

Towards the SDG Challenges

ONLINE

25-26 November 2021, Novi Sad, Serbia

BOOK OF ABSTRACTS

IBCS2021 is organized jointly by:



FACULTY OF SCIENCE

University Prince of Songkla, Thailand



University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia

Content

Commitee	3
Program IBSC 2021 25-26 November	5

ABSTRACTS

Plenary Lectures	
Track 1	
Track 2	
Track 3	
Track 4	
AUTHORS INDEX	

Committee

Organizing Committee

- 1. Slobodanka Pajević, President, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 2. Anamarija Mandić, Vice president, University of Novi Sad, Institute of Food Technology, Serbia
- 3. Srđan Rončević, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 4. Gordana Vlahović, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 5. Ivana Pejović, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 6. Živana Komlenov Mudrinski, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 7. Saša Rakić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 8. Branislava Lalić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia
- 9. Vesna Mijatović Jovin, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Medicine, Serbia
- 10. Biljana Božin, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Medicine, Serbia
- 11. Nataša Simin, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 12. Milan Borišev, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 13. Dejan Orčić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 14. Emilija Svirčev, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 15. Danijela Arsenov, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 16. Milan Župunski, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 17. Aleksandra Tubić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 18. Vidak Raičević, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 19. Jovana Grahovac, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology, Serbia
- 20. Jaroslava Švarc-Gajić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology, Serbia
- 21. Neda Lakić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Medicine, Serbia
- 22. Mladen Horvatović, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 23. Oskar Marko, University of Novi Sad, BioSense Institute, Serbia
- 24. Miloš Ilić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 25. Milana Rošul, University of Novi Sad, Institute of Food Technology, Serbia
- 26. Nataša Đerić, University of Novi Sad, Institute of Food Technology, Serbia
- 27. Nemanja Teslić, University of Novi Sad, Institute of Food Technology, Serbia
- 28. Dejan Ćaćić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia

Scientific Committee

- 1. Neda Mimica Dukić, President, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 2. Anchana Prathep, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand
- 3. Pimonsri Mittraparp-Arthorn, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand
- 4. Sara Bumrungsri, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand
- 5. Wipawadee Sianglum, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand
- 6. Chongdee Buranachai, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand
- 7. Pissared Muangnil, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand
- 8. Jaruwan Mayakul, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand
- 9. Komwit Surachat, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand
- 10. Patamarerk Engsontia, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Thailand

[3]

- 11. Viktor Nedović, Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia
- 12. Snežana Đorđević, University Colleague London (UCL), UK
- 13. Rudolf Bauer, University of Graz, Faculty of Pharmacy, Austria
- 14. Sari Kontunen-Soppela, University of Eastern Finland (UEF), Joensuu, Finland
- 15. Carmen Arena, University of Naples Federico II, Italy
- 16. Sílvia Rocha, University of Aveiro, Portugal
- 17. Declan Troy, The Agriculture and Food Development Authority (TEAGASC), Ireland
- 18. Brijesh Tiwary The Agriculture and Food Development Authority (TEAGASC), Ireland
- 19. Valerija Dunkić, University of Split, Croatia
- 20. Darko Modun, University of Split, Croatia
- 21. Olga Tzakou, University of Athens, Greece
- 22. Maria Couladis, University of Athens, Greece
- 23. Svetlana Kulevanova, University of Skopje, Macedonia
- 24. Rossella Russo, University of Calabria, Italy
- 25. Guido Jurgenliemk, University of Regensburg, Germany
- 26. Saša Orlović, University of Novi Sad, Institute of Lowland Forestry and Environment, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia
- 27. Dragana Miladinović, Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Novi Sad, Serbia
- 28. Branko Ćupina, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia
- 29. Diana Bugarski, University of Belgrade, Institute for Medical Research, Serbia
- 30. Nada Kovačević, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Pharmacy, Serbia
- 31. Niko Radulović, University of Niš, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 32. Jasmina Agbaba, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 33. Jadranka Luković, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 34. Snežana Radenković, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 35. Marijana Ačanski, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology, Serbia
- 36. Jelena Pejin, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology, Serbia
- 37. Aleksandar Fišteš, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology, Serbia
- 38. Isidora Samojlik, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Medicine, Serbia
- 39. Biljana Basarin, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 40. Ivana Beara, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 41. Nataša Nikolić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 42. Aleksandra Mišan, University of Novi Sad, Institute of Food Technology, Serbia
- 43. Jaroslava Švarc-Gajić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology, Serbia
- 44. Jovan Matović, University of Novi Sad, BioSense Institute, Serbia
- 45. Milica Pojić, University of Novi Sad, Institute of Food Technology, Serbiaa
- 46. Suzana Jovanović-Šanta, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 47. Mihajla Đan, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Science, Serbia
- 48. Silvana Andrić, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia
- 49. Jelica Simeunović, University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Serbia

T1-P-46 DNA protective potential of pinitol against ethyl methanesulfonate induced genotoxity in *Drosophila melanogaster*

Sanja Matić¹²¹, Snežana Stanić¹²²

KEYWORDS: Pinitol; SLRL assay; in vivo; genotoxicity; antigenotoxicity

INTRODUCTION: The search for non-genotoxic bioactive products from natural sources in the interest of prevention and treatment of various diseases is an important research line. Pinitol, a cyclic polyo, isolated from *Ceratonia siliqua L.*, has been suggested to exert a wide range of biological activities, i.e., antioxidant, antitumor, hepatoprotective, antibacterial, insulino-mimetic, immunomodulator, and antiaging. Potentially beneficial effects of pinitol have been reported in treatments of osteoporosis and Alzheimer's disease. Although several studies have supported potential health benefits from pinitol, genotoxic and antigenotoxic effects is still unknown.

OBJECTIVES: The aim of the present study was to determine *in vivo* genotoxic effect of pinitol on ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS)-induced DNA damage in germ cells of *Drosophila melanogaster*. In order to identify compounds that might protect DNA from damage, the antigenotoxic effects of pinitol against DNA damage induced with EMS were evaluated in *D. melanogaster* males using the sex-linked recessive lethal (SLRL) test.

METHOD/DESIGN: To assess the genotoxic effect three days old Canton S males were treated with pinitol in concentration of 100 ppm. In order to detect protective activity against DNA damage, D. melanogaster males were exposed to EMS in concentration of 0.75 ppm, 24 h prior to pinitol in the concentration of 100 ppm. The standard procedure for the detection of sex linked recessive lethal mutations on *D. melanogaster* was applied.

RESULTS: EMC induced a statistically significant sex linked recessive lethal mutations in all three broods at a dose of 0.75 ppm. The treatment with pinitol in concentration of 100 ppm reduced the frequency of sex linked recessive lethal mutations in comparison with the negative control value. Compared with the sucrose, as the negative control, pinitol decreased (p > 0.05) the genotoxicity of EMS in postmeiotic germinative cell line – at spermatozoids and spermatids, and in premeiotic line – spermatocytes. The frequency of germinative mutations induced by EMS decreased with high significance ($p < 0.001^{***}$) after post-treatments with pinitol.

CONCLUSIONS: The results indicated that pinitol exhibited a DNA protective potential against EMS and also it did not induce the genotoxic effect alone in tested concentration in *D. melanogaster* males using the sex-linked recessive lethal test.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Serbian Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development (Agreement No. 451-03-9/2021-14/200122).

¹²¹ University of Kragujevac, Institute for Information Technologies Kragujevac, Department of Science, Jovana Cvijića bb, 34000 Kragujevac, Serbia. Corresponding author: sanjamatic@kg.ac.rs

¹²² University of Kragujevac, Faculty of Science, Department of Biology and Ecology, Radoja Domanovića 12, 34000 Kragujevac, Serbia