^{-1})$ in the values of specific activities of 40 K and the mean value (492) 318 Bq kg⁻¹)is higher than the worldwide average. The lowest values of radionuclide's activities were measured in 319 320 location of Devil's Town; this sample is very interesting, since it was sampled near spring of acidic water (pH=3.5) (Stevanović 2005). Mean relative ratio ²²⁶Ra/²³²Th, ²²⁶Ra/⁴⁰K and ²³²Th/⁴⁰K was 0.82, 0.06 and 0.07, respectively. 321

It is notable from Table 4 that levels of natural radionuclides are similar to data obtained from studies previously conducted in Serbia, with exception to the higher values of a mountain area, Kopaonik (granodiorite massif). Radionuclide ¹³⁷Cs is very inhomogeneously distributed all over Serbia due to its anthropogenic origin. Results are comparable with more recent studies conducted in Belgrade (Janković-Mandić et al.2014) and Stara Planina (Vranjes et al. 2016) confirming presence of ¹³⁷Cs in the environment but with decreasing tendency.

327 Descriptive statistics of results were shown in Table1; the values of \dot{D} varied from 2.4–99.8 nGy h⁻¹ with a mean 328 value of 56.4 nGy h⁻¹. An average contribution of particular radionuclide to total dose rate amounted 25.5% (range 329 17–64%) for ²²⁶Ra, 38.7% (range 23–44%) for ²³²Th and 35.8% (range 13–47%) for ⁴⁰K.

330 The mean value of 69.2 μ Sv y⁻¹ for D_E is very close to worldwide average (66 μ Sv y⁻¹) (UNSCEAR 2008) for 331 external exposure to natural terrestrial radiation.

332 It was calculated that annual effective dose from ¹³⁷Cs, $D_{ECs}(\mu Sv y^{-1})$ varied from 0-3.1 $\mu Sv y^{-1}$ (mean 0.5 $\mu Sv y^{-3}$ 333 ¹). Therefore, a contribution to effective dose from ¹³⁷Cs in soil is negligible in comparison to the same one from 334 natural radionuclides, since it amounted in average 0.8% (a maximum value was 5%).

The values of annual gonadal dose equivalent varied from $16.2-712.3\mu Sv y^{-1}$; a mean value was calculated to be 400 $\mu Sv y^{-1}$. As the organs of interest, UNSCEAR consider the activity of bone marrow and bone surface cells when estimating dose equivalent (UNSCEAR 1988).

338	The values of <i>ELCR</i> ranged from $0.11 \cdot 10^{-4}$ – $4.71 \cdot 10^{-4}$ (Table 1); a mean value of $2.66 \cdot 10^{-4}$ is slightly higher than
339	worldwide mean of $2.54 \cdot 10^{-4}$.
340	The maximum calculated value of 209.4Bq kg ⁻¹ is lower than the recommended value of 370 Bq kg ⁻¹ (ICRP
341	1990).
342	The calculated mean value of $I_{\gamma r}$ was 0.89, but 13 locations have value over 1.
343	The radiation hazard is insignificant if H_{ex} is less than one. Since the maximum calculated value was 0.56, the
344	criterion was satisfied.
345	
346	3.2Environmental risk assessment from heavy metals
347	
348	As a natural constituents of soils heavy metals concentration varies depending on parental materials. Also, as a
349	consequence of human activities such as distribution of fertilizers, pesticides, industries, waste disposal and air
350	pollution concentration of heavy metal in soils was increased. Spatial distributions of measured heavy metal
351	concentrations are presented in Fig. 3a-j.
352	The average value of Cr in Toplica region is comparable to previously measured values in urban areasof Serbia
353	(Gulan et al. 2017; Milenković et al. 2015; Dugalic et al., 2010), and it is higher than that in the industrial area (Table
354	4). The average values of heavy metals: Cr, Cu, Mn and Zn obtained in this study are in the range of results reported
355	for urban parks in Belgrade (Kuzmanoski et al. 2014) and are comparable with values from other areas in Serbia.
356	Average Mn content in Serbia is higher than in European countries, while elevated Ni occurs in soils formed of
357	ultrabasic or basic rocks (Pavlović et al. 2017) which are mainly located in areas of Western Serbia (Dragović et al.
358	2008; Dugalic et al. 2010). It can be seen from Table S4 that more than half of sampling locations have Ni
359	concentrations above the maximum allowable (50mgkg ⁻¹).Concentrations of Cu are significantly higher only in
360	vicinity of cooper smelting plant (Nikolić et al. 2011) and concentrations of Pb and Zn are higher nearby Pb-Zn mine
361	(Gulan et al. 2013). The concentrations of dangerous and harmful elements As, Cd and Hg in Toplica region are

measured in urban area (Crnković et al.2006; Milenković et al. 2015; Papić and Vuković 2015). Three locations on
the eastern slopes of the mountain Kopaonik (NW Toplica region, Fig.1) have elevated values of As which
correspond to naturally acidic forest soils (Pavlović et al. 2017). According to the Water Management Plan of the

362

below the maximum allowable concentrations (25, 3 and 2 mgkg⁻¹, respectively), but As is slightly higher than those

Republic of Serbia, this position is marked for construction of the storage reservoir "Selova" on the river Toplica(Kostadinov et al. 2008).

368 Heavy metals enrichment factors calculated relative to background value (Mn was taken as the reference 369 element) are presented in Table 2. The EFs of As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn and Hg were in the range of 0.16-370 244.5; 0.04-44.11; 0.19-4.83; 0.42-12.02; 0.71-87.8; 0.35-35.05; 0.50-51.31, 0.43-70.03 and 0.34-5.54, respectively. 371 The mean EF values of Cd, Co and Hg less than 2 indicate that the metal derived completely from natural processes. 372 Metal enrichments were found in the next order As>Cu>Ni>Pb>Zn>Cr. The values for Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb and Zn 373 showed moderate enrichment suggesting anthropogenic impact on environment (Zhang and Liu 2002). With the 374 highest mean EF value of 7.3, As showed significant enrichment. According to mean values of EFs the soils in this 375 study were moderately affected by human activities. The EFs alone cannot precisely identify sources of analyzed 376 heavy metals in soils but they are useful to speculate on their anthropogenic or lithogenic origin. Reimann and de 377 Caritat (2005) reported that EFs are influenced by a number of factors and contamination is just one of them. In 378 differ to the enrichment factor I_{eeo} and PI were calculated to evaluate the pollution level of heavy metal.

The calculated values of I_{geo} are shown in Table 2. The range values of I_{geo} for heavy metals were: -3.33 to 3.68 for As, -4.2 to 1.11 for Cd, -3.72 to 3.28 for Co, -2.62 to 4.72 for Cr, -0.1 to 2.35 for Cu, -4.99 to 1.13 for Mn, -6.48 to 6.27 for Ni, -1.3 to 3.3 for Pb, -0.43 to 2.64 for Zn and -4.35 to 3.12 for Hg. The mean I_{geo} of Cd showed that study soils were practically uncontaminated. Calculated I_{geo} values for As, Co, Mn, Pb, Zn and Hg indicate uncontaminated to moderately contaminated soils while I_{geo} values for Cd, Cr and Ni indicate moderately contaminated soils.

The mean values of PI are given in Table 2. The ranges of PI values were as follows: As (0.15-19.27), Cd (0.08-3.24), Co (0.11-14.54), Cr (0.24-39.58), Cu (1.40-7.67), Mn (0.05-3.29), Ni (0.02-115.43), Pb (0.61-14.77), Zn (1.11-9.32) and Hg (0.60-6.46). The mean PI value for all investigated elements (except for Cd) was higher than 1 which indicates that the investigated soils are contaminated by heavy metals.

389 The PLI in all soil samples varied from 0.60 to 6.46 with the average of 2.37. This result indicating that the 390 investigated area was moderately polluted by the heavy metals.

391

392 3.3 Health risk assessment from heavy metals

The results of the average daily doses via different pathways are listed in Table 2.RfD (mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹) is the
maximum daily dose of a metal from a particular exposure pathway for human population during a lifetime, Table 3.
The results of HQs and HI by above mentioned metals in soils for adults and children via different pathways are
shown in Table 3. The various exposure pathways of metals for adults and children increased in the order inhalation
< dermal contact < ingestion. The contributions of HQ_{ing} to HI were 97.1 and 84.2 % for children and adults
proposing that ingestion was main exposure pathway. This result was comparable with other authors (Chabukdhara
and Nema 2013; Wei et al. 2015)

The calculated HI values for children and adults decreased in the following order: As > Cr >Pb> Ni > Cu > Hg >
Zn> Cd, Table 3. The total HI values were 0.73 and 0.18 for children and adults, respectively. According to USEPA
guidelines only values greater than 1 indicate that population may experience non-carcinogenic effects (USEPA
2001). Children have a greater tendency than adults because of intense body growth and their behavior.

In the case of non-cancerogenic risk HI values of As are higher than others but still below 1 and there is no possibility of adverse health effect. Arsenic cancerogenic risk was calculated in the study (Tepanosyan et al. 2017) where it has a defined cancer slope factor.

408

409 3.4 Correlation analysis

Spearman correlation coefficients between heavy metals and radionuclides were presented in Table S5. The obtained coefficients were performed using SPSS 20.0 software. The presented Spearman matrix has shown various levels of correlation. The coefficient of 0.804 between activity concentrations of ²²⁶Ra and ²³²Th implies strong positive correlation ($p \le 0.01$). This result is in agreement with our previously published results (Gulan et al. 2017; Milenkovic et al. 2015). Due to fact that²²⁶Ra and ²³²Th have similar behavior throughout their transport this was expected (Chandrasekaran et al. 2015).

Strong positive correlations at the 0.01 significance level among pairs of As-Cd, As-Pb, Cd-Pb, Cd-Zn, Cu-Zn,
Cr- Ni; Co is also strong positive correlated with Cr, Mn and Ni; as well as Pb with Zn and Hg are evident from
Table S5. This indicates their geogenic association and geochemical affinities in soils.

419

420 **4.** Conclusion

422 This study was performed to assess environmental risk of radioactivity and heavy metals. The activity 423 concentrations of ²²⁶Ra, ²³²Th, ⁴⁰K, ¹³⁷Cs and the concentrations of metals (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb, Zn and 424 Hg) in soil samples from Toplica region were obtained using HPGe gamma ray spectrometry and ICP-OES analysis 425 technique, respectively.

The mean values of measured specific activity for 226 Ra (29.9 Bq kg⁻¹) and 232 Th (36.6 Bq kg⁻¹) are lower than the worldwide average values. There is a great variation (7-1053 Bq kg⁻¹) in the values of specific activities of 40 K and the mean value of 492 Bq kg⁻¹ is higher than the worldwide average. Dose assessment and radiological risk assessment indicate that there was no significant risk for population of Toplica region.

The calculated enrichment factors (EFs) showed moderate metal enrichment in the following order
As>Cu>Ni>Pb>Zn>Cr. With the highest EF value of 7.3, As showed significant enrichment. According to mean
values of EFs the soils in this study were moderately affected by human activities.

The pollution load index (PLI) was determined to give an estimation of the pollution level for the entire sampling location. The PLI in all soil samples varied from 0.60 to 6.46 with the average of 2.37. This result indicating that the investigated area was moderately polluted by the heavy metals.

The health risk assessment of heavy metals in soil was used to quantify non-carcinogenic risk to population using the hazard quotient (HQ) and the hazard index (HI). The total HI values were 0.73 and 0.18 for children and adults, respectively. According to USEPA guidelines only values greater than 1 indicate that population may experience non-carcinogenic effects. The various exposure pathways of metals for adults and children increased in the order inhalation < dermal contact < ingestion.

441 Correlations between heavy metals and radionuclides were calculated by Spearman correlation coefficient.
 442 Strong positive correlation between radionuclides ²²⁶Ra and ²³²Th was observed.

This study presents the baseline information on the natural and artificial radioactivity and heavy metal contents in the investigated area. Toplica region is well known for its thermal spas. The obtained data not only can be used as a reference data for pollution monitoring but also can serve as a reference for further investigations of radon in spas and estimation of dose from inhalation. The study also provides a base for the local authority for further long-term monitoring of any anthropogenic contamination either of radioactivity or heavy metals.

Λ	Λ	q
-	-	_

450 References

452 Alijagić J,Šajn R(2011) Distribution of chemical elements in an old metallurgical area, Zenica (Bosnia and 453 Herzegovina). Geoderma 162:71–85. 454 Barać N, Škrivanj S, Mutić J, Manojlović D, Bukumirić Z, Živojinović D, Petrović R, Ćorac A(2016a) Heavy 455 456 metals fractionation in agricultural soils of Pb/Zn mining region and their transfer to selected vegetables. Water Air 457 Soil Pollut 227:481-494. 458 459 Barać N, Škrivanj S, Bukumirić Z, Živojinović D, Manojlović D, Barać M, Petrović R, Ćorac A(2016b) Distribution 460 and mobility of heavy elements in floodplain agricultural soils along the Ibar River (Southern Serbia and Northern 461 Kosovo). Chemometric investigation of pollutant sources and ecological risk assessment. Environ SciPollut R 462 23:9000-9011. 463 464 Bertka J, Mathew PJ (1985) Natural radioactivity of Australian building materials, industrial wastes and by-465 products. Health Physics 48:87-95. 466 467 Bikit I, Slivka J, ConkicLj, Krmar M, Veskovic M, Zikic-Todorovic N, Varga E, Curcic S, Mrdja D (2005) 468 Radioactivity of the soil in Vojvodina (Northern province of Serbia and Montenegro). J Environ Radioact 78:11–19. 469 470 Bíl M, Bílová M, Kubeček J(2012) Unified GIS database on cycle tourism infrastructure. Tour Manage 33:1554-471 1561. 472 473 Borgna L, Di Lella LA, Nannoni F, Pisani A, Pizzetti E, Protano G, Riccobono F, Rossi S(2009) The high contents 474 of lead in soils of northern Kosovo. J GeochemExplor 101:137-146. 475

476	Chabukdhara M	M, Nema A (2013)	Heavy metals	assessment in	n urban soil	around	industrial	clusters in	Ghaziabad,
477	India: probabilis	stic health risk appro	oach. Ecotoxic	col Environ Sa	fe 87:57–64	ŀ.			

Chandrasekaran A, Ravisankar R, Rajalakshmi A, Eswaran P, Vijayagopal P, Venkatraman B(2015) Assessment of
natural radioactivity and function of minerals in soils of Yelagiri hills, Tamilnadu, India by Gamma Ray
spectroscopic and Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) techniques with statistical approach. Spectrochim Acta A
136:1734–1744.

- 483
- Chen H, Teng Y, Lu S, Wang Y, Wang J (2015) Contamination features and health risk of soil heavy metals in
 China. Sci Total Environ 512–513:143–153.
- 486
- 487 Chen TB, Zheng YM, Lei M, Huang ZC, Wu HT, Chen H et al.(2005) Assessment of heavy metal pollution in
 488 surface soils of urban parks in Beijing, China. Chemosphere 60:542-551.
- 489
- 490 Crnković D, Ristić M, Antonović D (2006) Distribution of heavy metals and arsenic in soils of Belgrade (Serbia and
 491 Montenegro). Soil Sediment Contam 15:581–589.
- 492
- 493 Ćujić M, Dragović S, Đorđević M, Dragović R, Gajić B(2017) Reprint of "Environmental assessment of heavy
 494 metals around the largest coal fired power plant in Serbia". Catena 148:26–34.
- 495
- 496 Dimitrijevic M, Karamata S (1966) An overview of Kopaonik granodiorite massif. Records of Serbian Geological
 497 Society from 1964-67. Belgrade (In Serbian)
- 498
- 499 Dimitrova N, Znaor A, Agius D, Eser S, Sekerija M, RyzhovA, Primic-Zakelj M, Coebergh JW et al. (2017) Breast
- 500 cancer in South-Eastern European countries since 2000: Rising incidence and decreasing mortality at young and
- 501 middle ages.Eur J Cancer 83:43-55.
- 502

503	Dragović R, Gajić B, Dragović S, Đorđević M, Đorđević M, Mihailović N, Onjia A(2014) Assessment of the impact
504	of geographical factors on the spatial distribution of heavy metals in soils around the steel production facility in
505	Smederevo (Serbia). J Clean Prod 84:550-562.
506	
507	Dragović S, Mihailović N, Gajić B (2008) Heavy metals in soils: Distribution, relationship with soil characteristics
508	and radionuclides and multivariate assessment of contamination sources. Chemosphere 72:491-495.
509	
510	Dugalic G, Krstic D, Jelic M, Nikezic D, Milenkovic B, Pucarevic M, Zeremski-Skoric T(2010) Heavy metals,
511	organics and radioactivity in soil of western Serbia. J Hazard Mater 177:697–702.
512	
513	Duraković A(2001) On depleted uranium: gulf war and Balkan syndrome. Croat Med J 42:130–134.
514	
515	Esmaeili A, Moore F, Keshavarzi B, Jaafarzadeh N, Kermani M(2014) A geochemical survey of heavy metals in
516	agricultural and background soils of the Isfahan industrial zone, Iran. Catena 121:88–98.
517	
518	Geological Atlas of Serbia, 2002, second ed. Ministry of Environment and Spatial Planning Republic of Serbia,
519	Belgrade.
520	
521	GulanLj, Milenkovic B, Stajic JM, Vuckovic B, Krstic D, Zeremski T, Ninkov J(2013) Correlation between
522	radioactivity levels and heavy metal content in the soils of the North KosovskaMitrovica environment.Environ
523	SciPollut R 15:1735-1742.
524	
525	Gulan L, Milenkovic B, Zeremski T, Milic G, Vuckovic B(2017) Persistent organic pollutants, heavy metals and
526	radioactivity in the urban soil of Pristina City, Kosovo and Metohija. Chemosphere 171:415-426.
527	
528	Haribala, Bitao Hu, Chengguo Wang, Gerilemandahu, Xiao Xu, Shuai Zhang, ShanhuBao, Yuhong Li (2016)
529	Assessment of radioactive materials and heavy metals in the surface soil around uranium mining area of Tongliao,
530	China.Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 130:185-192.

Е	2	1
- D	3	т

532	Hu Y, Liu X, Bai J, Shih C, Zeng EY, Cheng H(2013) Assessing heavy metal pollution and soils the surface of a
533	region that had undergone three decades of intense industrialization and urbanization. Environ SciPollut R 20:6150-
534	6159.
535	
536	Huy NQ, Luyen TV(2006) Study on external doses from terrestrial radioactivity in southern Vietnam.
537	RadiatProtDosim 118:331–336.
538	
539	ICRP(2007) The Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection. ICRP Publication
540	103, Pergamon, Oxford, UK.
541	
542	Janković-MandićLj,Dragović S (2010) Assessment of terrestrial gamma exposure to the population of Belgrade
543	(Serbia). RadiatProtDosim 140(4):369–377.
544	
545	Janković-Mandić LJ, Dragović RM, Đorđević MM, Đolić MB, Onjia AE, Dragović SD, Bačić GG (2014)Spatial
546	variability of ¹³⁷ Cs in the soil of Belgrade region (Serbia). Hem Ind 68 (4):449–455(in Serbian).
547	
548	Jia G, Belli M, Sansone U, Rosamilia S, Gaudino S(2005) Concentration and characteristics of depleted uranium in
549	water, air and biological samples collected in Serbia and Montenegro. ApplRadiatIsot 63: 381-399.
550	
551	Jovanović M(1972) New Perspectives on the development of volcanism in the area of Lece andsite complexes.
552	Geological records of the Balkan Peninsula, 37-2, Belgrade (In Serbian)
553	Arch. Environ. Occup. Health
554	Körblein A, Hoffmann W (2006) Background Radiation and Cancer Mortality in Bavaria: An Ecological Analysis.
555	Arch EnvironOccup Health 61:109-114.
556	
557	Kostadinov S, Dragović N, Zlatić M, Todosijević M (2008) Impact of erosion control works on soil erosion intensity
558	in the upper part of the river Toplica drainage basin. Vodoprivreda 40: 115-126. (in Serbian)

560	Kuzmanoski MM, Todorović MN, AničićUrošević MP, Rajšić SF (2014) Heavy metal content of soil in urban parks
561	of Belgrade. Hem Ind68: 643–651. (in Serbian)
562	
563	Kuzmanović-Cvetković J(1998) Prokuplje, the town of St. Procopius. Prokuplje, Regional Museum of Toplica (in
564	Serbian).
565	
566	Li XD, Poon CS, Liu PS (2001) Heavy metal contamination of urban soils and street dusts inHong Kong. Appl
567	Geochem 16:1361–1368.
568	
569	Liang J, Feng C, Zeng G, Gao X, Zhong M, Li X, Li X, He X, Fang Y(2017)Spatial distribution and source
570	identification of heavy metals in surface soils in a typical coal mine city, Lianyuan, China. Environ Pollut 225:681-
571	690.
572	
573	Maćejka M, Rudić V, Kuzmanović-Cvetković J, Mladenović B, Bjelica P, Jevtić M et al. (1999)Toplica landscape -
574	a small encyclopedia of Toplica. Altera, Belgrade (In Serbian)
575	
576	Milenković B, Stajić, JM, GulanLj, Zeremski T, Nikezić D(2015) Radioactivity levels and heavy metals in urban
577	land in central Serbia. EnvironSciPollut R 22:16732-16741.
578	
579	Mihailović A, Budinski-PetkovićLj, Popov S, Ninkov J, Vasin J, Ralević NM, VučinićVasić M (2015) Spatial
580	distribution of metals in urban soil of Novi Sad, Serbia: GIS based approach. J Geochem Explor150:104–114.
581	
582	Mihajlović J, Pechlivanoglou P, Miladinov-Mikov M, Živković S, Postma MJ(2013) Cancer incidence and mortality
583	in Serbia 1999–2009. BMC Cancer13:18.
584	
585	Mitrović B, Ajtić J, Lazić M, Andrić V, Krstić N, Vranješ B, Vićentijević M (2016) Natural and anthropogenic
586	radioactivity in the environment of Kopaonik mountain, Serbia. EnvironPollut215:273-279.

588	Mitrović B, Vitorović G, Vitorović D, Pantelić G, Adamović I (2009). Natural and anthropogenic radioactivity in
589	the environment of mountain region of Serbia. J EnvironMonit 11:383-388.
590	
591	Momčilović M, Kovačević J, DragovićS (2010) Population doses from terrestrial exposure in the vicinity of
592	abandoned uranium mines in Serbia. RadiatMeas 45:225-230.
593	
594	Montagne D, Cornu S, Bourennane H, Baize D, Ratié C, King D(2007) Effect of agricultural practices on trace-
595	element distribution in soil. Commun SoilSci Plant Anal 38:473–491.
596	
597	Muller G(1969) Index of geoaccumulation in sediments of the Rhine River. Geol J 2:108-118.
598	
599	Nenadović SS, Nenadović MT, Vukanac IS, Omerašević MO, KljajevićLMv(2011) Radiological hazards of ¹³⁷ Cs in
600	cultivated and undisturbed areas. Nucl TechRadiatProt 26:115-118.
601	
602	NikolićDj, Milošević N, ŽivkovićŽ, Mihajlović I, Kovačević R,Petrović N (2011) Multi-criteria analysis of soil
603	pollution by heavy metals in the vicinity of the copper smelting plant in Bor (Serbia).J Serb ChemSoc 76:625-641.
604	
605	Ninkov J, Milić S, Vasin J, Kicošev V, Sekulić P, Zeremski T, Maksimović L (2012) Heavy Metals in Soil and
606	Sediments of the Planned Ecological Network of Central Banat, Serbia. RatarPovrt 49:17-23. (in Serbian)
607	
608	Nziguheba G, Smolders E(2007) Inputs of trace elements in agricultural soils viaphosphate fertilizers in European
609	countries. Sci Total Environ 390:53–57.
610	
611	Ogundele LT, Owoade OK, Hopke PK, Olise FS(2017)Heavy metals in industrially emitted particulate matter in Ile-
612	Ife, Nigeria.Environ Res 156:320-325.
613	

614	Ordóñez A, Álvarez R, DeMiguel E, Charlesworth S(2015) Spatial and temporal variations of trace element
615	distribution in soils andstreet dust of an industrial town in NW Spain: 15 years of study.Sci Total Environ 524-
616	525:93–103.
617	
618	Pandey B, Agrawal M, Singh S(2014)Assessment of air pollution around coal mining area: Emphasizing on spatial
619	distributions, seasonal variations and heavy metals, using cluster and principal component analysis. AtmosPollut Res
620	5:79-86.
621	
622	Papastefanou C, Stoulos S, Manolopculou M(2005) The radioactivity of building materials. JRadioanalNuclChem
623	266:367–372.
624	
625	Papić M, Vuković M (2015) Multivariate analysis of contamination of alluvial soils with heavy metals in Čačak,
626	Serbia. Rom J Phys 60:1151–1162.
627	
628	Papić M, Vuković M, Bikit I, Mrđa D, Forkapić S, Bikit K, Nikolić Đ (2014) Multi-criteria analysis of soil
629	radioactivity in Čačak basin, Serbia. Rom J Phys 59:846–861.
630	
631	Pavlović P, Kostić N, Karadžić B, Mitrović M (2017) Soil Classification. In: The Soils of Serbia. World Soils Book
632	Series. Springer, Dordrecht.
633	
634	Popovic D, Todorovic D, Frontasyeva M, Ajtic J, Tasic M, Rajsic S (2008) Radionuclides and heavy metals in
635	Borovac, Southern Serbia. Environ SciPollut Res 15:509–520.
636	
637	ReimannC, de CaritatP (2005)Distinguishing between natural and anthropogenic sources for elements in the
638	environment: regional geochemical surveys versus enrichment factors. Sci Total Environ 337:91-107.
639	
640	Qing X, Yutong Z, Shenggao L (2015)Assessment of heavy metal pollution and human health risk in urban soils of

641 steel industrial city (Anshan), Liaoning, Northeast China.Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 120:377-385.

643

644

	25
669	
668	627.
667	Sutherland RA(2000) Bed sediment-associated trace metals in an urban stream, Oahu. Hawaii. EnvironGeol 39:611-
666	
665	topsoils around a lead and zinc smelter in theRepublic of Macedonia. J Hazard Mater 175:896–914.
664	Stafilov T, Šajn R, Pančevski Z, Boev B, Frontasyeva MV, Strelkova LP(2010) Heavy metal contamination of
663	
662	based on the solid/liquid partition coefficients and soil properties. Ambio 42:414-421.
661	Sohlenius G, Saetre P, Nordén S Grolander S, Sheppard S(2013) Inferences about radionuclide mobility in soils
660	
659	Rising incidence of thyroid cancer in Serbia. Hippokratia 20:9–13.
658	Slijepcevic N, Zivaljevic V, Paunovic I, Diklic A, ZivkovicPerisic S, Miljus D, Grgurevic A, Sipetic S (2016)
657	
656	and road side dust in Shanghai, China. EnvironPollut 156:251–260.
655	Shi GT, Chen Z, Xu S, Zhang J, Wang L, Bi C, Teng J(2008) Potentially toxic metal contamination of urban soils
654	
653	contaminants originating from the mining-metallurgical processes. Sci Total Environ 586:1066-1075.
652	Serbula SM, Milosavljević JS, Radojevic AA, Kalinovic JV, Kalinovic TS(2017) Extreme air pollution with
651	
650	copper smelter in Bor (Serbia). Environ Earth Sci 71:1651-1661.
649	Serbula SM, Ilic AA, Kalinovic JV, Kalinovic TS, Petrovic NB(2014) Assessment of air pollution originating from
648	
647	Geochemical atlas of Europe. Part 1, Background information, methodology and maps.
646	Salminen R, Batista MJ, Bidovec M, Demetriades A, De Vivo B, DeVos W, Duris M, Gilucis A et al.(2005)
645	

Rodríguez JA, Nanos N, Grau JM, Gil L, López-Arias M(2008) Multiscale analysis of heavy metal contents in

Spanish agricultural topsoils. Chemosphere 70:1085–1096.

670	Szolnoki Z, Farsang A, Puskas I(2013) Cumulative impact of human activities on urban garden soils: origin and
671	accumulation of metals. Environ Pollut 177:106–115.
672	
673	Škrbić B, Đurišić-Mladenović N (2013) Distribution of heavy elements in urban and rural surface soils: the Novi Sad
674	city and the surrounding settlements, Serbia. Environ Monit Assess 185:457-471.
675	
676	TanićMN, Momčilović MZ, Kovačević JR, Dragović SD, Bačić GG(2014) Assessment of radiation exposure around
677	abandoned uranium mining area of Staraplanina Mt., Serbia. NuclTechnolRadiatProt 29:58-66.
678	
679	Tanić MN, Janković-Mandić LJ, Gajić BA, Daković MZ, Dragović SD, Bačić GG(2016). Natural radionuclides in
680	soil profiles surrounding the largest coal-fired power plant in Serbia. NuclTechnolRadiatProt 31:247-259.
681	
682	Tasdemir Y, KuralC(2005) Atmospheric dry deposition fluxes of trace elements measured in Bursa. Turk Environ
683	Pollut 138:462–472.
684	
685	Taskin H, Karavus M, Ay P, Topuzoglu A, Hidiroglu S, Karahan G(2009)Radionuclide concentrations in soil and
686	lifetime cancer risk due to gamma radioactivity in Kirklareli, Turkey. J Environ Radioact 100:49-53.
687	
688	TepanosyanG,Sahakyan L, Belyaeva O, Maghakyan N, Saghatelyan A(2017)Human health risk assessment and
689	riskiest heavy metal origin identification in urban soils of Yerevan, Armenia.Chemosphere184:1230-1240
690	
691	Todorovic DJ, Jankovic MM, Nikolic JD,Kosutic DD (2012) Radioactivity of mining sites of lead, zinc and
692	phosphate ores in Serbia. J Environ Sci Health A Tox Hazard Subst Environ Eng47:812-817.
693	
694	UNSCEAR(1988) Report to General Assembly, with Scientific Annexes. Sources, effects and risks of ionizing
695	radiation. United Nations, New York.
696	

- 697 UNSCEAR(2008) Report to General Assembly, with Scientific Annexes. Exposure of the public and workers from698 various sources of radiation. United Nations, New York.
- 699
- 700 USEPA(2001) Supplemental Guidance for Developing Soil Screening Levels for Superfund Sites. U.S.
 701 Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- 702
- Valjarević A, Srećković DB, Živković D, Perić D(2015)GIS analysis of dissipation time of landscape in the Devil's
 city (Serbia). ActaMontanSlovaca20:148-155.
- 705
- 706 Valjarević A,Živković D,Valjarević D,Stevanović V,Golijanin J(2014) GIS analysis of land cover changes on the
- territory of the Prokuplje municipality. Scientific World J 12:1–8.
- 708
- 709 Van der Stricht E,Kirchmann R (2001) Radioecology, Radioactivity and Ecosystems. Oupeye, Belgium.
- 710
- 711 Vranješ B, Mitrović B, Andrić V, Grdović S (2016) Radioactivity in environment on StaraPlanina Mountain, in area
- of summer school for mountin animal breeading. RAD4 Conference proceedings 75–78.
- 713
- Wei BG, Yang LS(2010) A review of heavy metal contaminations in urban soils, urban road dusts and agricultural
 soils from China. Microchem J 94:99–107.
- 716
- 717 Wei X, Gao B, Wang P, Zhou HD, Lu J(2015) Pollution characteristics and health risk assessment of heavy metals
- in street dusts from different functional areas in Beijing, China. EcotoxicolEnviron Saf 112:186–192.
- 719
- Wilcke W, Kretzschmar S, Bundt M, Saborío G, Zech W (1998) Aluminum and heavy metal partitioning in A
 horizons of soils in Costa Rican coffee plantations. Soil Science 163:463–471.

- 723 Wu ST, Chen YS(2016) Examining eco-environmental changes at major recreational sites in Kenting National Park
- in Taiwan by integrating SPOT satellite images and NDVI. Tourism Management 57:23–36.

- 725
- Yaylalı-Abanuz G(2011) Heavy metal contamination of surface soil around Gebze industrial area, Turkey.
 Microchem J 99:82–92.

- 729 Zhang J, Liu CL(2002) Riverine Composition and Estuarine Geochemistry of Particulate Metals in China-
- 730 Weathering Features, Anthropogenic Impact and Chemical Fluxes. EstuarCoast Shelf S 54:1051–1070.

- 732 Zhiyanski M, Bech J, Sokolovska M, Lucot E, Bech J, Badot PM (2008) Cs-137 distribution in forest floor and
- surface soil layers from two mountainous regions in Bulgaria.J Geochem Explor 96:256–266.

Fig. 2The spatial distribution of radionuclides $^{226}\text{Ra},\,^{232}\text{Th},\,^{40}\text{K}$ and ^{137}Cs

Fig. 3 The spatial distribution of heavy metal concentrations

		Radio	oactivity			Dose esti	nation	Radiation risk assessment					
	²²⁶ Ra	²³² Th	⁴⁰ K	¹³⁷ Cs	Ď	D_E	D_{ECs}	G	ELCR 10 ⁻⁴	Ra_{eq}	$I_{\gamma r}$	H_{ex}	
		(Bq	1 kg ⁻¹)		$(nGy h^{-1})$		(µSv y ⁻¹)		_				
Min	3.3	0.9	7.2	0.01	2.4	2.9	0.0	16.2	0.1	5.1	0.04	0.01	
Max	48.2	58.9	1053	83.3	99.8	122.4	3.1	712.3	4.7	209.4	1.6	0.6	
Median	30.7	38.7	481	6.6	58.5	71.8	0.2	417.4	2.8	124.7	0.9	0.3	
Mean	29.9	36.6	492	13.4	56.4	69.2	0.5	399.8	2.7	120.1	0.9	0.3	
SD	9.4	11.5	181	18.7	17.1	21.0	0.7	121.9	0.8	36.3	0.3	0.1	
Skewness	-0.44	-0.69	0.89	2.38	-0.25	-0.25	2.38	-0.21	-0.25	-0.34	-0.24	-0.34	

 Table 1. Descriptive statistics of radionuclides, doses and radiation risk assessment.

Elemen	ıt	С	Pollutio	n indices		ADD _{ing}		ADD _{inh}		ADD _{der}		
		$(mg^{-1}kg^{-1})$	EF	Igeo	PI	Child	Adult	Child	Adult	Child	Adult	
As	Mean	17	7.30	0.33	2.83	1.08E-04	2.32E-05	6.06E-09	3.42E-09	1.86E-07	2.49E-07	
	Min	0.89	0.16	-3.33	0.15	5.71E-06	1.22E-06	3.19E-10	1.80E-10	9.82E-09	1.31E-08	
	Max	115.6	244.48	3.68	19.27	7.39E-04	1.58E-04	4.13E-08	2.33E-08	1.27E-06	1.70E-06	
Cd	Mean	0.12	1.49	-1.33	0.83	7.66E-07	1.64E-07	4.28E-11	2.41E-11	1.32E-09	1.76E-09	
	Min	0.01	0.04	-4.20	0.08	7.54E-08	1.62E-08	4.22E-12	2.38E-12	1.30E-10	1.73E-10	
	Max	0.47	44.11	1.11	3.24	3.01E-06	6.45E-07	1.68E-10	9.48E-11	5.17E-09	6.90E-09	
Со	Mean	19.4	1.52	0.60	2.77	1.24E-04	2.66E-05	6.93E-09	3.91E-09	2.13E-07	2.85E-07	
	Min	0.8	0.19	-3.72	0.11	5.09E-06	1.09E-06	2.84E-10	1.60E-10	8.75E-09	1.17E-08	
	Max	101.8	4.83	3.28	14.54	6.51E-04	1.39E-04	3.64E-08	2.05E-08	1.12E-06	1.49E-06	
Cr	Mean	100.3	2.19	1.10	4.56	6.41E-04	1.37E-04	3.58E-08	2.02E-08	1.10E-06	1.47E-06	
	Min	5.4	0.42	-2.62	0.24	3.44E-05	7.37E-06	1.92E-09	1.08E-09	5.92E-08	7.89E-08	
	Max	870.7	12.02	4.72	39.58	5.57E-03	1.19E-03	3.11E-07	1.75E-07	9.57E-06	1.28E-05	
Cu	Mean	39.9	3.94	1.05	3.33	2.55E-04	5.47E-05	1.43E-08	8.04E-09	4.39E-07	5.86E-07	
	Min	16.8	0.71	-0.10	1.40	1.08E-04	2.31E-05	6.02E-09	3.39E-09	1.85E-07	2.47E-07	
	Max	92	87.80	2.35	7.67	5.88E-04	1.26E-04	3.29E-08	1.85E-08	1.01E-06	1.35E-06	
Mn	Mean	735		0.17	1.92	4.70E-03	1.01E-03	2.63E-07	1.48E-07	8.08E-06	1.08E-05	
	Min	18		-4.99	0.05	1.16E-04	2.48E-05	6.46E-09	3.64E-09	1.99E-07	2.65E-07	
	Max	1258		1.13	3.29	8.04E-03	1.72E-03	4.49E-07	2.53E-07	1.38E-05	1.85E-05	
Ni	Mean	117.8	3.70	1.47	8.42	7.53E-04	1.61E-04	4.21E-08	2.37E-08	1.30E-06	1.73E-06	
	Min	0.23	0.35	-6.48	0.02	1.50E-06	3.22E-07	8.38E-11	4.73E-11	2.58E-09	3.44E-09	
	Max	1616	35.05	6.27	115.43	1.03E-02	2.21E-03	5.77E-07	3.26E-07	1.78E-05	2.37E-05	
Pb	Mean	47.3	3.07	0.73	3.15	3.02E-04	6.48E-05	1.69E-08	9.52E-09	5.20E-07	6.93E-07	
	Min	9.2	0.50	-1.30	0.61	5.86E-05	1.26E-05	3.27E-09	1.85E-09	1.01E-07	1.34E-07	
	Max	221.5	51.31	3.30	14.77	1.42E-03	3.03E-04	7.91E-08	4.46E-08	2.44E-06	3.25E-06	
Zn	Mean	110.7	2.96	0.44	2.31	7.08E-04	1.52E-04	3.96E-08	2.23E-08	1.22E-06	1.62E-06	
	Min	53.5	0.43	-0.43	1.11	3.42E-04	7.33E-05	1.91E-08	1.08E-08	5.88E-07	7.85E-07	
	Max	447.3	70.03	2.64	9.32	2.86E-03	6.13E-04	1.60E-07	9.01E-08	4.92E-06	6.56E-06	
Hg	Mean	0.11	1.47	0.27	2.91	6.88E-07	1.48E-07	3.85E-11	2.17E-11	1.18E-09	1.58E-09	
÷	Min	0.003	0.34	-4.35	0.07	1.74E-08	3.73E-09	9.72E-13	5.48E-13	2.99E-11	3.99E-11	
	Max	0.48	5.54	3.12	13.04	3.08E-06	6.61E-07	1.72E-10	9.72E-11	5.30E-09	7.08E-09	

Table 2. Concentrations, pollution indices, and the average daily doses (ADDs) of soil metals for children and adults.

Metals	RfD _{ing}	RfD _{inh}	RfD _{der}	HQ _{ing}		HQ _{inh}		HQ _{der}		HI	
		(mg kg ⁻¹ day ⁻	1)	Child	Adult	Child	Adult	Child	Adult	Child	Adult
As	3.00E-04	3.01E-04	1.23E-04	3.61E-01	7.74E-02	2.02E-05	1.14E-05	1.52E-03	2.02E-03	3.63E-01	7.95E-02
Cd	1.00E-03	2.86E-05	1.00E-05	7.66E-04	1.64E-04	1.50E-06	8.43E-07	1.32E-04	1.76E-04	8.99E-04	3.41E-04
Cr	3.00E-03	3.00E-03	6.00E-05	2.14E-01	4.58E-02	1.19E-05	6.73E-06	1.84E-02	2.45E-02	2.32E-01	7.03E-02
Cu	4.00E-02	4.02E-02	1.20E-02	6.38E-03	1.37E-03	3.57E-07	2.01E-07	3.66E-05	4.88E-05	6.42E-03	1.42E-03
Ni	2.00E-02	2.06E-02	5.40E-03	3.77E-02	8.07E-03	2.10E-06	1.19E-06	2.40E-04	3.20E-04	3.79E-02	8.39E-03
Pb	3.50E-03	3.52E-03	5.25E-04	8.63E-02	1.85E-02	4.82E-06	2.72E-06	9.90E-04	1.32E-03	8.73E-02	1.98E-02
Zn	3.00E-01	3.00E-01	6.00E-02	2.36E-03	5.06E-04	1.32E-07	7.44E-08	2.03E-05	2.71E-05	2.38E-03	5.33E-04
Hg	3.00E-04	8.57E-05	2.10E-05	2.29E-03	4.92E-04	4.49E-07	2.53E-07	5.64E-05	7.52E-05	2.35E-03	5.67E-04

Table 3. Chronic (non-carcinogenic) reference dose (RfD) and health risks of heavy metals in soils.

Area/ Town in Serbia		As	Cd	Со	Cr	Cu	Mn	Ni	Pb	Zn	Hg	²²⁶ Ra	²³² Th	⁴⁰ K	¹³⁷ Cs	Reference
						n	ng kg ⁻¹						Bq	kg ⁻¹		
Industrial area	Coal-fired power plant	-	0.2	13.4	32.2	18.2	610	55.9	24.1	79.6	-	-	-	-	-	Ćujić et al. 2017
	Coal-fired power plant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	31.3	32.8	577	-	Tanić et al.2016
	Cooper smelting plant	59.08	2.92	-	-	913.33	1070	36.83	86.67	-	0.133	-	-	-	-	Nikolić et al. 2011
	Steel plant	-	2.75	25.5	56.3	31.8	740	80.2	40.6	77.6	-	-	-	-	-	Dragović et al. 2014
	Pb-Zn mine	91.7	5.2	15.9	85.3	93.3	1410	151.9	5080	1258	-	40.6	48	743.2	81	Gulan et al. 2013
Urban area	Belgrade	7.2	-	-	32.1	28.3	-	68	55.5	118	-	-	-	-	-	Crnković et al.2006
	Belgrade	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33.6	39.3	508	-	Janković-Mandić and Dragović, 2010
	Belgrade	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23	Janković-Mandić et al. 2014
	Priština	79.74	0.61	15.05	101.46	43.25	788.67	113.72	113.03	124.69	-	23.7	35.1	375.4	-	Gulan et al. 2017
	Čačak**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26.77	35.06	433.77	42.84	Papić et al. 2014
	Čačak**	10.15	0.24	-	22.92	23.62	-	31.18	26.73	66.65	0.19	-	-	-	-	Papić and
																Vuković, 2015
	Novi Sad**	-	1.63	14.7	3.53	22.3	450	25.1	27.4	110	-	-	-	-	-	Škrbić and
																Đurišić-
																Mladenović, 2013
	Novi Sad	6.5	-	7.3	28	38.8	368.6	28.7	82.3	100.3	-	-	-	-	-	Mihailović et al.
	G (10 1)	16.05		22.62	100.05	00.10	1000 42	00.1	47.14	107.6		22.5	50.2	105.0	40.0	2015
	Central Serbia	16.05	-	22.62	109.25	28.18	1090.43	80.1	4/.14	127.6	-	33.5	50.3	425.8	40.2	Milenkovic et al.
	Wastern Sarbia	128 20	0.65	21.24	109.1	22 72	1144.22	220.41	47 41	61.8		22.2	40.1	270	26.4	2015 Ducalia at al
	western Serbia	130.39	0.05	51.54	106.1	22.12	1144.23	229.41	4/.41	04.0	-	33.2	49.1	319	30.4	2010
	Southern Serbia**	3.34	-	7.94	29.9	-	692	11.8	-	43	10.7	27	26	332	99	Popović et al.
	Southern Serona	5.5 .			_,,,			1110			1017	_,	20	002		2008
Mountain area	Kopaonik	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	80	77	725	76.6	Mitrović et al.
	1															2016
	Zlatibor	-	1.42	-	46.3	8.64	953	320	41.5	21.8	-	27.1*	17.9	142	232	Dragović et al.
																2008
	Tara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30*	29	233	97.6	Mitrović et al.
																2009
	Maljen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	36*	34	297	161.5	Mitrović et al.
	C DI											40	50	461	07	2009
	StaraPlanina	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40	50	461	8./	V ranjes et al.
I owland area	Voivodina	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	51*	53	554	_	2010 Bikit et al. 2005
	Popot	1 2 2	-	-	0.68	47.02		714		20.05	•	51	55	557	-	Ninkov at al. 2003
T1'' *	Dandi *	1.33	-	-	9.00	47.02	-	/.14	4.40	20.03	-	-	-	-	-	This stude
i oplica region*		1/	0.12	19.4	100.5	39.9	135	11/.8	4/.3	110./	0.11	29.9	30.0	492	13.4	i nis study

Table 4. Mean values of radioactivity and heavy metals - comparison with other studies in Serbia.

*- value of ²³⁸U **- urban and rural area