



Proceedings on Engineering Sciences

13th International Quality Conference

29 May – 1 June 2019, Kragujevac, Serbia

QUALITY FESTIVAL 2019



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union

Proceedings on Engineering Sciences
13th International Quality Conference – QUALITY FESTIVAL 2019

Vol. 1, No. 2 (2019)



ISSN: 2620-2832



Proceedings on
Engineering Sciences



Center for Quality



University of Kragujevac
Faculty of Engineering

Proceedings on Engineering Sciences

13th International Quality Conference

29 May – 1 June 2019, Kragujevac, Serbia

QUALITY FESTIVAL 2019

EDITOR: Miladin Stefanović



QUALITY FESTIVAL 2019

Proceedings on Engineering Sciences
13th International Quality Conference – QUALITY FESTIVAL 2019

Vol. 1, No. 2 (2019)

ISSN: 2620-2832

Editor: **Miladin Stefanović**
Faculty of Engineering, University of Kragujevac

Editorial Assistant: **Aleksandar Đorđević**
Faculty of Engineering, University of Kragujevac

Technical editor: **Tijana Cvetić**, Faculty of Engineering, University of Kragujevac
Nikola Komatina Faculty of Engineering, University of Kragujevac

Publisher: **Faculty of Engineering, University of Kragujevac** Sestre Janjić 6,
34000 Kragujevac, Serbia

Editorial Address: Faculty of Engineering, University of Kragujevac, Sestre Janjić 6,
34000 Kragujevac, Serbia

Frequency: 2 issues per year

Printed by: **Inter Print**
Jurija Gagarina 12, 34000 Kragujevac, Serbia

Circulation: 150 copies

Copyright © 2019 by University of Kragujevac, Faculty of Engineering

The publication of this Proceedings was financially supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia.

Supported by



Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia



ГРАД
КРАГУЈЕВАЦ



PRIVREDNA
KOMORA
SRBIJE

Regionalna privredna komora
Šumadijskog i Pomoravskog upravnog okruga



ICT cluster of Central Serbia

International Scientific Committee

President:

Slavko Arsovski University of Kragujevac (Serbia)

Vice president:

Zdravko Krivokapić Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro

Zoran Punoševac AQS, Kruševac, Serbia

Slaviša Moljević University of East Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina

Members:

Tadeusz Sikora The Department of Quality Management, Cracow University of Economics, Kraków, Poland

Lula Paweł Cracow University of Economics, Poland

Cristiano Fragassa Alma Mater Studiorum Universita di Bologna, Italy

Ezendu Ariwa London Metropolitan Business School, London Metropolitan University, UK

Tadeja Jere Jakulin University of Primorska, Koper, Slovenia

Nikolina Ljepava American University in the Emirates, United Arab Emirates

Milan Perović Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro

Zora Arsovski Faculty Of Economics, University of Kragujevac, Kragujevac, Srbija

Goran Putnik University of Minho, Braga, Portugal

Martí Casadesús AQU Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain

Iñaki Heras Universidad del País Vasco, San Sebastian, Spain

Samuel Fosso Wamba Toulouse Business School, Federal University of Toulouse Midi-Pyrénées, Toulouse, France

Stanislav Karapetrović University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada

Evandro Eduardo Broday Federal University of Technology Paraná, Paraná, Brasil

Vladimir Senic Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism, University of Kragujevac, Serbia

Miladin Stefanović Faculty of Engineering, University of Kragujevac, Kragujevac, Serbia

Miodrag Lazić Faculty of Engineering, University of Kragujevac, Kragujevac, Serbia

Božidar Krstić Faculty of Engineering, University of Kragujevac, Kragujevac, Serbia

Nikola Stefanovic Singidunum University, Beograd, Serbia

Aleksandar Vujović Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro

Prasun Das SQC & OR Division of the Indian Statistical Institute (ISI), Kolkata, India

Muafi	Universitas Islam Indonesia Yogyakarta, Indonesia
Aysegül Akdogan Eker	Yıldız Technical, University Mechanical Faculty, Beşiktaş/İstanbul, Turkey
Norizan Kassim	University of Nizwa, Oman
Changiz Valmohammadi	Taylor's University, Malaysia
Ali Ahmadi Awwad	Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences, Yarmouk University, Irbid, Jordan
Rawabdeh	Department Mechanical Engineering, Misurata University, Misurata, Libya
Ibrahim Badi	Department of Education Foundations, Faculty of Education, University of Jos, Jos, Nigeria
Michael Olarewaju Ogundele	Namık Kemal University, Tekirdağ, Turkey
Bülent Eker	Ain Shams University Faculty of Commerce, Cairo, Egypt
Abd-Elrahman	University of Vigo, Spain
Pablo Cabanelas	Western Macedonia University of Applied Sciences, Kila, Greece
George F. Fragulis	
Alina-Andreea Dragoescu	U.S.A.M.V.B. Timișoara, România
Urlica	
Paul M. Andre	AQE Group, Chicago, Illinois, USA
Krešimir Buntak	University North, Koprivnica-Varaždin, Croatia, Croatia
Клочков Юрий Сергеевич	Peter the Great St. Petersburg Polytechnic University, Russia
Osмо Kauppila	University of Oulu, Finland
Petroman Ioan	Faculty of Agricultural Management, U.S.A.M.V.B., Timișoara, România
Milan Erić	Faculty of Engineering, University of Kragujevac, Serbia
Mirko Đapić	Faculty of Mechanical and Civil Engineering in Kraljevo, University of Kragujevac, Serbia

Organizational committee

President:

Miladin Stefanović

University of Kragujevac (Serbia)

Vice president:

Danijela Tadić

University of Kragujevac (Serbia)

Members:

Aleksandar Aleksić, Conference Secretary University of Kragujevac (Serbia)

Snežana Nestić, Conference Secretary University of Kragujevac (Serbia)

Marija Zahar Đorđević University of Kragujevac (Serbia)

Hrvoje Puškarić University of Kragujevac (Serbia)

Tijana Cvetić University of Kragujevac (Serbia)

Nikola Komatina University of Kragujevac (Serbia)

Sandra Stefanović University of Kragujevac (Serbia)

Jovan Milivojević University of Kragujevac (Serbia)

Ivan Savović University of Kragujevac (Serbia)

Aleksandar Đorđević University of Kragujevac (Serbia)

QUALITY AND INNOVATIONS

Road to Sustainable Success

Dear friends,

Quality Festival 2019 will provide an international quality forum for experts from industry and academia to exchange ideas and present results of ongoing research in a wide range of topics. We invite you to participate in Quality Festival 2019 that will be held from May, 29th to June, 1st at Faculty of Engineering, University of Kragujevac, Serbia.

This Festival will cover multiple conferences and many workshops and presentations. This Festival will cover multiple conferences along with various workshops and presentations.

*Sincerely yours,
President of the organizing committee
Prof. dr Miladin Stefanović*

FQ 2019
QUALITY
RESEARCH

Content

1.	HUMAN GOVERNANCE FOR EXCELLENT ORGANIZATIONS	
	Miloš Jelic, Ana Aksentijevic Jelic	3
2.	METHODS OF COMPETENCY SCHEMA IDENTIFICATION AND EVALUATION	
	Paweł Lula, Renata Oczkowska, Anna Kovaleva, Sylwia Wiśniewska	11
3.	THE IMPACT OF INTELLIGENT SYSTEMS FOR DECISION SUPPORT APPLICATION ON IMPROVEMENT OF THE BUSINESS DECISIONS QUALITY	
	Zoran Nešic, Radomir Šalic, Miroslav Radojičić, Nevena Miletovic	19
4.	REFLECTION OF THE VIEWS OF PATIENTS AND EMPLOYEES ON THE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FOR CONTINUOUS PROCESS COORDINATION: AN INDICATOR DEVELOPMENT STUDY	
	Fadime Baştürk, Zekeriya Akturk	23
5.	A CYBERNETIC VIEW OF QUALITY SYSTEM	
	Svetomir Simonovic	39
6.	THE ERA OF TRANSFORMATIVE MARKETING: SERVICE QUALITY OF MOBILE APP BASED TAXI SERVICES IN KUALA LUMPUR	
	Muhammad Farooq, Waqas Ali, Waqar Younas, Faisal Khalil-ur-Rehman, Qasim Ali Qurashi	49
7.	FINANCIAL LITERACY AS A DETERMINANT FACTOR IN BUSINESS GROWTH FOR CREATIVE MSMES IN YOGYAKARTA	
	Siti Resmi, Reza Widhar Pahlevi, Frans Sayekti	59
8.	ENTERPRISE STRESS TESTING WITH SYSTEM DYNAMICS: A STATE OF RESEARCH AND CHALLENGES	
	Miloš Jovičić, Ivan Mačuzic, Arso Vukicevic, Micaela Demichela	65
9.	INFORMATIONAL AND INTERPERSONAL JUSTICE TOWARDS SATISFACTION: THROUGH THE ROLE OF CUSTOMER EMOTION MEDIATION	
	Badawi, Wiwi Hartati, Istyakara Muslichah	73
10.	THE EFFECT OF ISLAMIC WORK ETHIC AND KNOWLEDGE SHARING TO INNOVATION CAPABILITY IN MODERATING ORGANIZATIONAL CITIZENSHIP BEHAVIOUR (OCB) AT PT. PERTAMINA (PERSERO) REVINERY UNIT V BALIKPAPAN	
	Bornia Novita Sari Sabowo, Muafi	83

11.	THE IMPACT OF SELECTION, TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT ON ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE MODERATED BY ISLAMIC PRINCIPLES AT MAGELANG ISLAMIC HOSPITAL	103
	Intan Charadina Putri, Muafi	
12.	THE EFFECT OF TALENT MANAGEMENT, SERVANT LEADERSHIP AND TRUST ON EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE MEDIATED BY ONLINE TRAINING (STUDY OF PT. RUMA EMPLOYEES)	115
	Asep Ismail, Muafi	
13.	THE EFFECTS OF AUTHENTIC LEADERSHIP AND SELF EFFICACY ON WORK ENGAGEMENT MEDIATED BY ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENTS (STUDY AT ALKAHFI TEACHERS ISLAMIC BOARDING SCHOOL SOMALANGU KEBUMEN)	131
	Tri Pujiastuti, Muafi	
14.	THE EFFECTS OF SERVANT LEADERSHIP AND ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE ON EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE MEDIATED BY JOB SATISFACTION (STUDY AT ALKAHFI ISLAMIC BOARDING SCHOOL SOMALANGU KEBUMEN)	143
	Muafi, Muhammad Azim	
15.	DIGITAL QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS: BENEFITS AND CHALLENGES	163
	Raed Ibrahim	
16.	THE BALANCED ROCKET MODEL FOR MEASURING AND MANAGING ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE	173
	Abd-Elrahman Abd-Elrahman, Sally Hassan	
17.	RISK MANAGEMENT IN THE PROCESS OF USING THE EXTERNAL SERVICE SUPPLIER	195
	Ana Globočnik Žunac, Vlatka Kordoš, Sanja Zlatic	
18.	INNOVATION CAPABILITY, ABSORPTIVE CAPACITY AND SUPPLY CHAIN INTEGRATION IN SMES PERFORMANCE: CASE STUDY OF CREATIVE INDUSTRY IN YOGYAKARTA, INDONESIA	203
	Sofyan Ashari Nur	
19.	STRATEGIC ORIENTATION AND SUPPLY CHAIN QUALITY MANAGEMENT ON MSME'S QUALITY PERFORMANCE: CASE OF EARTHENWARE KASONGAN	219
	Dessy Isfianadewi	
20.	THE ROLE OF PACKAGING IN LOGISTICS PROCESSES - IMPACT ON SUSTAINABLE LOGISTICS IN THE FOOD SECTOR	235
	Stefan Schmidt, Benjamin S. Godwin Schmidt	

21. THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FOOD SAFETY KNOWLEDGE AND SUCCESSFUL IMPLEMENTATION FOOD SAFETY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	
Brane Novakovic, Radoslav Grujic, Radomir Radovanovic	247
22. ETHICS AND TRUST WHILE FOOD SAFETY CRISIS	
Magdalena Niewczas-Dobrowolska, Tadeusz Sikora, Anna Prusak	257
23. FOOD RISK ANALYSIS	
Magdalena Niewczas-Dobrowolska, Tadeusz Sikora, Anna Prusak	261
24. THE TRA (THEORY OF REASONED ACTION) MODEL OF SUSTAINABLE BEHAVIORAL INTENTIONS (SBI) OF CULINARY SMES IN SURABAYA	
Jun Surjanti, Yoyok Soesatyo Sanaji, Setya Chendra Wihawa	273
25. IMPROVEMENT OF WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM AND WATER QUALITY SYSTEM FOR THE CITY OF TREBINJE BY CONSTRUCTION OF WATER FACILITY RESERVOIR VRELO OKO	
Tamara Gvozdenovic, Vedran Furtula, Gojko Krunic	285
26. FIRE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT	
Bülent Eker, Yalçın Karagözler	295
27. THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TOTAL QUALITY MANAGEMENT (TQM) IN THE TELECOMMUNICATION INDUSTRY: PROBLEMS AND PROSPECTS	
Solomon Akpoviroro Kowo, Olufemi Amos Akinbola, Olalekan Akinriola	301
28. THE IMPACT OF THE SERVICE PROVIDER AND THE SERVICE RECIPIENT ON THE QUALITY OF THE SERVICE IN DIFFERENT SERVICE SYSTEMS	
Mirjana Jokanovic, Aleksandra Koprivica	313
29. SERVICE QUALITY: GAP IN AIR TRANSPORTATION	
Samira Aamir Humaid Al Khayari, Norizan Mohd Kassim	321
30. FACTORS AFFECTING THE ACTUAL USE OF RIDE-HAILING SERVICES (GOJEK/GRAB) IN INDONESIA (JABODETABEK-REGION)	
Anggi Gumilar, Dionisius W Danuanindito, Ivan Oliver, Jeanifer Gunawan, Sfenrianto Sfenrianto	335
31. SAFETY 4.0: MODERN TALKING OR NECESSITY	
Marko Djapan, Arso Vukicevic, Ivan Macuzic, Petar Todorovic, Nastasija Mijovic, Marija Savkovic	349

32.	PYROTECHNIC SAFETY IN THE PROCESS OF DEMILITARIZATION OF EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE FROM THE ASPECT OF APPLICATION OF NEW TECHNOLOGIES	355
	Stefan Đuric, Bogdan Nedic, Jelena Baralic, Aleksandar Miljkovic	
33.	AN OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ASSESSMENT MODEL FOR LIBYAN IRON AND STEEL INDUSTRY	361
	Alsaddeeq Blaou, Ibrahim Badi , Mohd Abdol Rahman, Mohd Fahrul Bin Hassan	
34.	BARRIERS, CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES TO IMPROVE OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY MANAGEMENT IN SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES IN SERBIA: CASE STUDY APPROACH	369
	Marija Savkovic, Marko Đapan, Ivan Mačuzić, Petar Todorovic, Milan Radenkovic, Arso Vukicevic, Nastasija Mijovic	
35.	COMMUNICATION MANAGEMENT ISSUES IN PRODUCTION SYSTEMS – CONFLICT STYLES ANALYSIS	379
	Aleksandar Aleksic, Milos Markovic	
36.	HOW TO GET GOOD PRODUCTION PROCESS ?	387
	Branko Popovic	
37.	SOCIAL ORIENTED QUALITY: FROM QUALITY 4.0 TOWARDS QUALITY 5.0	397
	Slavko Arsovski	
38.	DECISION MAKING IN INDUSTRIAL ENTERPRISES IN CONDITIONS OF UNCERTAINTY	405
	Sandra Milunovic Koprivica, Aleksandar Maric, Olga Ristic, Slavko Arsovski	
39.	MANAGING ECONOMIC GROWTH ON THE BASIS OF NATIONAL PRODUCT QUALITY IN THE CONDITIONS OF INDUSTRY 4.0	411
	Elena G. Popkova	
40.	ORGANIZATIONAL AND MANAGEMENT CHANGE IN INDUSTRY 4.0	427
	Mirjana Misita, Dragan Milanovic	
41.	GARTNER'S TOP STRATEGIC TECHNOLOGY TRENDS	433
	Bogdan Nedic	

42.	WEB BASED CLOUD SOLUTION FOR SUPPORT OF QUALITY MANAGEMENT 4.0 IN THE CONCEPT OF INDUSTRY 4.0	
	Miladin Stefanovic, Aleksandar Đorđević, Hrvoje Puškarić, Milos Petronijevic	443
43.	BIG DATA AND OPEN DATA IN PUBLIC ENTERPRISES AS AN INITIAL STEP OF DIGITIZATION	
	Ljiljana Ilić, Aleksandar Đorđević, Milan Erić	449
44.	DEVELOPMENT OF WEB BASED APPLICATION USING SPA ARCHITECTURE	
	Hrvoje Puškarić, Aleksandar Đorđević, Miladin Stefanović, Marija Zahar Đorđević	457
45.	MAIN PRINCIPLES OF DYNAMIC RANDOM ACCESS MEMORY (DRAM) AND ANALYSIS OF DRAM MARKET	
	Filip Erić	465
46.	INDUSTRIAL PACKAGING: TECHNOLOGY, APPLICATIONS AND PRECAUTIONS IN THE CASE OF PALLETIZED PRODUCTS	
	Alessandro Greco, Giangiacomo Minak, Massimiliano Vaccari, Andrea Renzini	473
47.	SELECTION CRITERIA OF MATERIALS USED IN 3D PRINTERS	
	Ayşegül Akdoğan Eker	487
48.	LAYER THICKNESS INFLUENCE OF MACRO- AND MICROSTRUCTURE QUALITY OF 3D PRINTED PARTS	
	Aleksija Đurić, Ranka Gojković, Biljana Marković, Slaviša Moljević, Jelica Anić	495
49.	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN EMPLOYER BRANDING, EMPLOYEE RETENTION AND COMMITMENT IN PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY: AN EMPIRICAL INVESTIGATION	
	Makarand Upadhyaya	501
50.	FERROMAGNETICS IN MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING	
	Jasna Radulović, Mina Vaskovic Jovanovic, Miroslav Trajanovic	521

51. BUILDING INTEGRATED PHOTOVOLTAICS - POTENTIAL AND APPLICATION	
Jasna Radulović, Danijela Nikolić, Jasmina Skerlić, Mina Vasković Jovanović, Ljubiša Bojić	527
52. A REVIEW OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE QUALITY DIMENSIONS	
Abd-Elrahman Abd-Elrahman	533
53. IMPROVEMENT OF CT SCAN QUALITY BY REDUCING EFFECTIVE DOSE	
Jasna Radulović, Nikola Mijailović, Marijana Gavrilović Božović	547
54. RESEARCH OF THE SCOPE OF TESTING REQUIRED FOR QUALIFICATION OF THE HVAC SYSTEM	
Ilija Tabašević, Dragan D. Milanović	553
55. GLOBAL PROOF FOR CONSISTENT QUALITY - QUALITY CONSTRUCTION PRODUCT	
Sonja Cherepnalkovska, Kristina Bombas Georgievska, Dejan Ristic,	563
56. QUALITY CONTROL OF REBAR COUPLERS IN SPLICING OF REINFORCEMENT BARS	
Željka Radovanović	569
57. TPM - TOTAL PRODUCTIVE MAINTENANCE	
Marko Zlatic	581
58. THE WORLDWIDE SETTING OF HIGHER EDUCATION QUALITY ENHANCEMENT ACTIVITIES COUPLED WITH KPIS: AN OPEN COMPOSITION FOR YARMOUK UNIVERSITY IN JORDAN	
Ali A. A. Rawabdeh, Rami M. Tubaishat	591
59. ON THE QUALITY ENHANCEMENT CONDITIONS OF EDUCATIONAL PROCESSES IN UNIVERSITIES	
Saida Khairova, Oksana Kulikova, Khoroshilova Elena Khoroshilova, Sidorova Tamara Sidorova, Bari Khairov	597
60. QUALITY AND INSPIRATIONAL TEACHING AT HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS FROM THE STUDENT'S POINT OF VIEW	
Zorica Lazic	607

61.	A ‘NEWPARADIGMATIC’ PERSPECTIVE ON EDUCATING STUDENTS BEYOND NARCISSISTIC MODELS. TOWARDS PSYCHO-LINGUISTIC QUALITY IN INTERPERSONAL COMMUNICATION	
	Marta Bogusławska-Tafelska, Natalia Malenko, Alina-Andreea Dragoescu Urlica	613
62.	THE TECHNOLOGY OF A FEEDBACK CHANEL DEVELOPMENT FOR IDENTIFY THE STUDENT STATE IN THE LEARNING ERGATIC VIRTUAL REALITY SYSTEM	
	I.V. Veshneva, A.A. Bolshakov, R.A. Singatulin	619
63.	IMPROVEMENT OF BUSINESS PROCESSES IN PUBLIC UTILITY COMPANIES	
	Luka Marković	629
64.	ELEI- METRIC FOR MEASURING ELEARNING EFFECTIVENESS	
	Shirshendu Roy, Samar Bhattacharya, Prasun Das	635
65.	MANAGING DIGITAL LITERACY FOR QUALITY ASSURANCE OF FEDERAL UNIVERSITIES IN NORTH CENTRAL NIGERIA	
	Michael Ogundele	645
66.	ESP TEACHING IN HIGHER EDUCATION-CHALLENGES AND ISSUES	
	Lulzime Kamberi, Alina-Andreea Dragoescu Urlica, Makfirete Ameti	655
67.	POTENTIAL OF FOREIGN LANGUAGES IN FORMING PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCY	
	Margarita Tsyguleva	663
68.	QUALITY OF TRAINING AGAINST EMPLOYEE CAPABILITY IMPROVEMENT AT PT BNI SYARIAH	
	Rizqi Adhyka Kusumawati, Muafi	673
69.	ESP FOR THE LIFE SCIENCES DESIGNING AN ENGLISH TEXTBOOK FOR SUSTAINABLE LEARNING	
	Alina-Andreea, Dragoescu Urlica, Laura-Ioana Coroama-Dorneanu, Lulzime Kamberi, Natalia Malenko	681
70.	MANAGEMENT WITH THE SYSTEM OF EDUCATION AND MOTIVATION OF EMPLOYEES IN THE HOTEL INDUSTRY IN MACEDONIA	
	Elizabeta Mitreva	687
71.	TOURISM SHOPPING BEHAVIOR: THE ROLE OF DESTINATION IMAGE AND PERCEIVED QUALITY ON WORD-OF-MOUTH	
	Ratna Roostika, Cahyo Putra Wibowo	699

72. THE ROLE OF COLOR SENSING AND DIGITALIZATION ON THE LIFE QUALITY AND HEALTH TOURISM	Tamara Jakovljevic, Tadeja Jere Jakulin, Gregor Papa	713
73. MODEL FOR MEASURING THE EFFICIENCY OF THE PRODUCTION PROCESS IN THE AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRY	Dragana Rejman Petrović, Zora Arsovski, Predrag Mimović	721
74. INNOVATION IN SOLAR VEHICLES: FROM THE IDEA TO THE PROTOTYPE IN LESS THAN 24 MONTHS	Cristiano Fragassa, Giangiacomo Minak, Marko Lukovic, Stefano Maglio	727
75. TOWARD A SUSTAINABLE MOBILITY: A SOLAR VEHICLE FOR A NEW QUALITY OF LIFE	Ana Pavlovic, Cristiano Fragassa, Giangiacomo Minak, Marko Lukovic	731
76. DETAILED ANALYSIS OF GRR STUDY RESULTS AND THEIR VISUALIZATION	Pavlna Mikulová, Andrés Carrión García, Jiří Plura	737
77. EFFECTIVENESS OF PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE OF MOTOR VEHICLES	Vojislav B. Krstić, Božidar V. Krstić	745
78. THE SIGNIFICANCE OF ELV RECYCLING EQUIPMENT FROM THE ASPECT OF PRESERVING NATURAL RESOURCES IN THE REPUBLIC OF SERBIA	Nikola Komatina, Aleksandar Aleksić, Danijela Tadić	753
79. THE ANALYSIS OF THE IMPACT OF RECYCLING EQUIPMENT IN THE AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRY IN TERMS OF CIRCULAR ECONOMY	Marija Savković, Aleksandar Aleksić, Danijela Tadić, Nikola Komatina, Tijana Cvetić	759
80. FINANCING SMALL AND MEDIUM-SIZED ENTERPRISES AND ENHANCING QUALITY: PRIVATE EQUITY INSIGHTS	Nikola Stefanović, Lidija Barjaktarović	769
81. QUALITY OF LIFE AND SOCIETY 5.0	Slavko Arsovski	775
82. SOCIAL CONNECTIONS AND WELLBEING	Ljubisa Bojic	781
83. THE INFLUENCE OF CIRCULAR ECONOMY ON QUALITY OF LIFE	Paweł Nowicki, Piotr Kafel, Magdalena Wojnarowska	787

84. METHODOLOGY OF LIFE CYCLE SUSTAINABILITY ASSESSMENT	Danijela Nikolic, Sasa Jovanovic, Jasmina Skerlic, Vanja Sustersic, Jasna Radulovic	793
85. QUALITY OF WORK LIFE SHOWN IN CORRELATIONS BETWEEN EMPLOYEES WORK MOTIVATION AND INDICATORS OF EXTERNAL COMMUNICATION OF AN ORGANIZATION	Tanja Radošević, Marija Runic-Ristic	801
86. QUALITY OF WORK LIFE (QWL), QUALITY OF LIFE (QOL) AND HAPPINESS: EXPLORING THE LINKAGES FOR MILLENNIAL WORKFORCE	Jolly Sahni	815
87. THE EFFECT OF DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF QUALITY IN WORK LIFE	Bülent Eker	825
88. SOME INFLUENTIAL ASPECTS ON THE QUALITY OF RAILWAY BUSINESS OPERATIONS	Zoran Antić, Zoran Nešić, Nevena Miletović, Đorđe Mihailović	831
89. MATHEMATICAL DYNAMIC MODEL FOR “GREEN FINANCE” SUSTAINABLE GROWTH	Alina Steblyanskaya, Zhen Wang, Nailya Gabdrahmanova	837
90. TOWARD FUTURE: POSITIVE NET-ENERGY BUILDINGS	Jasmina Skerlić, Danijela Nikolić, Jasna Radulović, Saša Jovanović, Aleksandar Mišković	853
91. MARKET ANALYSIS IN THE REPUBLICS OF SERBIA FROM THE ASPECT OF THE CIRCULAR ECONOMY IN THE ELV DOMAIN	Tijana Cvetić, Marija Savković, Aleksandar Aleksić, Sonja Kostić	861
92. ENSURANCE OF QUALITY OF ENTRY, RECONSTRUCTION AND APPLICATION FOR CHANGING AGRICULTURAL GARDENS WITH THE USE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES	Srećko Ćurčić, Marija Blagojević, Olga Ristić	869
93. RATIONAL SUSTAINABLE USE OF WASTE BIOMASS IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE LOCAL COMMUNITY	Srećko Ćurčić, Aleksandar Peulić, Momčilo Vujičić	875
94. MARKETING MIX AND BRAND IMAGE ON PURCHASE DECISION AND POST PURCHASE BEHAVIOUR: CASE STUDY OF JOGJA BAY WATERPARK	Rizky Abadhanny Pribadi	883

95.	ACADEMIC ENTREPRENEURSHIP IN LIGHT OF THE EU FUNDS IN POLAND 2014-2020	
	Anna Prusak, Tadeusz Sikora, Magdalena Niewczas-Dobrowolska	901
96.	ANALYSIS OF THE SERBIA'S INNOVATION PROFILE	
	Sanja Puzović, Jasmina Vesić Vasović, Vladan Paunović, Zoran Nešić, Aleksandar Dragašević	911
97.	INNOVATIVE CULTURE IN THE TERM OF QUALITY CULTUREE	
	Milan Vukčević, Milan Perović, Srdjan Martić	919
98.	IMPACT OF INNOVATION ON THE GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT OF SMEs IN BOSNIA & HERZEGOVINA	
	Dino Tihic, Slaviša Moljević, Ranka Gojkovic	929
99.	OPEN INNOVATION AS A NEW PARADIGM IN RESEARCH AND BUSINESS	
	Slobodan Malbasic, Aleksic Aleksandar	937
100	THE EFFECTIVENESS OF APPLYING STORYTELLING IN ADVERTISING SPOTS	
	Adriana Vallejo, Stefos Efstathios, Mercy Guamán, María Banegas, Adrián Narvaez	945
101	THE ALIGNMENT OF INNOVATION STRATEGY, ISLAMIC HRM PRACTICES, AND ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE; A CONTINGENCY APPROACH	
	Muafi, Qurotul Uyun	959
102	HOW THE IMPLEMENTATION OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY TRANSFORMATION IN DEVELOPING POTENTIAL OF HIJAB SMEs IN GRESIK	
	Jun Surjanti, Yoyok Soesatyo, Sanaji Sanaji, Setya Chendra Wibawa	969
103	ANALYSIS OF NATURAL GAS CONSUMPTION IN HOUSEHOLDS	
	Angelina Pavlović, Saša Jovanović, Slobodan Savić, Danijela Nikolić, Jasmina Skerlić	979
104	MODELING SMART TOURISM	
	Slavko Arsovski, Zora Arsovski	989
105	LIQUIDITY AS PERFORMANCE INDICATOR – THE IMPACT OF MARKET CHANGES AND MANAGERIAL DECISIONS	
	Nastasija Mijović, Petar Todorović, Ivan Mačužić, Marko Đapan, Arso Vukićević, Marija Savković	997
106	A 'NEWPARADIGMATIC' PERSPECTIVE ON EDUCATING STUDENTS BEYOND NARCISSISTIC MODELS. TOWARDS PSYCHO-LINGUISTIC QUALITY IN INTERPERSONAL COMMUNICATION	
	Marta Bogusławska-Tafelska, Natalia Malenko, Alina-Andreea Dragoescu Urlica	1005

Danijela Nikolić¹
Saša Jovanović
Jasmina Skerlić
Vanja Šušteršič
Jasna Radulović

METHODOLOGY OF LIFE CYCLE SUSTAINABILITY ASSESSMENT

Abstract: Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) represents the most widely adopted tool for calculation and evaluation of the environmentally relevant inputs and outputs and the potential environmental impacts of the life cycle of a product, material or service. But, LCA methodology has its own disadvantages: it assesses environmental sustainability impacts and neglects the social and economic aspect of sustainability. Over the past decade, LCA has improved to include life cycle costing (LCC) and social LCA (SLCA), drawing on the 'triple bottom line' model of sustainability. With these developments, LCA has broadened from merely environmental assessment to a more comprehensive life cycle sustainability assessment. This paper presents the concepts and methodologies for developing the sustainability method based on life cycle thinking, including a description of a new method called Life Cycle Sustainability Assessment (LCSA). It was described the concept of sustainability, with three pillars of sustainability, i.e. the environmental, economic and social dimensions, which is the basement of LCSA methodology. After that the indicators were presented, as a ways of measuring impacts which indicate changes away from an original or a wanted condition.

Keywords: sustainability, life cycle assessment (LCA), life cycle sustainability assessment (LCSA), indicator.

1. Introduction

Methodology of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) was developed over the past 45 years as an analytical tool to measure and evaluate the environmental impacts of products and services (Schwarz, 2017) and it is essential to sustainable development, as it involves the evaluation of the environmental impacts of a product system through all stages of its life cycle (Hertwich, 2005; European Commission 2003). The main goals of life cycle assessment are to reduce a product's resource use and emissions to the environment. The results of a Life Cycle Assessment can provide substantial

information for decision-making in product development, ecodesign and the design of (manufacturing) processes on a corporate level, as well as for consumer decisions at the consumer level (Koroneos et al, 2013).

The first LCA studies that are now recognised as (partial) LCAs date from the late 1960s and early 1970s, a period in which environmental issues like resource and energy efficiency, pollution control and solid waste became issues of broad public concern.

Over the past decade, LCA has broadened to also include life cycle costing (LCC) and social LCA (SLCA), drawing on the three-

¹ Corresponding author: Danijela Nikolic
Email: danijalan@kg.ac.rs

pillar or 'triple bottom line' model of sustainability (Clift & Druckman, 2015). With these developments, LCA has broadened from merely environmental assessment to a more comprehensive life cycle sustainability assessment (LCSA).

There are three dimensions along which LCSA is expanding when compared to environmental LCA: (1) broadening of impacts, $LCSA = LCA + LCC + SLCA$; (2) broadening level of analysis, product-, sector- and economy-wide questions and analyses and (3) deepening, including other than just technological relations, such as physical, economic and behavioural relations.

From this analysis, it is clear that the vast majority of LCSA research so far has focused on the 'broadening of impacts' dimension only.

2. Sustainability

The concept of sustainability finds its origin in the forestry sector at XVIII century. Today, there are more than 300 definitions which have been formulated since the Brundtland report (Johnston, et al. 2007), and the spread of terms and definitions has increased according to different sources and authors (Schwarz, 2017).




Figure 1. Three pillars of sustainability

The idea of sustainability evolves around the concern for the anthropological impacts on the Earth's ecosystem, but simultaneously

includes both a social and an economic dimension. Based on this fact Elkington introduced the concept of the Triple Bottom Line (TBL) of sustainability in 1994 (Elkington, 1997). It states that sustainability should be measured against three dimensions: environment, economy and society, as showed in Figure 1.

It is not easy to define in unique way each pillar of sustainability, but it can be defined as next (Valente, 2013):

- environmental sustainability is the capacity to preserve rates of renewable resource harvest, pollution creation, and non-renewable resource depletion indefinitely;
- economic sustainability is the capacity to maintain a definite level of economic production indefinitely;
- social sustainability is the capacity of a social system to operate at a definite level of social well-being indefinitely.

According to the concept of the TBL, a focus on the environmental impacts and economic outcomes of an industrial activity is not sufficient to guarantee sustainability in the long run. Instead, it is of vital importance to include the social aspect, such as justice and distribution of assets both between individuals and companies, as well as between generations (Hauschild, 2005).

The hugely influential Brundtland Report (WCED, 1987) states that "sustainable development is development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs". This definition of sustainable development is the most generally accepted, commonly cited definition for sustainability as a whole.

The most developed frameworks assessing product sustainability is situated at the intersection of the environment and economy, addressing almost exclusively the

aspect of eco-efficiency (Shuaib at al, 2014). In addition, concepts accounting for social or economic sustainability have been developed and find application in industry and the public sector.

3. Concept and Methodology of LCSA

Life Cycle Sustainability Assessment method is result of the effort from UNEP/SETAC Life Cycle Initiative for extending the original scope of LCA towards sustainability assessment (UNEP/SETAC, 2009). Hence, the life cycle tool can be used for assessing the sustainability of products and process systems. LCSA is a developing method for “evaluating all environmental, social and economic negative impacts and benefits in decision-making processes towards more sustainable products throughout their life cycle” (UNEP/SETAC, 2011).




Figure 2. Pyramid of the methodological development of LCSA

LCSA comes from the life cycle thinking approach and it can be represented graphically as a pyramid (Figure 2) according to Finkbeiner et al. (2010). On the bottom of the pyramid, life cycle thinking is the qualitative aspect and on the level above it begins developing into quantitative

concepts such as carbon and water footprinting methods. LCA is the next level where more environmental aspects are considered at the same time. Higher in the pyramid, eco-efficiency and resource efficiency assessments include environmental and economic indicators at the same time. The last level is LCSA, where even the social dimension is included in the assessment.

The first theoretical idea guiding the current LCSA approach came from the German Oeko-Institut in 1987. Klopffer (2008) has proposed a formula for LCSA, where three methods i.e. Life Cycle Assessment (LCA), Life Cycle Costing (LCC) and Social Life Cycle Analysis (SLCA) are summed:

$$LCSA = LCA + LCC + SLCA$$

The LCC method has been developed independently and before the LCA and it represents the economic counterpart of LCA together with Full Cost Accounting (FCA) or Total Cost Assessment (or Accounting) (TCA). LCC is directly linked to the life cycle of a product system for assessing the true costs to be compared with another one having the same function. In some cases, the LCA of a product may be longer than the economic life cycle.

Social LCA is a more recent methodology than LCA and LCC. It is an emerging tool for measuring the social impact of product. The scope of the S-LCA is “to promote improvement of social conditions and of the overall socio-economic performance of a product throughout its life cycle for all of its stakeholders” (UNEP/SETAC 2009). As in the case of LCC, S-LCA have similarities and differences with LCA. In S-LCA, e.g. the geographical dimension is very important, because social issues are different from country to country. The time frame is another key element, because social impacts changes over time.

These three tools should be considered as three ways of looking at the same system.

Abbreviation of LCSA is used also for indicating another method called Life Cycle Sustainability Analysis as proposed by Guinee et al. (2011). This method advises an integrated framework at a conceptual level which is different than the LCSA method of Kloepffer (2008). Kloepffer models separately each aspect of sustainability and in the end of the analysis the method proposes a synthesis of the results for a final decision. However, Guinee's approach is only theoretical and there are not operational examples.

A new guideline towards LCSA standardization was made by SETAC and UNEP (2011). According to these guidelines, the benefits of using LCSA are that you are able to (Valente et al. 2013):

- show a balance between the three dimensions of sustainability, life cycle stages and impacts, products and generations;
- show enterprise to be more responsible environmentally and socially;
- support sustainability consciousness along value chain actors;
- help value chain actors in finding out weak points of product life cycle;
- support decision makers in choosing sustainable products and in giving priority to resources with less negative impacts;
- promote innovation and help to increase credibility in enterprises and suppliers and
- inform labeling projects.

Methodology of LCSA should follow the same steps as the ISO 14040 series, i.e. goal and scope definition, inventory analysis, impacts assessment and interpretation. LCA is ISO standardized through the ISO series 14040:14044, LCC is ISO standardized for building materials only and has a code of practice (Swarr et al. 2011), while S-LCA has guidelines only (UNEP/SETAC 2009).

The current status of LCSA shows different grades of maturity for the three methodologies. While assessment of the environmental aspects is based on well known and established methodologies, the methods for evaluating economic and social aspects are under development.

4. LCSA indicators

The explanation of indicators varies in different scientific fields. Indicators are a way of measuring impacts and indicate changes away from an original or a wanted condition: "Indicators have the capacity to summarize, focus and condense the complexity of dynamic environment to a manageable amount of meaningful information. By visualizing phenomena and highlighting trends, indicators simplify, quantify, analyze and communicate otherwise complex and complicated information" (Singh et al. 2009). In respect to each dimension of sustainability (environmental, economical and social), the indicators are shown both in an extensive overview and in a more selective one.

Environmental indicators connected to LCA are: Abiotic resource depletion potential, Biotic resource depletion potential, Primary Energy Demand, Global warming potential, Acidification potential, Eutrophication potential, Ozone depletion potential, Photochemical ozone creation Potential, Human- and eco-toxicity, Particulate matter formation, Land use and Water use (Schwarz, 2017). In addition to the quantitative environmental indicators, there are several environmental aspects which are not that easily quantified.

Specific economic indicators are recommended by the UNEP/SETAC guidelines for LCSA and other literature (Valente, 2013) – Table 1. However, there is possibility to time limitation, controversy of some indicators and "double counting" issues between some social and economic indicators.

Table 1. Economic indicators

	Cost categories	Indicators
UNEP/SETAC 2011	Labour costs	Wages costs
	Material costs	Material costs (operational costs)
		Energy costs (operational costs)
		Equipment costs (investment costs)
		Revenues
		Taxes
	Discount analysis	
Basurko and Mesbahi, 2012	Annual capital charge	Capital costs
		Interest rate
		Repayment period
		Consumables costs
		Training costs
		Maintenance Costs
	End-of-life costs	End-of-life costs
		Number of years between present and future time

List of indicators most used in social LCA study by several authors and organizations, includes the next social indicators: Total number of employees, for type of contract, measured of diversity and type of work; Average salary of workers; Valorization of the diversity; Health and safety of working conditions; Professional development and employability; Company commitment for improving environmental quality; Child labour; Forced and compulsory work; Marketing policy; Knowledge and management of potential damage of products and services; Practice against corruption and illegal price fixing and Freedom of association and collective bargaining.

In the social science field, it is very important to interact with the project partners, companies and stakeholder since social issue are evaluated not only quantitatively, but also qualitatively. It is to highlight that sometimes social indicators can be defined also as socio-economic indicators, due to the strong interaction between these two spheres and so it is difficult to have a clear distinction.

5. Conclusion

Big challenge for scientist was to develop a framework for sustainability life cycle assessment, because of the innovative and recent methodology and lack of standards. A new method called Life Cycle Sustainability Assessment can be a solution to this problem. This method allows evaluating the three pillars of sustainability, using the methodology of LCA, LCC and S-LCA. Even if these methodologies have different level of maturity (from the well-known LCA to the recent and not very developed Social LCA), it is possible to assess products along the supply chain.

LCSA is the most developed sustainability approach today, even if only a few examples of application are currently available and few of them include social aspects. The methodology has been criticized of lacking the understanding of the interdependency between the three pillars of sustainability . However, it is a comprehensive approach and the most accepted method internationally.

Acknowledgment: This investigation is part of the project TR 33015 of Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia. The authors like to thank to the Ministry of

Education and Science of Republic of Serbia for the financial support during this investigation.

References:

- Clift R. & Druckman A., (2015), Taking Stock of Industrial Ecology, Springer, ISBN 978-3-319-20570-0, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-20571-7
- European Commission (2003). Towards a Thematic Strategy on the Sustainable Use of Natural Resources. Communica
- Finkbeiner M., Schau E. M., Lehmann A. and M. Traverso. (2010). Towards Life Cycle Sustainability Assessment. *Sustainability* 2 (10): p.3309–3322
- Guinée, J. B., R. Heijungs, G. Huppes, A. Zamagni, P. Masoni, R. Buonamici, T. Ekvall, and T. Rydberg. (2011). Life Cycle Assessment: Past, Present, and Future. *Environmental Science & Technology* 45 (1): p. 90–96.
- Hauschild M., Jeswiet J. and L. Alting. (2005). From Life Cycle Assessment to Sustainable Production: Status and Perspectives. *CIRP Annals - Manufacturing Technology*, 54 (2): p. 1–21
- Hertwich E. (2005). Life cycle approaches to sustainable consumption: a critical review. *Environmental Science & Technology* 39 (13): p. 4673.
- J. Elkington. (1997). Partnerships from Cannibals with Forks: The Triple Bottom line of 21st Century Business. *Environmental Quality Management* (199): p. 37–51
- Johnston P., Everard M., Santillo D. and Karl-Henrik R.. (2007)., Reclaiming the Definition of Sustainability (7 Pp). *Environmental Science and Pollution Research - International* 14 (1): p. 60–66.
- Kloepffer W. (2008). Life Cycle Sustainability Assessment of Products. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment* 13 (2): p. 89–95
- Koroneos C., Nanaki E., Rovas D., Krokida M. (2013), Life Cycle Assessment: A Strategic Tool for Sustainable Development Decisions, *The 3rd world sustainability forum*
- Schwarz I. (2017), Beyond LCA: Development of a framework for product sustainability assessment in the context of a product's system environment, *Master Thesis*, MIT - Massachusetts Institute of Technology
- Shuaib M., SeEVERS D., Zhang X., Badurdeen F., Rouch K. E., and Jawahir I. S.. (2014). Product sustainability index (ProdSI): A metrics-based framework to evaluate the total life cycle sustainability of manufactured products. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 18 (4): p. 491–507
- Singh R. K., Murty H.R, Gupta, S.K. and. Dikshit A.K. (2009). An Overview of Sustainability Assessment Methodologies. *Ecological Indicators* 9 (2): p. 189–212
- Swarr, T. E., Hunkeler D., Klöpffer W., Pesonen H.L., Ciroth A., Brent A. C. and Pagan R.. (2011). Environmental Life-Cycle Costing: A Code of Practice. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment* 16 (5): p. 389–391
- UNEP/SETAC. (2009). Guidelines for Social Life Cycle Assessment of Products. UNEP/SETAC Life Cycle Initiative
- UNEP/SETAC. (2011). Towards a Life Cycle Sustainability Assessment: Making Informed Choices on Products
- Valente C., Saur Modahl I. and Askham C., (2013). Method development for Life Cycle Sustainability Assessment (LCSA) of New Norwegian Biorefinery - Report, ISBN 978-82-

7520-711-9

W. WCED. (1987). Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development: Our Common Future Acronyms and Note on Terminology Chairman ' s Foreword

Danijela Nikolić

Faculty of engineering
University of Kragujevac,
Kragujevac,
Serbia
danijelan@kg.ac.rs

Saša Jovanović

Faculty of engineering
University of Kragujevac,
Kragujevac,
Serbia
dviks@kg.ac.rs

Jasmina Skerlić

Faculty of engineering
University of Kragujevac,
Kragujevac,
Serbia
jskerlic@kg.ac.rs

Vanja Šušteršič

Faculty of engineering
University of Kragujevac,
Kragujevac,
Serbia
vanjas@kg.ac.rs

Jasna Radulović

Faculty of engineering
University of Kragujevac,
Kragujevac,
Serbia
jasna@kg.ac.rs

CIP - Каталогизација у публикацији
Народна библиотека Србије, Београд

62

PROCEEDINGS on Engineering Sciences / editors Slobodan
Mitrović, Miladin Stefanović. – Vol. 1, no. 2 (2019)- . - Kragujevac :
Faculty of Engineering University, 2019- (Kragujevac : Inter Print). - 26
cm

Godišnje.

ISSN 2620-2832 = Proceedings on Engineering Sciences
COBISS.SR-ID 275951372