1st International Conference on Chemo and BioInformatics ICCBIKG 2021

ICCBIKG

Chemo and BioInformatics

BOOK OF PROCEEDINGS

October 26-27th, 2021, Kragujevac, Serbia

www.iccbikg.kg.ac.rs











Our



Passion





ART WINE





1st International Conference on Chemo and BioInformatics ICCBIKG 2021

BOOK OF PROCEEDINGS

October 26-27, 2021 Kragujevac, Serbia

Sponsored by

























1st International Conference on Chemo and BioInformatics, Kragujevac, October 26-27, 2021 Serbia

Editors:

Professor Zoran Marković

Professor Nenad Filipović

Technical Editors:

Vladimir Simić

Izudin Redžepović

Nikola Srećković

Illustrations:

Igor Stanković, "Vector Alchemist" d.o.o.

Publisher:

Institute for Information Technologies, University of Kragujevac, Serbia, Jovana Cvijića bb, 2021

Press:

"Grafo Ink", Kragujevac

Impression:

120 copies

СІР - Каталогизација у публикацији - Народна библиотека Србије, Београд

54:004(048)(0.034.2) 57+61]:004(082)(0.034.2)

INTERNATIONAL Conference on Chemo and BioInformatics (1 ; 2021 ; Kragujevac) Book of Proceedings [Elektronski izvor] / 1st International Conference on Chemo and BioInformatics, ICCBIKG 2021, October 26-27, 2021 Kragujevac, Serbia ; [editors Zoran Marković, Nenad Filipović]. - Kragujevac : University, Institute for Information Technologies, 2021 (Kragujevac : Grafo Ink). - 1 USB fleš memorija ; 3 x 2 x 1 cm

Sistemski zahtevi: Nisu navedeni. - Nasl. sa naslovne strane dokumenta. -Tiraž 120. - Bibliografija uz svaki rad.

ISBN 978-86-82172-01-7

a) Хемија - Информациона технологија - Зборници b) Биомедицина - Информациона технологија - Зборници

COBISS.SR-ID 48894473

Organized by

• Institute for Information Technologies, Organizer



• Faculty of Science, University of Kragujevac, Suborganizer



• Faculty of Engineering, University of Kragujevac, Suborganizer



• University of Kragujevac, Supporting organization



• The Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of The Republic of Serbia, Supporting organization



Committees

International Organizing Committee:

Chairman:	Prof. Zoran Marković (Serbia)		
Vice-chairmans:	Prof. Zlatan Car (Croatia)		
	Prof. Carlos Silva Lopez (Spain)		

Members:

Dr Dejan Milenković (Serbia), Dr Dubravka Živković (Serbia), Dr Biljana Šmit (Serbia), Dr Miljan Milošević (Serbia), Dr Edina Avdović (Serbia), Dr Aleksandar Ostojić (Serbia), Dr Verica Jevtić (Serbia), Dr Milan Kovačević (Serbia), Dr Dragana Šeklić (Serbia), Dr Sanja Matić (Serbia), Dr Dušica Simijonović (Serbia), Dr Aleksandar Nikolić (Serbia), Dr Tatjana Miladinović (Serbia), Dr Saša Ćuković (Serbia), Dr Biljana Glišić (Serbia), Dr Vladimir Petrović (Serbia), Dr Andrija Ćirić (Serbia), Dr Nenad Janković (Serbia).

International Scientific Committee:

Chairman:	Prof. Nenad Filipović (Serbia)
Vice-chairmans:	Prof. Claudio Santi (Italy)
	Prof. Goran Kaluđerović (Germany)

Members:

Prof. Zoran Marković (Serbia), Prof. Ivan Gutman (Serbia), Prof. Miloš Kojić (USA), Prof. Velimir Popsavin (Serbia), Prof. Miloš Đuran (Serbia), Prof. Nenad Kostić (USA), Prof. Ljiljana Kolar-Anić (Serbia), Prof. Svetlana Marković (Serbia), Prof. Snežana Zarić (Serbia), Prof. Marija Stanić (Serbia), Prof. Biljana Petrović (Serbia), Prof. Dobrica Milovanović (Serbia), Prof. Miroslav Živković (Serbia), Prof. Nenad Grujović (Serbia), Prof. Dragoslav Nikezić (Serbia), Prof. Zlatan Car (Crotaia), Prof. Ivan Potočňák (Slovakia), Prof. Luciano Saso (Italy), Prof. Dražen Vikić-Topić (Croatia), Prof. Bakhtiyor Rasulev (USA), Prof. Erik Klein (Slovakia), Prof. Viktor Stefov (Macedonia), Prof. Svetlana Simova (Bulgaria), Prof. Enver Karahmet (Bosnia and Herzegovina), Prof. Themis Exarchos (Greece), Prof. Carlos Silva Lopez (Spain), dr. sc. Mario Vazdar (Czech Republic), Prof. Arturas Ziemys (USA), Prof. Jasmina Dimitrić-Marković (Serbia), Prof. Snežana Bogosavljević Bošković (Serbia), Prof. Jasmina Stevanović (Serbia).

Local Executive Committee:

Chairman:	Dr Dejan Milenković (Serbia)	
Vice-chairmans:	Dr Jelena Đorović Jovanović (Serbia)	
	Dr Jelena Katanić Stanković (Serbia)	

Members:

Dr Darko Ašanin (Serbia), Dr Emina Mrkalić (Serbia), Žiko Milanović (Serbia), Vladimir Simić (Serbia), Bogdan Milićević (Serbia), Aleksandar Milovanović (Serbia), Nevena Veselinović (Serbia), Izudin Redžepović (Serbia), Nikola Srećković (Serbia).



doi:10.46793/ICCBI21.427M

DISCLOSING THE TRUE NATURE OF HESPERETIN'S ANTIGENOTOXICITY *IN VIVO* WITHIN THE *DROSOPHILA MELANOGASTER* SOMATIC CELLS THROUGH THE EXTENSIVE GENOTOXICAL AND STRUCTURE-BASED STUDIES

Sanja Lj. Matić¹, Snežana M. Stanić², Nevena M. Tomašević³, Rino Ragno⁴, Milan P. Mladenović³

¹Institute for Informational Technologies, University of Kragujevac, Jovana Cvijića bb, 34000 Kragujevac, Serbia

e-mail: <u>sanjamatic@kg.ac.rs</u>

²Faculty of Science, University of Kragujevac, Radoja Domanovića 12, 34000 Kragujevac, Serbia

e-mail: stanic@kg.ac.rs

³Kragujevac Center for Computational Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Kragujevac, Radoja Domanovića 12, 34000 Kragujevac, P.O. Box 60, Serbia

e-mail: <u>nevena.stankovic@pmf.kg.ac.rs; milan.mladenovic@pmf.kg.ac.rs</u>

⁴Rome Center for Molecular Design, Department of Drug Chemistry and Technology, Faculty of Pharmacy and Medicine, Rome Sapienza University, P.le A. Moro 5, 00185, Rome Italy

Abstract:

Previously unreported genotoxic and antigenotoxic potentials of hesperetin (Hes) were revealed by treating the Drosophila melanogaster (dm) whose DNA has been altered by means of O^6 -ethylguanine ($dmGO^6$ -Et) and O^4 -ethylthymine ($dmTO^4$ -Et) lesions appearance, caused by ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS), a proven alkylating agent and mutagen. Therefore, Hes potencies were determined by means of the comet assay on somatic cells level, where compound exerted no genotoxic effects but acted genotoxically as a Topoisomerase IIa (*dm*TopIIa) catalytic inhibitor by invading the Binding and Cleavage Domain and stabilizing the noncovalent *dm*TopIIa-plasmid DNA (*dm*PDNA) complex, as verified by the kinetoplast DNA (*dm*K-DNA) decatenation assays. Hes's structure-based alignment caused compound's A and C rings to occupy the area normally invaded by EMS, thus making a spatial barrier for the $dmGO^6$ -Et or $dmTO^4$ -Et lesions formation: the A ring C7-OH group formed hydrogen bonds (HBs) with either $dmGO^6$ ($d_{HB} = 2.576$ Å) or guanine's N⁷ nitrogen ($dmGN^7$, $d_{HB} = 2.737$ Å), whereas the A ring C5-OH group formed an HB with $dmTO^4$ ($d_{HB} = 3.548$ Å). Furthermore, Hes likewise acted as a mixed-type competitive inhibitor of *dm*ATPase, as verified by the catalytic, FRET, and structure-based studies where it affected the *dm*ATPase dimerization and the hydrolysis of ATP, denying the metabolic energy for the catenation of ethylated G-dmDNA segment, the formation of $dmTO^4$ -Et-G-dmDNA phosphotyrosine intermediate ($dmTO^4$ -Et-G*dm*DNA-PTyr785I), and the passage of ethylated T-*dm*DNA segment through the temporarily broken *dmTO*⁴-Et-G-*dm*DNA-PTyr785I, processes seen as comets. Conclusively, **Hes** may be used in anticancer therapy controlling the effects of alkylating agents.

Keywords: Hesperetin, Drosophila melanogaster, molecular modelling, comet assay

1. Introduction

Hesperetin (**Hes**) is a flavanone with adverse biological effects [1-5], but with little known genotoxic or antigenotoxic effects [4]. To the best of the authors' knowledge, there are no

reports on **Hes**'s genotoxic potential on *Drosophila melanogaster* (*dm*) as a model organism. Herein, **Hes**'s overall influence on the *dm*DNA was appraised by virtue of alkaline comet assay in the presence of ethyl methanesulfonate (**EMS**), the well-known endogenous alkylating agent. Therefore, the premise of this report was to outline the **Hes**'s antigenotoxic potential on somatic cells level, as well as to give an insight into the related pharmacology *in vivo* using the *in vitro* and the *in silico* methods.

2. Results and discussion

2.1 Hes's influence on somatic cells. The individual treatment of fruit fly's somatic cells with **Hes** caused no significant difference in the total score of *dm*DNA damage related to the negative control, while **EMS**'s induced significant increase in the percentage of damaged cells, as well as by the formation of comet classes 1 and 4 (Table 1). Being applied simultaneously with **EMS**, **Hes** exerted the antigenotoxic potency by reducing the *dm*DNA damage by more than 70% and significantly lowering the frequencies of comet classes 2 to 4.

Table 1	Genotoxic and	antigenotoxic	activities of	f Hes against	EMS d	letermined usir	or the cornet assa	v
1 aoic 1.	Ochotoxic and	antigenotoxie	activities of	i mes agamst	LINID, U	ictermined usin	ig the connet assa	·y

Treatments	Comet classes				Total score ^a	%R ^b	
	0	1	2	3	4		
NC ^c	82.70±0.26	17.30±0.43	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	17.3±0.4 [†]	/
\mathbf{EMS}^{d}	13.00±0.2	23.20±0.41	17.60 ± 0.34	17.30 ± 0.25	28.90±0.31	225.9±1.04*	/
Hes ^e	82.30±0.34	12.60 ± 0.71	5.10 ± 0.90	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	$22.8 \pm 0.82^{\dagger}$	/
$\mathbf{EMS} + \mathbf{Hes}^{\mathrm{f}}$	60.20 ± 0.61	22.9±0.57	11.00 ± 1.32	4.80 ± 0.81	1.10±0.24	63.7±0.34*†	77.8

^aThe values are mean \pm S.D. from three independent experiments; ^b%R; percentage of reduction; ^cNegative control; ^dEthyl methanesulfonate, 1mM; ^eHes, 1 mM; ^fEMS+Hes (1mM+1mM). ^{*}p <0.05 when compared with the negative control group; [†]p<0.05 when compared with the positive control group.

2.2 Hes's pharmacology on the dmTopIIa binding and cleavage domain level. To validate **Hes**'s pharmacology on dmTopIIa-dmDNAcomplex, kinetoplast DNA (dmK-DNA) decatenation assays were performed. While **EMS** (Figure 1A, lane 3) behaved in the genotoxic fashion related to dmK-DNA, **Hes** inhibited the dmTopIIa mediated decatenation, in a dose-dependent manner with an IC₅₀ equal to 680 μ M. Therefore, **Hes** likely acted as the stabilizer of the noncovalent dmTopIIa-dmK-DNA complex [8].



Fig.1. TheK-DNA decatenation assay (A). The repeated decatenation assay in presence of **Hes** (1μ M to 10mM) (B). An inhibition curve (C). Abbreviations: K - catenated K-DNA; D - decatenated K-DNA

2.3 Hes's binding mode within the dmTopIIa binding and cleavage domain. To perform the electrophilic alkylation and comet formation, **EMS** has adopted the bio-pose above either $dmGO^6$ or $dmTO^4$, placing the ethyl group in a position to be easily transferred to guanine (Figure 2). As antigenotoxic agent, **Hes** has made a spatial barrier for the alkylating agent, inasmuch as its A ring C7-OH group formed hydrogen bonds with either $dmGO^6$ ($d_{HB} = 2.576$ Å), guanine's N^7 nitrogen ($dmGN^7$, $d_{HB} = 2.737$ Å), or **Hes**'s A ring C5-OH group and $dmTO^4$ ($d_{HB} = 3.548$ Å).



Fig. 2. The structure-based alignment of Hes (light purple) and EMS (light blue) into the dmPDNA

2.4 Hes's pharmacology within dmTopIIa ATPase domain. The ATPase assay, for estimation of **Hes**'s impact on ATP hydrolysis through, pointed out compound as a mixed-type ATPase inhibitor with a more competitive character. Afterwards, experiment performed on dual-labeled *dm*ATPase showed that **Hes** induced a significant decrease in FRET, forcing the not dimerized *dm*ATPase conformation (Figure 3), and that **Hes** does not affect *dm*ATPase catalytic activity if the holoenzyme is pre-dimerized with AMPPNP.



Fig. 3. FRET-based monitoring of ATPase domain closure in the presence of **Hes** (left), and quantification of AMPPNP and **Hes** order of addition assays (right).

2.5 The binding of Hes within the dmATPase. As a dmATPase substrate, ATP adopted complex bioactive conformation, while **Hes** was located at the very top of the dmATPase domain (Figure 4). **Hes**'s A ring formed electrostatic interactions with the dmSer309 and dmThr217, whereas C and B rings were stabilized by means of hydrophobic interactions with dmPhe218 and dmPhe313. Further, **Hes**'s B-ring's C3'-OH and C4'-methoxy portions forced the induced dipole interactions with the dmIle329, dmVal306, dmPhe307, and dmLeu328, respectively (Figure 4).



Fig. 4. Molecular docking of ATP and Hes within dmATPase domain

3. Materials and methods

3.1 Chemicals. All chemicals and reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

3.2 Comet assay. Genotoxic and antigenotoxic potential of **Hes**, in comparison with **EMS**, were detected using the alkaline comet assay according to Singh et al. [6].

3.3 Statistical analyses. The obtained results were statistically analyzed using SPSS statistical software package (version 13.0) as described by Mladenovic et al. [7].

3.4 Decatenation assay. To validate **Hes** as a dmTopII α catalytic inhibitor, *Drosophila* melanogaster's kinetoplast DNA (dmK-DNA) decatenation assays were conducted, following the experimental setup as described by Lee et al. [8].

3.5 Molecular modeling. The experimental setups for homology modeling of dmTopII α , the dmTopII α -dmPDNA complex construction and molecular docking were described elsewhere [7].

4. Conclusions

The enclosed study showed that **Hes** has not exerted genotoxic potential in somatic cells, and when applied simultaneously with **EMS** significantly reduced the DNA damage induced by genotoxic agent. Decatenation assays and structure-based studies revealed that **Hes** acts as dmTopII α catalytic inhibitor by stabilizing the noncovalent dmTopII α -PDNA complex. Furthermore, verified by FRET-based assays and structure-based studies, **Hes** acted as a mixed-type competitive inhibitor of dmATPase, where it affected the dmATPase dimerization and the hydrolysis of ATP, denying the metabolic energy for the decatenation and catenation of alkylated dmDNA segments, unnatural G=T and T=G bonding and mutagenic, toxic, and carcinogenic effects after the replication. Obtained results indicate that **Hes** may be used in anticancer therapy to prevent the effects of alkylating agents.

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by the Serbian Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development (Agreement No. 451-03-9/2021-14/200378 and Agreement No. 451-03-9/2021-14/200122).

References

- N.M. Borradaile, K.K. Carroll, E.M. Kurowska., *Regulation of HepG2 cell apolipoprotein B metabolism by the citrus flavanones hesperetin and naringenin*, Lipids, 34 (1999) 591-598.
- [2] H.L. Yang, S.C. Chen, K.J. Senthil Kumar, K.N. Yu, P.D. Lee Chao, S.Y. Tsai, Y.C. Hou, Y.C. Hseu., Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory potential of hesperetin metabolites obtained from Hes-administered rat serum: an ex vivo approach, Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 60 (2012) 522-532.
- [3] S.T. Rahideh, F. Shidfar, M. Nourbakhsh, M. Hoseini, F. Koohdani, M. Entezam, M. Keramatipour., *The individual or combinational effects of hesperetin and letrozole on the activity and expression of aromatase in MCF-7 cells*, Cellular and Molecular Biology, 62 (2016) 38-43.
- [4] J.A. Kang, S.H. Yoon, J.K. Rho, B. Jang, D.S. Choi, D.-E. Lee, E.-B. Byun, J. Jeon, S.H. Park., *Radioprotective effect of hesperetin against γ-irradiation induced DNA damage and immune dysfunction in murine splenocytes*, Food Science and Biotechnology, 25(S) (2016) 163-168.
- [5] P.P. Trivedi, D.N. Tripathi, G.B. Jena., *Hesperetin protects testicular toxicity of doxorubicin in rat: Role of NF-κB, p38 and caspase-3*, Food and Chemical Toxicology, 49 (2011) 838-847.
- [6] N.P. Singh, M.T. McCoy, R.R. Tice, E.L. Schneider., A simple technique for quantitation of low levels of DNA damage in individual cells, Experimental Cell Research, 175 (1988) 184–191.
- [7] M. Mladenović, S. Matić, S. Stanić, S. Solujić, V. Mihailović, N. Stanković, J. Katanić., Combining molecular docking and 3-D pharmacophore generation to enclose the in vivo antigenotoxic activity of naturally occurring aromatic compounds: Myricetin, quercetin, rutin, and rosmarinic acid, Biochemical Pharmacology, 86 (2013) 1376–1396.
- [8] J.H. Lee, T.J. Wendorff, J.M. Berger., *Resveratrol: A novel type of topoisomerase II inhibitor*, Journal of Biological Chemistry, 292 (2017) 21011-21022.