



Theoretical Approaches

Empirical Approaches

Local, Regional and Global Quality of Life

Sustainable Development and Quality of Life

Freedom and Quality of Life

Quality and Quality of Life

Impact of development science and technology on quality of life

Methodology of Quality of Life and Happiness

Management of sustainable development

Current Issues

Perspectives of Quality of Life



2nd QoL

QUALITY RESEARCH

2nd International Conference on Quality of Life



CONFERENCE MANUAL

June 08th-10th - 2017, Kragujevac
Faculty of Engineering, University of Kragujevac

Conference manual

ISBN: 978 - 86 - 6335 - 043 - 4

Editors: *Dr Slavko Arsovski*, full professor
Faculty of Engineering, Kragujevac
Dr Danijela Tadić, full professor
Faculty of Engineering, Kragujevac
Dr Miladin Stefanović, full professor
Faculty of Engineering, Kragujevac

Technical Editor: *Dr Snežana Nestić*, assistant professor
Faculty of Engineering, Kragujevac

Publisher: **FACULTY OF ENGINEERING**
34000 KRAGUJEVAC
Sestre Janjić 6

CENTER FOR QUALITY
34000 KRAGUJEVAC
Sestre Janjić 6

For publishers: *Prof. dr Dobrica Milovanović*
Prof. dr Slavko Arsovski

No. of copies: 200

Printing: Faculty of Engineering, Kragujevac

Copyright © Faculty of Engineering, University of Kragujevac, 2017.
Copyright © Center for Quality, Kragujevac, 2017.

Publication of Conference manual and organization of
2nd International Conference on Quality of Life is supported by:
Department of Education, Science and Technological Development of Republic of Serbia

Izdavanje Zbornika radova, organizovanje i održavanje
2nd International Conference on Quality of Life podržalo je:
Ministarstvo prosvete, nauke i tehnološkog razvoja Republike Srbije

***2nd International Conference on Quality of Life
Programme Committee***

1. Prof. dr Slavko Arsovski, Faculty of Engineering, University of Kragujevac, Kragujevac, president
2. Prof. dr Tadeusz Sikora, The Department of Quality Management, Cracow University of Economics, Kraków, Poland
3. Prof. dr Ezendu Ariwa, London Metropolitan Business School, London Metropolitan University, London, UK
4. Prof. dr Tadeja Jere Lazanski, University of Primorska, Koper, Slovenia
5. Prof. dr Mirko Soković, Faculty Of Mechanical Engineering, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia
6. Prof. dr Milan Perović, Faculty Of Mechanical Engineering, University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro
7. Prof. dr Zdravko Krivokapić, Faculty Of Mechanical Engineering, University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro
8. Prof. dr Goran Putnik, University of Minho, Braga, Portugal
9. Assoc. Prof. dr Martí Casadesús, Universitat de Girona, Girona, Spain
10. Assoc. Prof. dr Iñaki Heras, Universidad del País Vasco, San Sebastian, Spain
11. Prof. dr Stanislav Karapetrović, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada
12. Prof. dr Miroslav Badida, Technical University of Košice, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Department of Environmental, Studies and Information Engineering, Košice, Slovakia
13. Prof. dr Danijela Tadić, Faculty of engineering, University of Kragujevac, Kragujevac, Serbia
14. Prof. dr Jovan Filipović, Faculty of organizational sciences, Belgrade, Serbia
15. Prof. dr Zora Arsovski, Faculty of economics, University of Kragujevac, Kragujevac, Serbia
16. Assoc. Prof. dr Gordana Nikolić, University of Rijeka, Rijeka, Croatia
17. Prof. dr Miladin Stefanović, Faculty of engineering, University of Kragujevac, Kragujevac, Serbia
18. dr Prasun Das, SQC & OR Division of the Indian Statistical Institute (ISI), Kolkata, India
19. Prof. dr Ayşegül Akdoğan Eker, Yıldız Technical, University Mechanical Faculty, Beşiktaş/İstanbul-Turkey
20. Prof. dr Bülent Eker, Namık Kemal University, Tekirdağ-Turkey
21. Prof. dr Georgeta Rață, U.S.A.M.V.B. Timișoara, România
22. Paul M. Andre, AQE Group, Chicago, Illinois, USA
23. Prof. dr Nenad Injac, Quality Austria, Wien, Austria
24. Prof. dr Krešimir Buntak, University North, Koprivnica, Croatia
25. Prof. dr Petroman Ioan, Faculty of Agricultural Management, U.S.A.M.V.B. Timișoara, România



**2nd International conference
on Quality of Life**

Dear friends,

The goal of the 2nd International conference on quality of life 2017 is to enable knowledge analysis and transfer to all stakeholders through exchanging ideas and presenting the results of ongoing research in a range of different topics in the field of improving the quality of life.

We invite you to participate in this important event.

*Sincerely yours,
President of Organization Committee*

Prof. dr Slawko Arsouski

CONTENT:

1. Shirshendu Roy, Shreya Bagchi Roy, Sujoy Samaddar EMPLOYEE SATISFACTION AND WORK ENVIRONMENT- AN INDIAN SCENARIO	1
2. Slavko Arsovski, Aleksandar Đorđević, Suzana Doljanica, Miloš Petronijević A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HAPPINESS AND SUCCESS OF STUDENTS ON MASTER STUDIES EMOTIONAL DESIGN AND QUALITY OF LIFE	7
3. Milan J. Perović, Milan M.Vukčević , Srđan Đ. Martić SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY AND QUALITY OF LIVING- PROCESS APPROACH	13
4. Tadeja Jere Jakulin, Rudi Čop THE IMPACT OF SUNSPOT CYCLES ON TOURISTS ARRIVALS DURING 1948 AND 2012	19
5. Biljana Ranković QUALITY MEDIA STATION: A STUDENTS’ VIEW OF THE QUALITY INFRASTRUCTURE IN THE WESTERN BALKANS	25
6. Davor Grgurević , Krešimir Buntak, Vesna Sesar PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS OF MOTIVATION IN PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION	33
7. Zorica Lazic, Ivan Mijailovic, Dejan Lazic QUALITY OF LIFE OF STUDENTS IN THE UNIVERSITY SYSTEM AND THEIR IMPACT ON EDUCATIONAL PROCESS	49
8. Danijela Nikolić, Jasmina Skerlić, Jasna Radulović ENERGY EFFICIENT BUILDINGS – LEGISLATION AND DESIGN	55
9. Alina-Andreea Dragoescu, Sandra Stefanović AN ECOLINGUISTIC APPROACH TO QUALITY IN ESP TEACHING/LEARNING	61
10. Jasna Radulović, Marina Radović, Nikola Mijailovic, Vesna Ranković, Miroslav Trajanović IMPROVEMENT OF DIAGNOSTICS IN ORTHOPEDICS USING ELECTRICAL IMPEDANCE MEASUREMENT	67
11. Zoran Davidovac, Gorana Krsmanović RANKING OF SUBJECTIVE PARAMETERS OF QUALITY OF LIFE OF STUDENTS OF BBS-HEIFAS USING THE AHP METHOD.....	73

12. Nedeljka Živković, Zorana Antić RANKING OF SUBJECTIVE PARAMETERS OF QUALITY OF LIFE OF STUDENTS OF BBS-HEIFAS USING THE AHP METHOD.....	81
13. Lozica Ivanović, Biljana Marković, Slavko Arsovski, Milan Rackov, Siniša Kuzmanović EMOTIONAL DESIGN AND QUALITY OF LIFE.....	87
14. Slavko Arsovski, Jovan Milivojević, Tijana Cvetić DEVELOPMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DISTRICTS FROM ASPECTS OF QUALITY OF LIFE	95
15. Jovan Milivojević, Tijana Cvetić, Aleksandra Kokić Arsić, Nebojša Nikolić QUALITY OF LIFE IN CITIES – RESEARCH ASPECT	101
16. Anna Prusak, Tadeusz Sikora, Magdalena Niewczas-Dobrowolska THE APPLICATION OF THE ANALYTIC HIERARCHY PROCESS IN MEASURING THE QUALITY OF LIFE	113
17. Jasmina Skerlić, Budimir Sudimac, Danijela Nikolić, Blaža Stojanović, Jasna Radulović OPTIMIZATION OF THE BUILDING ENVELOPE WITH INTEGRATED VEGETATION MODULAR ELEMENTS USING FACTORIAL DESIGN .	121
18. Bülent EKER, Ayşegül Akdoğan Eker, Cem Aldag APPLICATION OF TRIZ METHOD IN LIFE QUALITY	129
19. Mirjana Misita, Dragan D. Milanovic, Predrag Dragojlovic, Aleksandar Zunjic RISK MANAGEMENT AND MULTIPLE CRITERIA DECISION MAKING OF ENTERPRISE PRODUCTION PROGRAM.....	133
20. Boris Agarski, Djordje Vukelic, Mijodrag Milosevic, Borut Kosec, Igor Budak MULTI-CRITERIA DECISION MAKING AND LIFE CYCLE MANAGEMENT MODEL FOR OPTIMAL TRANSPORT SELECTION	139
21. Oliver Momčilović, Dejan Obučinski, Tijana Cvetić, Tamara Vesić, Slađana Vujičić APPLICATION OF STRUCTURAL EQUATION MODELING IN FUNCTION OF SUSTAINABLE SUCCESS OF BUSINESS ENTITIES	145
22. Dajana Živković, Nikola Komatina DETERMINATION OF THE LEVEL OF RISK IN MANUFACTURING SUPPLY CHAIN	153
23. Nikola Komatina, Dajana Živković DETERMINATION OF BUSINESS SUCCESSFUL OF SUPPLY CHAIN AND INFLUENCE ON CUSTOMERS AND EMPLOYEES	161
24. Zoran Marjanović, Miomir Raos, Milena Jovanović, Milan Protić THE STATE OF AIR POLLUTION IN KRAGUJEVAC CAUSED BY THE OPERATION OF ENERGETIKA, LLC	167

25. Nenad Todić, Slobodan Savić, Dušan Gordić DEVELOPMENT AND DESIGN OF WATER HYDRAULICS COMPONENTS	175
26. Aleksandar Pavlović, Milan Pavlović, Miroslav Vulić, Aleksandar Tomović TESTING AND RESULTS VALIDATION OF SIMULATION OF RECYCLING PROCESS AND QUALITY OF LIFE.....	181
27. Srećko Ćurčić, Tijana Cvetić OBJECTIVES LIFECYCLE OF THE VEHICLE AS A FUNCTION OF THE QUALITY OF LIFE.....	187
28. Jelena Nikolić, Zoran Končalović THE PRESERVATION OF ENVIROMENTAL QUALITY WITH REDUCTION OF CARBON DIOXIDE EMISSIONS DURING THE HEATING OF EXISTING BUILDING.....	195
29. Vasiljević Saša, Rajković Dragan, Đorđević Miloslav ECOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF VEHICLES IN ROAD TRANSPORT	201
30. Slavko Arsovski, Danijela Tadić, Lozica Ivanović, Tijana Cvetić THE IMPACT OF PRODUCING DEVICES FOR RECYCLING ON THE ENVIRONMENT.....	209
31. Slavko Arsovski, Sonja Kostić, Danijela Tadić, Tijana Cvetić A NEW MODEL FOR EVALUATION AND SELECTION OF RECYCLING TECHNOLOGIES	217
32. Elżbieta Wolanin-Jarosz ANALYSIS AND ASSESSMENT OF LIVING CONDITIONS AND LIFE QUALITY OF POLISH ECONOMIC EMIGRANTS IN ENGLAND	223
33. Ohoud Rashed Alfalahi, Khoulood Abdullah Al Awadhi THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE LEARNING ORGANIZATION AND ITS LEVEL OF PERFORMANCE AND EFFICIENCY IN PUBLIC-SECTOR ESTABLISHMENTS.....	233
34. Saad Albarout, Hamza Salem SYSTEM 4 IN ORGANIZATION DEVELOPMENT IN PERSPECRTIVE OF LEADERSHIP AND EMPLOYEE RELEATIONSHIP.....	241
35. Miloš Milošević TIME USE AS A QUALITY OF LIFE FACTOR.....	247
36. Ljubisa Bojić CLASSIFICATION OF MEDIA ADDICTION	253
37. Ljubisa Bojić INITIATION OF MEDIA ADDICTION	261

38. Ivana Bulut Bogdanović, Zoran Nešić, Miroslav Radojičić, Đorđe Mihailović
IMPROVEMENT OF BUSINESS QUALITY BY APPLICATION OF INTERNET
TECHNOLOGY..... 271
39. Miladin Stefanović, Snežana Nestić, Aleksandar Đorđević, Hrvoje Puškarić, Marija
Zahar Đorđević
DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION OF SYSTEM FOR PERFORMANCE
EVALUATION FOR SERBIAN HEIS AND SYSTEM AS A TOOL FOR QUALITY
IMPROVEMENT..... 275
40. Bülent Eker, Aysel İçöz
QUALITY-BASED SOLUTIONS IN FOOD INDUSTRY MACHINERY ... 281
41. Magdalena Niewczas-Dobrowolska, Tadeusz Sikora, Anna Prusak
FOOD QUALITY AND SAFETY AS IMPORTANT ASPECTS OF QUALITY OF
LIFE 287
42. Bülent Eker, Aysel İçöz
SMART, ACTIVE AND SUSTAINABLE FOOD PACKAGING 293
43. Grbić Ljiljana, Bojanić Nevena
THE IMPACT OF THE QUALITY OF LIFE ON FACULTY PERFORMANCE
..... 303
44. Angela Fajsi, Slobodan Moraca, Nela Cvetkovic
QUALITY OF WORK LIFE OF EMPLOYEES IN SMES: DOES SMALL
BUSINESS ENCOURAGE SKILL DEVELOPMENT?..... 311
45. Predrag Pravdić, Snežana Gavrilović
IMPACT OF DEVELOPMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES ON THE
QUALITY OF LIFE IN SERBIA 317
46. Vasiljević Saša, Bošković Bojana, Mladenović Milan
INFLUENCE OF NOISE WHOSE SOURCE ARE VEHICLES ON CITIZENS OF
Kragujevac AND THEIR QUALITY OF LIFE..... 323
47. Zorica Djordjević, Saša Jovanović, Sandra Veličković, Djurdje Jović, Jovana
Milićević
INFLUENCE OF APPLICATION OF NEW MATERIAL IN AUTOMOTIVE
INDUSTRY ON IMPROVING QUALITY OF LIFE 329
48. Dragan Cvetković, Aleksandar Nešović, Ljubiša Bojić
THE IMPACT OF INPUT TEMPERATURE AT PANEL HEATING SYSTEM TO
HEAT THE SPORTS HALL 333

49. Francisc Popescu, Bogdana Vujic, Adrian-Eugen Cioabla, Milan Pavlovic, Delia-Gabriela Calinoiu Aleksandar Djuric, Gavrilă Trif-Tordai USE OF AIR DISPERSION MODEL FOR SUSTAINABLE MANAGEMENT AND PLANNING OF TRANSBOUNDARY INDUSTRIAL ACTIVITIES. OBSERVATIONS ON A CLOSED MINING TAILING POND.....	339
50. Jarosław Kaczmarek QUALITY OF TRANSPORT TECHNICAL MEANS AND ITS EFFICIENCY	343
51. Jasna Radulović, Danijela Nikolić, Jasmina Skerlić, Mina Vasković USE OF PHASE CHANGE MATERIALS FOR BUILDING INTEGRATION	351
52. Hrvoje Puškarić, Sonja Kostić, Aleksandar Aleksić, Danijela Tadić THE EVALUATE OF A NEW DEVELOPING PROJECTS UNDER UNCERTAIN MARKET	357
53. Jovan Milivojević, Tijana Cvetić, Ivan Savović, Nebojša Nikolić QUALITY OF LIFE IN THE FOLLOWING CENTURIES	363
54. Jovan Milivojević FUNCTIONAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MATERIAL ME AND MIND ME - I AM	379
55. Radomir Brzaković, Miodrag Brzaković, Dragana Doljanica EDI AND ITS POWER TO CHANGE BUSINESS AND LIFE-CASE STUDY	401

Danijela Nikolić¹⁾
Jasmina Skerlić¹⁾
Jasna Radulović¹⁾

1) Faculty of Mechanical
Engineering, University of
Kragujevac
{danijelan@kg.ac.rs,
jskerlic@gmail.com,
jasna@kg.ac.rs}

ENERGY EFFICIENT BUILDINGS – LEGISLATION AND DESIGN

Abstract: The problem of energy stability in the last few decades has become the most important problem of the world economy and social system. Increasing energy consumption and use of fossil fuels have led to increased environmental pollution due to greenhouse gases emissions. All of this has resulted in global warming and climate changes. EU with their system regulatives obliges its members to continually increase energy efficiency, bringing a number of directives to achieve greater energy efficiency and reduce the existing ecological imbalance on Earth. From many sources, it can be concluded that building sector has the energy consumption about 20 - 40% of total energy consumption and CO₂ emission about 36 %. Therefore, it should strive to improvement building energy efficiency, which includes a continuous application of a wide range of activities with the ultimate goal of reducing the consumption of all types of energy with better or equal conditions in the building. One possible solution is the concept of zero- net energy building (ZNEB). These solutions result in reduced greenhouse gases emissions and thus contribution to the protection of the environment and reduce global warming, and therefore a better quality of life.

Keywords: energy efficiency; directive; ZNEB; global warming

1. INTRODUCTION

In the modern world today, the significant part of the total energy consumption is related to the building, so research and development of methods for improving energy efficiency in buildings are very important. Regarding the building sector, from many sources, it can be concluded that the consumption of energy in buildings at the global level is 20 - 40% of total energy consumption, while in Serbia it is at the level of 35 % [1]. It should be taken into account that this consumption is related to the exploitation conditions of buildings, where the largest consumer is heating system (also cooling system), installation for the heating of domestic hot water, household appliances etc. By sectors: final energy consumption is mostly consumed in the household sector 35%, industry 27%, traffic 25%, while other sectors accounted 13% (Fig. 1).

Serbia is among the countries that has the lowest level of energy efficiency in Europe and

is located at the bottom of the list among the countries which uses energy rationally. In the structures of total energy consumption of Serbian building, about 60% of the energy consumption is related to the space heating, and the rest to the ventilation, lighting and electrical appliances. This information is fully illustrated by the fact that in Serbia there are 300-400 000 houses which has no thermal insulation - energy inefficient homes, with an annual energy consumption of 220 kWh/m², while the European average energy consumption is 60 kWh/m². This situation might be considerably improved by using methods of energy-efficient building design, the appropriate choice of materials in the newly designed building and using all of the principles that enable high-quality energy rehabilitation of existing non-insulated structures.

Also, the world's reserves of oil, gas and coal are lower, and problems of global warming, greenhouse gases and air pollution are increasing. Because of that, research and

development of renewable energy resources and use have significant impact on the environment [2].




Figure 1 – Total final energy consumption in Serbia 2010.

Energy efficiency in buildings means the efficient use of energy with the use of optimal measures aimed at reducing energy consumption with financial saving of the end-user, comfortable and quality living in the building, reducing maintenance costs and extending the lifetime of the building, contribution to environmental protection and reducing greenhouse gas emissions and global climate change.

Concept of improving the building energy efficiency involves a continuous and wide range of activities with the final task to reduce the consumption of all kinds of energy, with the same or better conditions in the building.

EU with system regulatives obliges its members to continually increase energy efficiency, bringing a number of directives to achieve greater energy efficiency and reduce the existing ecological imbalance on the Earth.

In the recent years, many of scientists defined different concepts of low-energy buildings. Radical approach for the mitigation of the energy demand is the concept of the zero-net energy building (ZNEB) and positive-net energy building (PNEB) [3], which will be discussed in this paper.

2. LEGISLATION

The legal conditions define all measures and actions aimed at increasing energy efficiency. The European Union has adopted a series of directives on which they are based the regulations adopted by the Republic of Serbia.

The most important European documents

related to energy efficiency are:

- Directive 2002/91/EC (EPBD – directive about building energy performance);
- Directive 2010/31/EU (Energy Labelling Directive)
- EU Action plan for Energy Efficiency

2.1 Directive 2002/91/EC (EPBD)

The EPBD (2002/91/EC - Directive on the energy performance of buildings) is the main legislative instrument at EU level for achieving energy performance in buildings [4]. It is established in 2002 and required from all EU member states:

1. improving regulations concerning to the construction of buildings;
2. introducing the certification of buildings related to energy consumption and
3. introducing the regular inspection of boilers and cooling systems.

The Directive came into force on 4 January 2003 and had to be implemented by the EU Member States at the latest on 4 January 2006. It was inspired by the Kyoto Protocol which commits the EU to reduce CO₂ by 8% by 2010, to 5.2% below 1990 levels.

2.2 Directive 2010/31/EU (EPBD II)

On May 2010, a recast of the Energy Performance of Buildings Directive (EPBD II) was adopted by the European Parliament and the Council of the European Union in order to strengthen the energy performance requirements and to clarify and streamline some of the provisions from the 2002 Directive it replaces [5]. The main goal of EPBD II is to promote building energy efficiency, taking into account outdoor climatic and local conditions as well as indoor climate requirements and cost-effectiveness, for all EU member states. Stricter frames are implied following activities:

1. General framework for a methodology for calculating energy performance of buildings and parts of buildings;
2. Minimum energy efficiency requirements for new buildings and new parts of buildings;
3. Application of the minimum energy efficiency requirements in existing buildings, parts of buildings, parts of buildings under reconstruction, parts of building envelope, the

technical parts of the building for installing, replacing or upgrading;

4. National plans for increasing the number of "nearly zero net-energy" buildings;

5. Energy certification of buildings and parts of buildings;

6. Regular inspection of boilers and air conditioners;

7. Independent control system certification.

2.3 EU Action plan

EU leaders in 2007 pledged to make a highly energy efficient Europe with low carbon emission, which resulted in adoption of 2020 Climate & Energy Package in 2009 [6]. EU Action plan or 2020 Climate & Energy Package is a set of binding legislation to ensure the EU meets its climate and energy targets for the year 2020. The package sets three key targets, according to Directive 2009/28/EC and the "Triple 20" procedure:

- 20% reducing in greenhouse gas emissions
- 20% reducing in energy consumption
- to incorporate 20% renewable energy in total energy consumption,

all of them compared to 1990 levels.

Now EU sets new targets for 2030 (Fig. 2).




Figure 2 – 2020 and 2030 Framework for Climate and Energy

2.4 Legislation in Serbia

Republic of Serbia established a series of documents related to the improving building energy efficiency:

- Energy Law (2004) (2012)

- Law on Planning and Construction (2011)

- Rulebook on building energy efficiency (2011)

- Rulebook on the conditions, content and manner of issuing certificates of energy performance of buildings (2012)

- Rulebook on technical inspection of the facility and the issuance of the occupancy permit

- Rulebook on the conditions, program and manner of taking the professional examination in the field of spatial and urban planning, preparation of technical documentation and construction (2012)

- Standards

With these documents, Serbia pledged to make more energy efficient society.

The most important document is Rulebook on building energy efficiency, which:

- prescribes the conditions that objects, depending on the purpose, must satisfy,
- provides a method of calculating thermal properties of the objects.
- prescribe the obligation of determining the energy requirements for existing buildings.
- determine the conditions, content and manner of issuing the certificate of energy efficiency of buildings

This regulation applies to:

- 1) the construction of new buildings
- 2) reconstruction, upgrading, renewal, renovation, repair and rehabilitation of existing buildings energy

The average energy consumption for heating in buildings in Serbia is over 150 kWh/m² per year, while in developed European countries it is below 50 kWh/m². It is evident that this fact is forcing Serbia to intensify activities to support the standards that apply in the EU or, at least, to significantly closer to them.

3. ENERGY EFFICIENT BUILDINGS

There are five main categories of energy-efficient buildings: Low-energy house; Passive house; Zero Energy House; Autonomous house and Energy plus house [7].

3.1 Low-energy house

There is no global definition for low-energy house. Because national standards vary considerably, ‘low energy’ developments in one country may not meet ‘normal practice’ in another. In Germany a “Low Energy House” (Niedrigenergiehaus) has an energy consumption limit of 50 kWh/m² per year for space heating. In Switzerland the term is used in connection with the MINERGIE standard – no more than 42 kWh/m² per year should be used for space heating. Right now, it is generally considered that low-energy house uses around half of energy mentioned in those standards for space heating, typically in the range from 20 - 30 kWh/m² per year.




Figure 3 – Low-energy house

Low-energy building typically use high levels of insulation, energy efficient windows, low levels of air infiltration and heat recovery ventilation to lower heating and cooling energy (Fig 3). They may also use passive solar building design techniques or active solar technologies.

3.2 Passive house

Definition of passive house is: “A Passive House is a building, for which thermal comfort can be achieved solely by post heating or post cooling of the fresh air mass, which is required to fulfill sufficient indoor air quality conditions – without a need for recirculated air”. Some countries have their own standards that define passive house in more strict way. In Germany the term passive house refers to the rigorous, voluntary, Passivhaus standard for energy efficiency in buildings. In Switzerland is in use similar standard – MINERGIE-P. It is estimated that the number of passive houses

around the world range from 15,000 to 20,000 and the vast majority have been built in German-speaking countries or Scandinavia.



Figure 4 – Passive house

The Passivhaus standard for central Europe requires that the building fulfills the following requirements:

- The building must not use more than 15 kWh/m² per year in heating and cooling energy.
- Total energy consumption (energy for heating, hot water and electricity) must not be more than 42 kWh/m² per year
- Total primary energy (source energy for electricity and etc.) consumption (primary energy for heating, hot water and electricity) must not be more than 120 kWh/m² per year

3.3 Zero Energy House

A house with zero net energy consumption and zero carbon emissions annually is called zero energy house. Zero net energy consumption means that zero energy houses can be autonomous from the energy grid supply, but in practice that means that in some periods power is gained from grid and in other periods power is returned to grid. To achieve this energy must be produced on-site with non polluting renewable energy sources. Zero energy houses are also very interesting from environmental point of view because renewable energy means that greenhouse gas emissions are very low.

3.4 Autonomous house

An autonomous building is a building designed to be operated independently from infrastructural support services such as the electric power grid, municipal water systems, sewage treatment systems, storm drains,

communication services, and in some cases public roads. Autonomous building is much more than energy efficient house – energy is only one of resources to gain from the nature.



Figure 5 – Autonomous house

3.5 Energy plus house

Energy-plus-house is house that on average over the year produces more energy from renewable energy sources than it imports from external sources. This is achieved using combination of small power generators and low-energy building techniques such as passive solar building design, insulation and careful site selection and placement. Many energy-plus houses are almost indistinguishable from a traditional home, since they simply use the most energy-efficient solutions (appliances, fixtures, etc) throughout the house. In some developed countries power distribution companies have to buy surplus electricity from energy-plus homes, and with that approach house can even earn money for owner.



Figure 6 – Energy plus house

4. ZERO NET-ENERGY BUILDINGS

Within the built environment the term ‘net energy’ is often used to describe a balance between energy used by the building and its occupants and systems and energy produced by its renewable energy systems. Employing this meaning, various definitions of ‘net-zero energy building’ are widely used. Historical

definitions of zero energy are based mainly on annual energy use for the building’s operation (heating, cooling, ventilation, lighting, etc.) [8]. The term ‘net-zero energy’ is frequently used to present the annual energy balance of a grid connected building but it does not consider the energy inputs to deliver the building and its components (Fig. 7). As such it is not directly associated with the use of the term ‘net energy’ as related to life cycle energy accounting and as defined in ecological economics and in the renewable energy field.

The Zero Energy Building (ZEB) is a complex concept with number of already existing approaches that spotlight different aspects of ZEB [9]. Furthermore, the energy balance calculation of a building equipped with on-site and/or off-site renewable energy generation systems and/or interacting with the utility grid and striving to fulfil ‘zero’ goal is not an easy task.




Figure 7 – Types of balance and grid interaction in a Zero Net-Energy Building

In zero net-primary energy building, the amount of consumed energy and sold energy as surplus to the electricity grid, is multiplied by a conversion coefficient of final to primary energy, which allows flexibility in terms of energy use for heating. In recent years the concepts of zero net-energy building consumption have been improved, so in energy analyzes embodied energy is taken into account (for solar systems, thermal insulations...).

According to the definition given by Kapsalaki, zero net-energy building (ZNEB) produces all energy it consumes during year, and the yearly electrical energy supplied to the electricity grid balances that received from the

electricity grid [3].

The Positive net-energy building (PNEB) produces more energy than it consumes during year, and the yearly electrical energy supplied to the electricity grid is higher than that received from the electricity grid [3].

The Negative net-energy building (NNEB) produce annually less energy than it needs, ie. surplus electricity being sold to the electric grid is less than the electricity purchased from the grid (annually) [3].

The concept of ZNEB is based on the urgent need to reduce carbon emissions and to reduce the energy consumption by increasing energy efficiency. Due to the existence of awareness of environmental protection, as well as the enacted legislation to promote the construction of houses with zero net-energy consumption, nowadays it has already built a number of houses of this type in the world.

For zero net-energy building design, it must takes into account the following: building orientation and climate zone, location of the building, insulation degree at the building envelope, optimized high-performance glazing, optimized use of daylighting, low density ambient lighting (electronically controlled), control according to calendar unit, control of plug and processes, super efficient HVAC

systems, greater use of heat pumps and using renewable energy sources.

Integrating and simulation of joint use of various technologies in the development of the buildings with zero net-energy consumption is done from the point of maximum exergy and energy efficiency, minimizing emissions of carbon dioxide and other harmful substances, and maximizing economic effects.

5. CONCLUSION

Energy efficiency is important and in future it will be even more important. To achieve energy efficiency we will have to adapt to new energy sources and new ways to save energy. Energy efficient house is only one part of that. Building regulations and standards are evolving towards 'zero energy'. The European Parliament recently approved a recast of the Energy Performance of Buildings Directive proposing that all new buildings in the EU be at least 'net-zero energy' by 2020. Net-zero energy buildings (NZEBs) are expected to play an important role in fighting climate change and reducing the energy use of the built environment.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Bojić M., Nikolić N., Nikolić D., Skerlić J., Miletić I., (2011), *A simulation appraisal of performance of different HVAC systems in an office building*, Energy and Buildings, Volume 43 (6), 2407-2415.
- [2] D. Nikolić. M. Bojić, J. Radulović, J. Skerlić, N. Miloradović., (2014), *Energy optimization of serbian buildings with pv panels and different heating systems*, 45th International HVAC&R congress - CD Conference proceedings, ISBN 978-86-81505-75-5, Beograd
- [3] Kapsalaki M., Leal V., Santamouris M., (2012), *A methodology for economic efficient design of Net Zero Energy Buildings*, Energy and Buildings 55, 765 - 778
- [4] <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=URISERV%3A127064>
- [5] http://www.eceee.org/policy-areas/buildings/EPBD_Recast
- [6] https://ec.europa.eu/clima/policies/strategies/2020_en
- [7] https://www.our-energy.com/low_energy_passive_and_zero_energy_houses.html
- [8] P. Hernandez, P. Kenny, (2010), *From net energy to zero energy buildings: Defining life cycle zero energy buildings (LC-ZEB)*, Energy and Buildings 42, 815-821
- [9] A. J. Marszal, P. Heiselberg, J.S. Bourrelle, E. Musall, K. Voss, I. Sartori, A. Napolitano, (2011), *Zero energy building – a review of definitions and calculation methodologies*, Energy and Buildings 43, 971–979

Acknowledgment: This investigation is a part of the project TR 33015 of Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia. We would like to thank to the Ministry of Education, Science, and Technological Development of Republic of Serbia for the financial support.