



SMBIOTE

3rd INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON BIOTECHNOLOGY

13–14 March 2025

Faculty of Agronomy in Čačak, University of Kragujevac, Serbia

- PROCEEDINGS -

3rd INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON BIOTECHNOLOGY

XXX Savetovanje o biotehnologiji sa međunarodnim učešćem

- PROCEEDINGS -

ORGANIZER AND PUBLISHER

University of Kragujevac, Serbia Faculty of Agronomy in Čačak

Organizing Committee

Prof. Dr Pavle Mašković, Serbia; Dr Miloš Petrović, Serbia; Dr Vladimir Dosković, Serbia; Dr Nenad Pavlović, Serbia; Dr Nemanja Miletić, Serbia; Dr Igor Đurović, Serbia; Dr Milevica Bojović, Serbia; Dr Radmila Ilić, Serbia; Kristina Markeljić, MSc, Serbia.

International Programme Committee

Prof. Dr Vladimir Kurćubić, Serbia; Prof. Dr Tomo Milošević, Serbia; Prof. Dr Barbara Jeršek, Slovenia; Prof. Dr Predrag Putnik, Croatia; Prof. Dr Danijela Bursać Kovačević, Croatia; Prof. Dr Aleksandar Mešić, Croatia; Prof. Dr. Marija Cerjak, Croatia; Prof. Dr Zvonko Antunović, Croatia; Dr Kristina Kljak, Croatia; Prof. Dr Zoran M. Jovović, Montenegro; Prof. Dr Sanja Radonjić, Montenegro; Prof. Prof. Dr Gjoko Bunevski, North Macedonia; Prof. Dr Ivana Janseska-Stamenkoska, North Macedonia; Prof. Dr Enisa Omanović-Mikličanin, B&H; Prof. Dr Jasmin Grahić, B&H; Prof. Dr Željko Vaško, B&H; Prof. Dr Enver Karahmet, B&H; Prof. Dr Amir Ganić, B&H; Prof. Dr Adrijana Filipović, B&H; Prof. Dr Dragomir Valchev, Bulgaria; Prof. Dr Suzana Jovanović-Šanta, Serbia; Prof. Dr Milan Mitić, Serbia; Prof. Dr Igor Tomašević, Serbia; Dr Slaviša Stajić, Serbia; Prof. Dr Dragan Vasilev, Serbia; Prof. Dr Neđeljko Karabasil, Serbia; Prof. Dr Marko Cincović, Serbia; Prof. Dr Natalija Fratrić, Serbia; Dr Nikola Delić, Serbia; Dr Nikola Stanišić, Serbia; Dr Ivana Branković Lazić, Serbia; Dr Darko Jevremović, Serbia; Dr Vesna Đorđević, Serbia; Dr Čedomir Radović, Serbia; Prof. Dr Vladan Bogdanović, Serbia; Dr Nenad Magazin, Serbia; Prof. Dr Vladimir Jakovljević, Serbia; Prof. Dr Vladimir Živković, Serbia; Prof. Dr Branko Ćupina, Serbia; Dr Nataša Tolimir, Serbia; Dr Marijana Pešaković, Serbia; Prof. Dr Leka Mandić, Serbia; Prof. Dr Milun Petrović, Serbia; Prof. Dr Vladeta Stevović, Serbia; Prof. Dr Snežana Tanasković, Serbia; Prof. Dr Tomislav Trišović, Serbia; Prof. Dr Gordana Šekularac, Serbia; Prof. Dr Andrej Bončina, Slovenia; Prof. Dr Milomirka Madić, Serbia; Prof. Dr Snežana Bošković-Bogosavljević, Serbia; Prof. Dr Goran Dugalić, Serbia; Prof. Dr Ljiljana Bošković-Rakočević, Serbia; Prof. Dr Radojica Đoković, Serbia; Prof. Dr Biljana Veljković, Serbia; Prof. Dr Mlađan Garić, Serbia; Prof. Dr Jelena Mladenović, Serbia; Dr Ivan Glišić, Serbia; Dr Jelena Mašković, Serbia; Dr Milan Nikolić, Serbia; Dr Dragan Vujić, Serbia; Dr Simeon Rakonjac, Serbia; Dr Mirjana Radovanović, Serbia; Dr Dalibor Tomić, Serbia; Dr Vesna Đurović, Serbia; Dr Vesna Matejić, Serbia; Vera Vukosavljević, MSc, Serbia; Dragan Đurović, MSc, Serbia; Miloš Marjanović, MSc, Serbia; Jelena Pantović, BSc (Hons), Serbia.

Honorary Committee

Prof. Dr Marina Pintar, Slovenia; Prof. Dr Andrej Bončina, Slovenia; Prof. Dr Branko Kramberger, Slovenia; Prof. Dr Tomaž Langerholc, Slovenia; Prof. Dr. Aleksandar Mešić, Croatia; Prof. Dr. Marija Cerjak, Croatia; Prof. Dr Ivica Kisić, Croatia; Dr Kristina Kljak, Croatia; Prof. Dr Krunoslav Zmaić, Croatia; Prof. Dr Zvonko Antunović, Croatia; Prof. Dr Cosmin Salasan, Romania; Prof. Dr Muhamed Brka, B&H; Prof. Dr Enisa Omanović-Mikličanin, B&H; Prof. Dr Ivan Ostojić, B&H; Prof. Dr Zlatan Kovačević, B&H; Prof. Dr Željko Vaško, B&H; Prof. Dr Adrijana Filipović, B&H; Prof. Dr Božidarka Marković, Montenegro; Prof. Dr Sanja Radonjić, Montenegro; Prof. dr Mile Markovski, North Macedonia; Prof. Dr Vjekoslav Tanaskovikj, North Macedonia; Prof. Dr Ivana Janeska-Stamenkoska, North Macedonia; Prof. Dr Dragutin Đukić, Serbia; Prof. Dr Nenad Magazin, Serbia; Prof. Dr Nedeljko Tica, Serbia; Prof. Dr Branko Ćupina, Serbia; Prof. Dr Vladan Bogdanović, Serbia; Prof. dr Vladimir Jakovljević, Serbia; Prof. dr Vladimir Živković, Serbia; Dr Darko Jevremović, Serbia; Dr Marijana Pešaković, Serbia.

Technical editors

Prof. Dr Vladimir Kurćubić; Dr Miloš Petrović; Kristina Markeljić, MSc.

Print-run: 30

Printed by MEDIGRAF - Čačak, Aleksandra Savića 42, 32000 Čačak

ISBN 978-86-87611-96-2

Year of publication: 2025

© Faculty of Agronomy in Čačak 2025

OGY" Proceedings, 2025

THE EFFECT OF GENOTYPE AND PARITY ON SOME REPRODUCTIVE CHARACTERISTICS OF SOWS

Simeon Rakonjac¹, Mira Kotlaja², Oliver Stanković³, Milun D. Petrović¹, Snežana Bogosavljević-Bošković¹, Vladimir Dosković¹

Abstract: This paper aimed to determine the effect of genotype (Large White and F1) and parity (the first five parities) on selected reproductive traits of sows. Based on the obtained results, it can be concluded there was no significant difference in the number of live-born and weaned piglets between Large White and F1 sows ($p \ge 0.05$). At the same time, parity significantly affected the number of live-born and weaned piglets ($p \le 0.05$). Genotype did not affect piglet birth weight ($p \ge 0.05$) but significantly affected weight at weaning ($p \le 0.05$). On the other hand, the effect of parity on birth weight and weight at weaning was significant ($p \le 0.05$). A significant genotype x parity interaction was recorded for a number of weaned piglets and weight at weaning ($p \le 0.05$).

Keywords: Large White, F1, sows, reproductive traits.

Introduction

Producing as many piglets per sow annually as possible is the primary goal of pig production. The health of the animals, management (including the degree of knowledge and skills in production management), compatibility of capacities, genetic composition, reproductive success, conditions of keeping the animals, available equipment, and nutrition of all categories through optimal food quality are all factors that affect productivity in pig farming (Kotlaja, 2024).

Farms achieve results based on reproductive efficiency and genetic improvement of desirable productive and reproductive traits of animals (Nojkić, 2021). The size of the sow litter is usually considered the most important fertility trait, and they can be described as the number of live-born piglets. However, the number of weaned piglets is even more commercially important than the size of the litter at birth (Stojiljković et al., 2021). Over the

¹University of Kragujevac, Faculty of Agronomy in Čačak, Cara Dušana 34, Čačak, Serbia (simeonr@kg.ac.rs)

²Patent CO, Vlade Ćetkovića 1a, Mišićevo, Serbia

³EDUFARM DOO, Moše Pijade BB, Crvenka, Serbia

last three decades of the 20th century, the number of piglets reared per sow per year increased on well-managed farms from 16 to 22, currently standing at 28-30 (Amroziak and Rekiel, 2017). This increase led to a decrease in piglet birth weight (Quiniou et al., 2002), and piglets with a low body weight at birth have a negative effect on the efficiency of pig farming. These piglets have lower organ development and lower productivity later (Kremez et al., 2023). For every 0.1 kg decrease in the birth weight of piglets, pre-weaning mortality increased by 3%, post-weaning mortality increased by 2%, and market weight decreased by 1.63 kg (Chernetskyi, 2022). This also affects the body weight at weaning, and one of the most important goals in rearing piglets is to reach the desired target weight at weaning because only such piglets can later achieve good results in fattening (Kotlaja, 2024).

From all the above, the aim of this paper was to determine the effect of genotype and parity on selected reproductive traits: number of live-born piglets, number of weaned piglets, weight of piglets at birth and weight of piglets at weaning.

Materials and methods

The research was conducted on the farm EDUFARM DOO, Crvenka. Fifty randomly selected Large White sows and fifty F1 sows (Large White x Danish Landrace) in their first five parities were used as experimental material. The following parameters were observed: number of live-born piglets, number of weaned piglets, weight of piglets at birth and weight of piglets at weaning. The "AgroVision" program, which focuses on managing pig farms, is the source of all parameters. Data entry is adapted to the technology of the farm itself, so they are entered in the order in which they occur on the farm.

All data were analyzed by ANOVA and LSD test (Stat Soft Inc Statistica For Windows. Version 7.0., 2006).

Results and discussion

From the data in table 1, there was no significant difference in the number of live-born piglets between Large White and F1 sows ($p \ge 0.05$). The absence of a significant effect of genotype on this trait is in accordance with the results published by Kosovac et al. (2005), who did not find a significant difference in the number of live-born piglets between Swedish Landrace and the Large White x Swedish Landrace hybrid, as well as Nevrkla et al. (2017) between two

unnamed tested genotypes. Contrary to this, some authors found a significant effect of genotype on this trait, usually the superiority of F1 compared to purebred sows (Luković et al., 2007; Lukač et al., 2014; Stojiljković et al., 2021).

		Live-born piglets		Weaned piglets	
		x	Sd	x	Sd
Genotype	e	1 1			
Large White		17.70	4.22	14.46	5.76
F1		18.04	4.11	15.28	5.99
Parity		· ·		· · · ·	
I		17.09 b	3.92	14.60 ab	5.81
II		17.59 b	4.57	15.86 a	6.17
III		18.89 a	4.57	15.39 ab	6.16
IV		18.09 ab	3.69	14.58 ab	5.71
V		17.69 b	3.86	13.91 b	5.47
Genotype	e × Parity	· ·		· · · ·	
	Ι	16.74 d	4.07	15.74 ab	6.33
T	II	17.90 abcd	4.91	15.16 abc	6.01
Large	III	19.18 a	3.93	14.14 bc	6.10
white	IV	17.76 abcd	3.98	14.02 bc	5.29
	V	16.94 cd	3.81	13.22 c	4.82
	Ι	17.44 bcd	3.76	13.46 bc	5.04
	II	17.28 bcd	4.22	16.56 a	6.31
F1	III	18.60 ab	5.15	16.64 a	6.02
	IV	18.42 abc	3.39	15.14 abc	6.09
	V	18.44 abc	3.79	14.60 abc	6.02
ANOVA	•	· ·		· · · · ·	
Genotype		ns		ns	
Parity		*		*	
Genotype × Parity		ns		*	

Table 1. The effect of the examined factors on the number of live-born and
weaned piglets in the litter

a-d Values within the column with no common superscript are significantly different (p \leq 0.05) *p \leq 0.05, ns - not significant.

On the other hand, parity had a significant effect on the number of live-born piglets, so with an increase in parity, the number of live-born piglets also increased. This parameter had its lowest values in the first parity and reached its maximum in the third (from the point at which the decrease started), which was not significant ($p \ge 0.05$) in the fourth parity but was ($p \le 0.05$) in the fifth. The

results support the claim that the first parity is often low and the third maximal, as reported by Nojkić (2021), who found that the first parity had 16.07 live-born piglets and the third parity had 18.52, before declining until the seventh. Similar results are reported by Radojković (2007), Kramarenko et al. (2020), Stojiljković et al. (2021) and Radović et al. (2023).

The number of weaned piglets is probably the most significant aspect of pig fertility, as the values of this parameter provide the greatest insight into the result of the technological stage of pig reproduction (table 1). It should be noticed that litter equalization and piglet transfer from one sow to another are frequently done on the farm in order to fully utilize the reproductive potential of sows. For this reason, some sows will suckle more piglets than were farrowed.

From the data in table 1, it can be seen that F1 sows weaned a slightly higher number of piglets compared to purebred sows, but this difference was not statistically confirmed (20.05), which is in agreement with the results published by Nevrkla et al. (2017) and Zhukorskyi et al. (2023).

On the other hand, sows in the second parity weaned the most piglets, whereas those in the fifth parity weaned the lowest(p5). The remaining parties did not differ from these two or one another (p0.05), and their values were in the middle. Nojkić (2021) also found that parity had significant effect on this trait, with the first parity having the lowest number of weaned piglets (12.84) and the second having the most (19.15). However, the value of this parameter remained relatively stable from the third to the sixth parity. Results consistent with these were also published by Radojković (2007) and Kramarenko et al. (2020).

Piglets born to Large White and F1 sows had similar birth weights because the genotype effect on piglet birth weight was not significant (≥ 0.05) (table 2). These values are close to those reported by Ambroziak and Rekiel (2017) for the Large White x Landrace hybrid - 1.40 kg. The absence of significant differences in this study can be attributed to the similar number of live-born piglets, as this parameter (which is strongly negatively correlated with piglet birth weight) often has a decisive effect on its value. "3rd INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON BIOTECHNOLOGY"

Proceedings, 2025

	a	nd weight of pigl	ets at weani	ng	
		Weight of piglets at birth (kg)		Weight of piglets at weaning (kg)	
		x	Sd	x	Sd
Genotyp	e				
Large White		1.390	0.13	5.630 b	0.77
F1		1.405	0.12	5.860 a	0.80
Parity					
I		1.360 b	0.12	5.820 b	0.66
II		1.410 a	0.12	6.261 a	0.77
III		1.416 a	0.13	5.886 b	0.56
IV		1.410 a	0.12	5.461 c	0.71
V		1.380 ab	0.12	5.290 c	0.86
Genotyp	e × Parity				
	Ι	1.343 с	0.13	5.781 bc	0.72
Tanaa	II	1.386 bc	0.13	5.910 b	0.70
Large	III	1.420 a	0.14	5.846 b	0.57
white	IV	1.430 a	0.12	5.360 de	0.68
	V	1.394 ab	0.10	5.259 e	0.93
	Ι	1.376 bc	0.10	5.860 b	0.61
F1	II	1.435 a	0.12	6.613 a	0.68
	III	1.412 ab	0.13	5.926 b	0.55
	IV	1.390 abc	0.12	5.565 cd	0.73
	V	1.371 bc	0.12	5.326 de	0.79
ANOVA					
Genotype		ns		*	
Parity		*		*	
Genotype × Parity		ns		*	

Table 2. The effect of the examined factors on the weight of piglets at birth and weight of piglets at weaning

a-e Values within the column with no common superscript are significantly different (p≤0.05) *p≤0.05, ns - not significant.

On the other hand, the effect of parity on this trait was significant ((0.05)), so piglets from the first parity had a significantly lower weight compared to piglets from the second, third and fourth parity. This can be explained by the fact that sows at first parity have smaller body dimensions and therefore have less space for piglets in the uterus, while after the second parity, they reach full physical development and the piglet's weight at birth remains at a relatively constant level. Results consistent with this were also published by Quesnel et al.

(2008) who found a significantly lower body weight in piglets from the first compared to piglets from the second parity (1.45 : 1.57 kg; $p \le 0.05$).

When compared to purebred Large White sows, the weight of piglets weaned from F1 sows was considerably higher ≤(0,05). The heterosis effect, which in F1 sows manifests as both improved maternal characteristics and higher milk production, may be one explanation for this difference. The findings of Kremez et al. (2023) and Zhukorskyi et al. (2023) also showed the significant effect of genotype on this trait.

Concerning the effect of parity on this trait, it is evident that the second parity had the largest piglet weight at weaning ($p\leq0.05$), which is to be expected given that sows at second parity usually serve as suckler sows on farms due to their superior piglet raising and highest milk yield. This trait's value starts to decrease in the third parity and reaches its lowest in the fifth. Nojkić (2021) also showed that parity had a substantial effect on this trait, stating that the body weight of piglets at weaning grew from the first parity, when it was minimal (6.63 kg), to the fourth parity, when it achieved its maximum (6.73 kg).

A significant genotype x parity interaction was also recorded for this trait ($p \le 0.05$).

Conclusion

Based on the examination of reproductive traits in 50 Large White and 50 F1 sows in their first five parities, the following conclusions can be drawn:

• There was no significant difference in the number of live-born piglets between Large White and F1 sows (20.05), while parity significantly affected the number of live-born piglets so that with increasing parity the number of live piglets also increased. F1 sows weaned a slightly higher number of piglets compared to purebred sows, but this difference was not statistically confirmed ($p \ge 0.05$), while the largest number of piglets were weaned by sows in the second parity, while sows in the fifth parity weaned the least ($p \le 0.05$). Statistical analysis also showed a significant interaction of the examined factors on this trait ($p \le 0.05$).

• Birth weight was not significantly influenced by genotype ($\not \ge 0.05$), while the effect of parity on this trait was significant, so piglets from the first had a lower weight than piglets from the second, third and fourth farrowing ($\not \le 0.05$). The weight of piglets at weaning of F1 sows was significantly higher compared to Large White sows ($\not \le 0.05$), while parity influenced this trait in such a way that the highest weight of piglets at weaning was in the second parity (\$0.05), and already from the third parity the value of this trait begins to decline, with the minimum being recorded in the fifth parity. A significant genotype x parity interaction was also recorded for this trait (p≤0.05).

Acknowledgement

This paper is part of the research project Ref. No. 451-03-66/2024-03/200088 funded by the Ministry of Science, Technological Development and Innovation of the Republic of Serbia.

References

- Ambroziak E., Reikel A. (2017). Effect of birth weight of piglets on growth rate and rearing performance up to 8 weeks of age. Annals of Warsaw University of Life Sciences - SGGW, Animal Science, 56 (1), 5-13.
- Chernetskyi H. (2022). The influence of the weight of piglets at birth on profitability. PigUa.Info. (in Ukrainian) https://pigua.info/uk/post/company-news/vpliv
- Kotlaja M. (2024). Uticaj genotipa i pariteta na reproduktivne karakteristike krmača. Master rad. Agronomski fakultet u Čačku Univerziteta u Kragujevcu.
- Kosovac O., Petrović M., Živković B., Fabjan M., Radović Č. (2005). Uticaj genotipa i prašenja po redu na variranje osobina plodnosti kod svinja. Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry, 21 (3-4), 61-68.
- Kramarenko A.S., Ignatenko Zh.V., Lugovoy S.I., Pidpala T.V., Karatieieva O.I., Yulevich O.I., Artyuhova O.V., Kramarenko S.S. (2020). Effect of parity number, year and season farrowing on reproductive performance in Large White pigs. Ukrainian Journal of Ecology, 10 (1), 307-312.
- Kremez M., Povod M., Mykhalko O., Izhboldina O., Khokhlov A., Shevchenko O., Fediaieva A., Yukhno V., Kariaka V., Zasukha L. (2023). Influence of genotype and paratype factors on the Reproductive qualities of mother breeds of pigs. Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development, 23 (1), 343-354.
- Lukač D., Vidović V., Višnjić V., Krnjaić J., Šević R. (2014). The effect of parental genotype and parity number on pigs litter size. Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry, 30 (3), 415-422.

- Luković Z., Uremović M., Konjačić M., Uremović Z., Vincek D. (2007). Genetic parameters for litter size in pigs using a random regression model. Asian-Australian Journal of Animal Science, 20 (2), 160-165.
- Nevrkla P., Hadaš Z., Horký P., Kamanová V. (2017). Effect of genotype and sex of piglets on their losses before weaning. Acta Universitatis Agriculturae Et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis, 65 (3), 893-897.
- Nojkić M. (2021). Uticaj pariteta i sezone prašenja na reproduktivne karakteristike krmača. Master rad. Poljoprivredni fakultet Univerziteta u Banjoj Luci.
- Quesnel H., Brossard L., Valancogne A., Quiniou N. (2008). Influence of some sow characteristics on within-litter variation of piglet birth weight. Animal, 2 (12), 1842-1849.
- Quiniou N., Dagorn J., Gaudre D. (2002). Variation of piglets' birth weight and consequences on subsequent performance. Livestock Production Science, 78, 63-70.
- Radović Č., Živković V., Stojiljković N., Savić R., Radojković D., Petrović A., Gogić M. (2023). Fertility traits of sows by genotypes in C. Serbia. Proceedings of Scientific Conference with International Participation"Animal Science - Challenges and Innovations", 1-3 November, Sofia, Bulgaria, 147-154.
- Radojković D. (2007). Varijabilnost osobina plodnosti i procena priplodne vrednosti svinja. Dosktorska disertacija. Poljoprivredni fakultet Univerziteta u Beogradu.
- Stat Soft Inc Statistica For Windows, Version 7.0. (2006). Computer program manual Tulsa.
- Stojiljković N., Radojković D., Radović Č., Gogić M., Živković V., Luković Z., Skorput D. (2021). Variability of the number of live-born piglets under the influence of female genotype, year of farrowing and parity. Proceedings of the 13th International Symposium Modern Trends in Livestock Production, October 6-8, 2021, Belgrade, Serbia, 590-597.
- Zhukorskyi O.M., Tsereniuk O.M., Sukhno T.V., Saienko A.M., Polishchuk A.A., Chereuta Y.V., Shaferivskyi B.S., Vashchenko P.A. (2023). The influence of genotype and feeding level of gilts on their further reproductive performance. Regulatory Mechanisms in Biosystems, 14 (2), 312-318.