

## DETERMINATION OF ANTIBACTERIAL POTENTIAL OF *AGARICUS MACROSPORUS* AND *RUSSULA VESCA* MUSHROOM EXTRACTS

Monika Stojanova<sup>1</sup>, Dragutin Đukic<sup>2</sup>, Marina Todor Stojanova<sup>1</sup>,  
Aziz Šatana<sup>3</sup>, Blazo Lalević<sup>4</sup>

**Abstract:** The aim of this research was to determine the antibacterial potential of aqueous and ethanolic extract of wild mushroom species: *Agaricus macrosporus* and *Russulavesca*. Extracts from *R.vesca* were characterized with higher values for total carbohydrates and total proteins. Both aqueous extracts had higher antibacterial activity compared to ethanolic extracts. Aqueous extract from *R.vesca* showed higher antibacterial activity against *B. cereus* (13.6mm), *E.faecalis* (12.1 mm), *E. coli*(16.7 mm) and *P.aeruginosa* (10.5 mm) compared to gentamicin or neomycin. This study represents a novel starting point for future research in which mushroom extracts can be used in various industry fields.

**Keywords:** wild mushrooms, extracts, antibacterial activity.

### Introduction

Mushrooms have long been considered to have medicinal value. The early herbalists were more interested in the medicinal properties of mushrooms than in their basic value as a source of food (Chang and Miles, 2004). Among the bioactive compounds in mushrooms, polysaccharides are those that show most antitumoral, antiviral and immunomodulatory activity (Mizuno and Nishitani, 2013). The stimulation of the host's immune defence by bioactive polysaccharides derived from wild mushrooms has a significant effect on the maturation, differentiation and proliferation of many types of immune cells in the host (Wasser, 2011).

*Agaricus macrosporus* (F.H. Muller and Jul. Schäff.), commonly known as the white button mushroom, is one of the most economically important edible mushrooms. It is considered as a valuable health food with high contents of polyphenols, ergothioneine, vitamins, minerals and polysaccharides (Tian et al., 2012). Moreover, this mushroom has been demonstrated to possess various valuable biological properties including antimicrobial, immunomodulatory, anti-inflammatory as well as antioxidant activities. *Russulavesca* (Fr.) is a common and widespread edible mushroom on mainland Europe and North America. This mushroom appears in summer or autumn and grows primarily in deciduous forests. *Russulavesca* is considered edible and good, with a mild

---

<sup>1</sup>University of Ss. Cyril and Methodius, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences and Food, Skopje, North Macedonia (stojanova.monika@yahoo.com)

<sup>2</sup>University of Kragujevac, Faculty of Agronomy, Cara Dušana 34, Čačak, Serbia

<sup>3</sup>Erciyes University, Agriculture Faculty, Kayseri, Turkey.

<sup>4</sup>University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Belgrade, Serbia.

nutty flavour. In some countries, including Russia, Ukraine and Finland it is considered entirely edible even in the raw state (Dahlberg, 2019).

Numerous studies have shown that regular consumption of certain mushroom species as either a regular food or as extracted compounds is effective in both preventing and treating specific diseases (Reis et al., 2014).

The aim of this research was to determinate the antibacterial potential of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of the wild mushroom species *Agaricus macrosporus* and *Russulavesca*.

### Material and methods

In this research, as a work material two types of mushrooms collected from the territory of the Republic of North Macedonia were used: *Agaricus macrosporus* and *Russulavesca*. The collected fresh mushrooms were chopped into thin slices. The mushroom pieces were dried in a chamber dryer with hot air at a temperature of 40°C for 6–7 h. Dried mushrooms were first ground to a fine powder and then, extracted in two ways, with water and ethyl alcohol as extragens.

Aqueous extract was prepared by Sławińska et al. (2013) and Ribeiro et al. (2015) method. Ethanolic extract was prepared by Vidović et al. (2011) method.

The content of total carbohydrates in the extracts was determined by method of Masuko et al. (2005) in microtiter plates. Each mushroom extract was tested in triplicates and the results are shown in % of the dry weight of the extract.

The content of total proteins was determined by the method of Bradford (1976). Each mushroom extract was tested in triplicates and the results are shown in % of the dry weight of the extract.

Disc diffusion analysis for determination of antimicrobial activity was performed by Klaus et al. (2015) method. Aqueous and ethanolic extracts of the tested mushrooms were prepared at a concentration of 12 mg/mL. As positive controls were prepared discs soaked with gentamicin and neomycin (30 µg/mL). After incubation, the zone of inhibition (mm) was measured.

The obtained results were statistically processed using SPSS 20. To determine the statistical significant differences of the obtained results Independent Sample T-test ( $p = 0.05$ ) as well as ANOVA (*post hoc* Tukey's test,  $p = 0.05$ ) were used.

### Results and discussion

The content of carbohydrates and proteins is thought to greatly contribute to the antimicrobial activity of mushroom extracts. In line with that, some protein isolated from macromycetes have been reported against Gram-negative bacteria (Hleba et al., 2014). From data in Table 1, can be seen that both aqueous and ethanolic extracts from *R. vesca* were characterized with statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher values for total carbohydrates ( $41.15 \pm 0.07\%$  for aqueous extract, i.e.  $35.01 \pm 0.31\%$  for ethanolic extract) as well as total proteins ( $3.20 \pm 0.58\%$  for aqueous extract, i.e.  $3.88 \pm 0.23\%$  for ethanolic extract) compared to the tested extracts from *A. macrosporus*. Nevertheless, it is reported that, generally, aqueous

extracts from both tested mushrooms were characterized with statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher carbohydrates and proteins content, compared to both ethanolic extracts.

Tabela 1. Ukupni sadržaj ugljenih hidrata i proteina u ispitivanim ekstraktima  
 Table 1. Total carbohydrates and proteins content in tested extracts

Vodenik ekstrakti Aqueous extracts	n	Ukupni ugljenihidрати Total carbohydrates (%)	Ukupni proteini Total proteins (%)	Etanolni ekstrakti Ethanolic extracts	Ukupni ugljeni hidрати Total carbohydrates (%)	Ukupni proteini Total proteins (%)
		$\bar{x} \pm SD$	$\bar{x} \pm SD$		$\bar{x} \pm SD$	$\bar{x} \pm SD$
<i>Agaricus macrosporus</i>	3	39.26 ± 0.14 <sup>aA</sup>	4.61 ± 1.01 <sup>aA</sup>	<i>Agaricus macrosporus</i>	30.92 ± 0.17 <sup>aB</sup>	3.97 ± 0.49 <sup>aB</sup>
<i>Russula vesca</i>	3	41.15 ± 0.07 <sup>bA</sup>	3.20 ± 0.58 <sup>bA</sup>	<i>Russula vesca</i>	35.01 ± 0.31 <sup>bB</sup>	3.88 ± 0.23 <sup>bB</sup>

<sup>a, b</sup> - values of the same extract of different fungal species marked with different letters, have a statistically significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ), T-test.

<sup>A, B</sup> - values of different extract of the same fungus, marked with different letters, have a statistically significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ), T-test.

In addition, water as a polar extraction agent probably proved to be better due to the higher value of most of the chemical components (Stojanova et al., 2021) that are thought to be responsible for antimicrobial activity (e.g. total carbohydrates) than in ethanolic extracts of examined mushroom strains. Moreover, an exception was observed in the ethanolic extract from *R. vesca* that had statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher protein content ( $3.88 \pm 0.23\%$ ), compared to its aqueous extract and it is due to the chemical structure of this compounds.

Based on data presented in Table 2, it can be seen that all of the tested extracts from both mushroom species showed moderate to good antibacterial activity. Generally, both aqueous extracts had statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher antibacterial activity compared to both ethanolic extracts. On the other hand, both extracts from *R. vesca* were characterized with statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher antibacterial activity compared to extracts from *A. macrosporus*. Nonetheless, it is important to notice that aqueous extract from *R. vesca* showed higher antibacterial activity against *B. cereus* ( $13.6 \pm 0.06$  mm), *E. faecalis* ( $12.1 \pm 0.03$  mm), *E. coli* ( $16.7 \pm 0.05$  mm) and *P. aeruginosa* ( $10.5 \pm 0.03$  mm) compared to gentamicin or neomycin, as a positive controls. These results are in accordance with the total carbohydrates and proteins content, while the highest antibacterial activity was determined in the aqueous extract from *R. vesca* which had the highest content of these bioactive compounds. However, ethanolic extract from *R. vesca* showed highest antibacterial activity against *L. monocytogenes* as well as *E. coli*, compared to the positive controls, which is due to the highest presence of total proteins in this extract, i.e. due to the structure of the extracted proteins that are correlated with the antibacterial activity. In their study, Nwachukwu and Uzoeto (2010) pointed out that hot water as well as ethanolic extracts of *P.*

*squarrosolus* and *R. vesca* inhibited both Gram-negative (*E. coli*, *P.aeruginosa*, *S. typhi*), Gram-positive bacteria (*B. cereus*, *S. aureus*, *S. pneumoniae*) and yeast (*C. albicans*). However, neither of them showed higher potential compared to gentamicin (Iwalokun et al., 2007).

Tabela 2. Antibakterijska aktivnost ispitivanih ekstrakata gljiva (mm)  
Table 2. Antibacterial activity of tested mushroom extracts (mm)

Mikroorganizam Microorganism	n	<i>Agaricus macrosporus</i>		<i>Russula vesca</i>		Gentamicin (30 µg/disc)	Neomycin (30 µg/disc)
		Aq*	EtOH*	Aq	EtOH		
		$\bar{x} \pm$ SD	$\bar{x} \pm$ SD	$\bar{x} \pm$ SD	$\bar{x} \pm$ SD		
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> ATCC 25923	3	15.1± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	13.8± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	20.5± 0.09 <sup>c</sup>	20.1± 0.02 <sup>d</sup>	20.6± 0.03 <sup>c</sup>	17.0± 0.03 <sup>e</sup>
<i>Bacillus cereus</i> ATCC 10876	3	9.6± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	9.0± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	13.6± 0.06 <sup>c</sup>	10.9± 0.05 <sup>d</sup>	14.2± 0.01 <sup>e</sup>	13.5± 0.03 <sup>c</sup>
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> ATCC 19115	3	12.7± 0.07 <sup>a</sup>	12.3± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	13.8± 0.06 <sup>c</sup>	14.1± 0.01 <sup>d</sup>	14.7± 0.03 <sup>e</sup>	14.0± 0.01 <sup>d</sup>
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> ATCC 29212	3	11.4± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	9.6± 0.09 <sup>b</sup>	12.1± 0.03 <sup>c</sup>	12.5± 0.01 <sup>d</sup>	12.0± 0.03 <sup>c</sup>	1.9± 0.01 <sup>e</sup>
<i>Escherichia coli</i> ATCC 11230	3	15.9± 0.09 <sup>a</sup>	12.1± 0.03 <sup>b</sup>	16.7± 0.05 <sup>c</sup>	13.2± 0.03 <sup>d</sup>	18.4± 0.05 <sup>e</sup>	16.3± 0.03 <sup>f</sup>
<i>Yersinia enterocolitica</i> ATCC 27729	3	13.6± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	13.0± 0.04 <sup>b</sup>	20.1± 0.03 <sup>c</sup>	13.3± 0.08 <sup>d</sup>	30.8± 0.01 <sup>e</sup>	27.1± 0.02 <sup>f</sup>
<i>Shigella sonnei</i> ATCC 29930	3	15.0± 0.03 <sup>a</sup>	13.4± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>	14.9± 0.03 <sup>c</sup>	13.6± 0.06 <sup>d</sup>	16.5± 0.02 <sup>e</sup>	14.9± 0.02 <sup>c</sup>
<i>Proteus vulgaris</i> ATCC 8427	3	19.7± 0.03 <sup>a</sup>	17.5± 0.05 <sup>b</sup>	21.8± 0.02 <sup>c</sup>	17.9± 0.03 <sup>d</sup>	23.9± 0.02 <sup>e</sup>	22.6±0.02 <sup>f</sup>
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> ATCC 35554	3	10.5± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	8.7± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	10.5± 0.03 <sup>a</sup>	9.0± 0.01 <sup>c</sup>	17.7± 0.01 <sup>d</sup>	10.2± 0.02 <sup>e</sup>

<sup>a, b, c</sup> – values marked with different letters have statistically significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ), ANOVA, post hoc Tukey’s test; \* Aq – aqueous extract; \* EtOH – ethanolic extract

On the other hand, many studies proved the antimicrobial potential of *Agaricus* sp. extracts on strains of *E. faecalis*, *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* (Suntaxi and Loja, 2021). In line with that, the extracts of *A. bisporus* were used against *B. subtilis*, and of *A. silvicolae-similis* against *B. cereus*, *B. subtilis* or *S. aureus* (Barros et al, 2008). Likewise, the activity of *A. bisporus* against *S. aureus*, *B. subtilis*, *K. pneumoniae* and *P. aeruginosa* was reported (Jagadish et al, 2009). Similar observations were previously noted (Santoyo et al., 2009; Loganathan et al., 2009). The new components from natural sources that show good antibacterial potential may be used as a partial replacement of synthetic antibiotics or can be a basis for obtaining new functional food products. In line with this, further identification and isolation of different active compounds from mushrooms and commercializing their products is necessary.

## Conclusion

Based on the presented data, it can be concluded that both tested extracts of *A.macrosporus*, as well as *R.vesca*, showed moderate to good antibacterial activity. Slightly higher ( $p<0.05$ ) values were obtained in the aqueous extracts compared to the ethanolic extracts. Both analysed extracts of *R.vesca* had higher ( $p<0.05$ ) carbohydrates and proteins content compared to both *A.macrosporus* extracts.

Therefore, it can be pointed that the aqueous extracts of both tested mushrooms showed good antibacterial properties that can be a substitute for some of the synthetic antibiotics used for industrial purposes. According to that, this study represents a novel starting point for future studies in which mushroom extracts can be used in various fields such as food industry, pharmaceuticals, medicine or cosmetics.

## References

- Barros L., Cruz T., Baptista P., Estevinho L.M., Ferreira, I.C.F.R. (2008). Wild and commercial mushrooms as source of nutrients and nutraceuticals. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 46(8): 2742-2747. DOI:10.1016/j.fct.2008.04.030
- Bradford M.M. (1976). A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Analytical Chemistry*, 72: 248-254.
- Chang S.T., Miles P.G. (2004). *Mushrooms – Cultivation, Nutritional Value, Medicinal Effect, and Environmental Impact - 2nd ed.*, CRC Press.
- Dahlberg A. (2019). *Russulavesca*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2019: e.T122090747A122091073. <https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.20193.RLTS.T122090747A122091073.en>
- Hleba L., Vuković N., Petrová J., Kačániová M. (2014). Antimicrobial Activity of Crude Methanolic Extracts from *Ganoderma lucidum* and *Trametes versicolor*. *Animal Science and Biotechnologies*, 47(2): 89-93.
- Iwalokun B.A., Usen U.A., Otunba A.A., Olukoya D.K. (2007). Comparative phytochemical evaluation, antimicrobial and antioxidant properties of *Pleurotus ostreatus*. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 6(15): 1732-1739.
- Jagadish L.K., Venkata V., Shenbhagaraman R., Kaviyaran V. (2009). Comparative study on the antioxidant, anticancer and antimicrobial property of *Agaricus bisporus* (J.E. Lange) Imbach before and after boiling. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 8(4): 654-661.
- Klaus A., Kozarski M., Vunduk J., Todorovic N., Jakovljevic D., Zizak Z., Pavlovic V., Levic S., Niksic M., Van Griensven L.J.L.D. (2015). Biological potential of extracts of wild edible Basidiomycete mushroom *Grifola forndosa*. *Food Research International*, 67: 272-283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2014.11.035>.
- Loganathan J., Krishnan V.V., Ramalingam S., Kaviyaran V. (2009). Comparative study on the antioxidant, anticancer and antimicrobial property of *Agaricus bisporus* (J. E. Lange) Imbach before and after boiling. *African Journal of biotechnology*, 8(4): 654-651.

- Masuko T., Minami A., Iwasaki N., Majimab T., Nishimurac S.I., Lee Y.C. (2005). Carbohydrate analysis by a phenol-sulfuric acid method in microplate format. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 339: 69-72. <http://doi:10.1016/j.ab.2004.12.001>.
- Mizuno M., Nishitani Y. (2013). Immunomodulating compounds in Basidiomycetes. *Journal of Clinical Biochemistry and Nutrition*, 52(3): 202–207.
- Nwachukwu E., Uzoeto H.O. (2010). Antimicrobial activity of some local mushrooms on pathogenic isolates. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, 4(23): 2460-2465. DOI: 10.5897/JMPR10.154
- Reis F.S., Stojković D., Barros L., Glamočlija J., Ćirić A., Soković M., Martins A., Vasconcelos M.H., Morales P., Ferreira I.C.F.R. (2014). Can *Suillusgranulatus* (L.) Roussel be classified as a functional food? *Food and Function*, 5: 2861–2869. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C4FO00619D>
- Ribeiro A., Ruphuy G., Lopes J.C., Dias M.M., Barros L., Barreiro F., Ferreira I.C.F.R. (2015). Spray-drying microencapsulation of synergistic antioxidant mushroom extracts and their use as functional food ingredients. *Food Chemistry*, 188: 612–618. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.05.061>.
- Santoyo S., Ramirez Anguiano A.C., Regiero G., Soler Rivas C. (2009). Improvement of the antimicrobial activity of edible mushroom extracts by inhibition of oxidative enzymes. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 44(5):1057–1064. <http://doi:10.1111/j.1365-2621.2008.01896.x>.
- Sławińska A., Radzki W., Kalbarczyk J. (2013). Antioxidant activities and polyphenolics content of *Flammulina velutipes* mushroom extracts. *KerlaPolonica, De Gruyter*, 59(3): 26–36. <https://doi.org/10.2478/hepo-2013-0014>.
- Stojanova M., Pantić M., Karadelev M., Čuleva B., Nikšić M. (2021). Antioxidant potential of extracts of three mushroom species collected from the Republic of North Macedonia. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*.45(2): e15155. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.15155>.
- Suntaxi T.C., Loja S.S. (2021). Antibacterial activity of the *Agaricuspampeanus* (*Agaricaceae*) ethanol extract against *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *ActualidadesBiológicas*. 42(113): 1-10. DOI:10.17533/udea.acbi.v42n113a03
- Tian Y., Zeng H., Xu Z., Zheng B., Lin Y., Gan C., Lo Y.M.(2012). Ultrasonicassisted extraction and antioxidant activity of polysaccharides recovered from white button mushroom (*Agaricusbisporus*). *Carbohydr.Polym*.88: 522– 529.
- Vidović S., Zoran-Zeković Z., Mujić I., LepojevićŽ.,Radojković M., Živković J. (2011). The antioxidant properties of polypore mushroom *Daedaleopsisconfragosa*. *Central European Journal of Biology*, 6(4): 575–582. <https://doi.org/10.2478/s11535-011-0029-5>.
- Wasser S.P. (2011).Current findings, future trends, and unsolved problems in studies of medicinal mushrooms. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 89: 1323–1332.