



Long Term Dental Work Force Build-Up and DMFT-12 Improvement in the European Region

Mihajlo Jakovljevic^{1*}, Tatjana V. Kanjevac², Marija Lazarevic³ and Ristic B. Vladimir⁴

¹ Head of Health Economics and Pharmacoeconomics, The Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Kragujevac, Kragujevac, Serbia, ² Vice Dean for Integrated Academic Studies of Dentistry, The Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Kragujevac, Kragujevac, Serbia, ³ The Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Kragujevac, Kragujevac, Serbia, ⁴ Department for Orthodontics, The Faculty of Dentistry, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

Keywords: oral health, European region, dentist density, capacity building, DMFT-12, EU, CIS

INTRODUCTION

As Mikiko Hayashi noticed in an amazingly poetic way, dentistry remains in majority of national health systems across the globe: “the Cinderella of health care.” Regardless of undisputed progress of scientific knowledge there is a growing gap in service utilization patterns among the world’s rich and poor citizens. The first tend to consume much of a rather cosmetic expensive treatments without essential health added value. At the same time almost three billion of people belonging to the low income households, lack access to basic dental services or do not pay a visit to a dentist for years (Hayashi et al., 2014). Although the issue of affordability is high at stakes in these countries, uneven distribution between rural and urban areas adds to the challenge. Prime example is definitely India whose giant population was served by 117,825 registered dentists out of whom almost 90,000 were concentrated in only four out of thirty Indian federal states (Vundavalli, 2014). Another case is Australia with its huge geographic area and recently reported ratio of almost 40,000% differential between dentist density in the suburbs of core coastline cities and desert Aboriginal communities (Tennant et al., 2013).

Due to international efforts addressing global oral health deficiencies national capacities worldwide have increased sharply over past few decades (Petersen, 2003). Important part of this capacity build-up was grounds laid down by establishment of “WHO Oral Health Country/Area Profile Programme” (or “CAPP”) by the World Health Organization (WHO) back in 1990s. Its cause was the fact that evidence based policy needed reliable and internationally comparable field data. The two main WHO Collaborating Centers whom we own existence and maintenance of these public registries are the Niigata University, Japan and Faculty of Odontology, Malmö, Sweden. The first is in charge of Periodontal Country Profiles and the latter pursues the uneasy task of providing broader Country Oral Health Profiles. Nevertheless other comprehensive sources of evidence on oral health status across regions and nations developed independently. FDI World Dental Federation provides access to the its own Data Hub which consists of fused national data sources originating from WHO and World Bank (WB) and Globocan official registries. The European Health for All database (HFA-DB) created and updated by the WHO Office for the European Region and refers to a total of 53 countries located in the European continent. Some of the aforementioned investments allowed for revelation of hidden long term national patterns in oral health care and identification of core weaknesses that might serve as appropriate policy targets in future. So far there is scarcity of published evidence comparing efficiency of all European countries in dental workforce build-up and its relationship to the dental health status of school children in a several decades long time horizon.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Ivana Gadjanski,
Belgrade Metropolitan University,
Serbia

Reviewed by:

Debasis Barik,
National Council of Applied Economic
Research, India
Habib Nawaz Khan,
Universiti Teknologi Petronas, Malaysia
Roza Adany,
University of Debrecen, Hungary

*Correspondence:

Mihajlo Jakovljevic
sidartagothama@gmail.com;
jakovljevicm@medf.kg.ac.rs

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Craniofacial Biology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Physiology

Received: 11 December 2015

Accepted: 02 February 2016

Published: 23 February 2016

Citation:

Jakovljevic M, Kanjevac TV, Lazarevic
M and Vladimir RB (2016) Long Term
Dental Work Force Build-Up and
DMFT-12 Improvement in the
European Region. *Front. Physiol.* 7:48.
doi: 10.3389/fphys.2016.00048

TABLE 1 | Oral health indicator DMFT-12 in the European region according to national values reported to WHO HFA-DB.

Countries	First available DMFT-12 index	Last available DMFT-12 index	Time span between two observations (Years)	Total DMFT–12 decrease	Annual DMFT–12 decrease
Sweden	7.8 ¹⁹⁷⁵	0.8 ²⁰¹¹	36	7.00	0.194
Norway	8.4 ¹⁹⁷³	1.6 ²⁰⁰⁶	33	6.80	0.206
Finland	6.9 ¹⁹⁷⁵	0.7 ²⁰⁰⁹	34	6.20	0.182
Netherlands	7.3 ¹⁹⁷⁴	1.1 ²⁰⁰⁵	31	6.20	0.2
Switzerland	6.1 ¹⁹⁷⁵	0.8 ²⁰⁰⁹	34	5.28	0.155
Slovenia	6.9 ¹⁹⁸⁴	1.8 ²⁰⁰⁰	16	5.10	0.319
Denmark	5.2 ¹⁹⁷⁵	0.6 ²⁰¹²	37	4.60	0.124
Italy	5.5 ¹⁹⁷⁸	1.1 ²⁰⁰⁴	26	4.40	0.169
Ireland	5.4 ¹⁹⁷⁰	1.1 ²⁰⁰²	32	4.30	0.134
United Kingdom	4.7 ¹⁹⁷³	0.7 ²⁰⁰⁹	36	4.00	0.111
Hungary	6.1 ¹⁹⁷⁶	2.4 ²⁰⁰⁸	32	3.70	0.116
EU members before May 2004	4.7 ¹⁹⁸⁰	1.3 ²⁰⁰⁰	20	3.37	0.168
Slovakia	5.7 ¹⁹⁷⁵	2.4 ²⁰⁰⁶	31	3.30	0.106
Germany	3.9 ¹⁹⁹²	0.7 ²⁰⁰⁹	17	3.20	0.188
Latvia	6.6 ¹⁹⁸⁵	3.4 ²⁰⁰⁴	19	3.20	0.168
Portugal	4.6 ¹⁹⁷⁵	1.4 ²⁰⁰⁵	30	3.12	0.104
Czech Republic	5.7 ¹⁹⁷⁵	2.6 ²⁰⁰⁶	31	3.10	0.1
Luxembourg	3.9 ¹⁹⁸⁵	0.8 ²⁰⁰⁶	21	3.10	0.148
Croatia	7.6 ¹⁹⁸⁶	4.8 ²⁰¹⁰	24	2.80	0.117
Greece	3.8 ¹⁹⁷⁵	1.3 ²⁰⁰⁷	32	2.45	0.076
France	3.5 ¹⁹⁷⁵	1.2 ²⁰⁰⁶	31	2.30	0.074
EU	4.1 ¹⁹⁸⁵	1.8 ²⁰⁰⁰	15	2.24	0.149
Belgium	3.1 ¹⁹⁷²	0.9 ²⁰¹⁰	38	2.20	0.058
Albania	5.9 ¹⁹⁸³	3.8 ²⁰⁰⁷	24	2.10	0.087
Bosnia and Herzegovina	6.2 ¹⁹⁹⁷	4.2 ²⁰⁰⁴	7	2.00	0.286
Kyrgyzstan	3.1 ¹⁹⁷³	1.1 ¹⁹⁹³	20	2.00	0.1
Uzbekistan	2.8 ¹⁹⁸⁸	0.9 ²⁰⁰¹	13	1.90	0.146
Estonia	4.1 ¹⁹⁸⁸	2.4 ²⁰⁰⁰	12	1.70	0.142
European Region	3.8 ¹⁹⁸⁵	2.2 ²⁰⁰⁰	15	1.59	0.106
Cyprus	2.5 ¹⁹⁹⁰	1.3 ²⁰¹⁰	20	1.20	0.06
Bulgaria	4.2 ¹⁹⁷⁹	3.1 ²⁰⁰⁸	29	1.10	0.038
Malta	2.3 ¹⁹⁷⁵	1.4 ²⁰⁰⁴	29	0.90	0.031
Spain	1.9 ¹⁹⁷⁵	1.1 ²⁰¹⁰	35	0.80	0.023
Turkey	2.7 ¹⁹⁸⁷	1.9 ²⁰⁰⁷	20	0.80	0.04
Israel	2.4 ¹⁹⁷⁵	1.6 ²⁰⁰²	27	0.74	0.027
EU members since May 2004	4.2 ¹⁹⁸⁵	3.5 ²⁰⁰⁰	15	0.67	0.045
Russian Federation	3.5 ¹⁹⁷⁵	2.9 ²⁰⁰⁸	33	0.60	0.018
Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS)	3.4 ¹⁹⁸⁶	3.4 ¹⁹⁹⁰	4	0.03	0.007
Armenia	2.4 ¹⁹⁸⁵	2.4 ¹⁹⁹⁰	5	0.00	0
Georgia	2.4 ¹⁹⁸⁵	2.4 ¹⁹⁹⁰	5	0.00	0
Kazakhstan	2.1 ¹⁹⁸⁵	2.1 ¹⁹⁹⁰	5	0.00	0
Montenegro	3.4 ²⁰⁰⁶	3.4 ²⁰⁰⁶	0	0.00	0
San Marino	3.7 ¹⁹⁸⁷	3.7 ¹⁹⁹⁰	3	0.00	0
Tajikistan	1.2 ¹⁹⁷³	1.2 ¹⁹⁹⁰	17	0.00	0
Turkmenistan	2.6 ¹⁹⁸⁵	2.6 ¹⁹⁹⁰	5	0.00	0
Lithuania	3.6 ¹⁹⁸⁵	3.7 ²⁰⁰⁵	20	–0.10	–0.005
Ukraine	2.5 ¹⁹⁸³	2.8 ²⁰⁰⁸	25	–0.30	–0.012
TFYR Macedonia	6.5 ¹⁹⁸⁶	6.9 ²⁰⁰⁷	21	–0.40	–0.019
Romania	1.7 ¹⁹⁷⁵	2.1 ²⁰⁰⁹	34	–0.49	–0.014
Republic of Moldova	2.3 ¹⁹⁸⁶	3.5 ²⁰⁰⁸	22	–1.20	–0.054

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | Continued

Countries	First available DMFT-12 index	Last available DMFT-12 index	Time span between two observations (Years)	Total DMFT–12 decrease	Annual DMFT–12 decrease
Andorra	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Austria	N/A	1.4 ²⁰⁰⁷	N/A	N/A	N/A
Azerbaijan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Belarus	N/A	2.1 ²⁰⁰⁹	N/A	N/A	N/A
Iceland	N/A	1.4 ²⁰⁰⁵	N/A	N/A	N/A
Monaco	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Poland	N/A	3.2 ²⁰¹⁰	N/A	N/A	N/A
Serbia	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

*DMFT-12 index—Decayed, missing or filled teeth at age 12.

METHODOLOGY

Measurements we relied on in this study were averaged over country populations in an observed year. Source used was official release of European Health for All Database (HFA-DB). Targeted 53 countries were all located entirely or partially within the WHO geographical boundaries of the European region. Indicators of health care professional personnel capacity (dentist density and graduate dentist annual outputs) and DMFT-12 (Decayed/Missing/Filled Teeth at 12 year olds) as the core indicator of juvenile oral health were observed. Selected indicators are presented in **Tables 1, 2**. Total decrease of DMFT-12 and total increase in dentist density per 100,000 population were observed as entire span between the first and the last available value reported to WHO by the national authorities. These historical indicator differentials were used to sort out countries through a top-down approach from most successful to the less efficient ones.

RESULTS

Combined insight into the national professional capacity data reveals few interesting patterns (**Table 1**). Over the past three decades, dentist density per 100,000 resident population increased sharply across Europe. The list is topped by mostly Mediterranean countries (Portugal, Cyprus, Spain, Greece), continental high-income economies (Luxemburg and Austria) while the remaining ones among top 10 performers belong to Eastern European formerly planned economies (TFYR Macedonia, Estonia, Bulgaria, Belarus). Surprisingly, the upper half of ranked dental health systems is actually dominated by Eastern European countries out of which some are post-2004 EU members (Croatia, Latvia, Romania, Lithuania, Hungary, Czech Republic) others belonged to the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) for the most of post Cold War period (Ukraine, Armenia, Republic of Moldova, Kazakhstan). Few regions in Europe actually recorded fall in professional staff density. This was either the case due to satisfactory health system performance such as the Nordic model applied in Finland, Sweden and Denmark. Other countries with significant negative trend noticed where two Western Balkan countries (Serbia and Montenegro),

Poland and CIS members Turkmenistan and Azerbaijan. Among these there are few traditional mature market economies of France, Israel and Monaco.

Observation of European historical evolution on DMFT-12 since the middle of 1970s has shown substantially different landscape (**Table 2**). The list is topped by Scandinavian countries (Sweden, Norway, Finland and Denmark). All other top ten nations marked by high childhood dental health improvements belong to traditional high income societies (Netherlands, Switzerland, Italy, Ireland, and United Kingdom) or recent ones like Slovenia. The remaining part of upper half of the rank list is dominated by diverse Eastern European countries (Hungary, Slovakia, Latvia, Croatia, Czech Republic, Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Kyrgyzstan, Uzbekistan, Estonia) with quite few OECD members prior to 2000s (Germany, Luxembourg, Greece, France, Belgium, Portugal). Large amount of missing DMFT-12 data in certain years or geographical territories or rather short intervals observed placed significant part of bottom ranked countries into the “no-progress” group (equal values reported at baseline and last point in seven countries) with eight countries with non-applicable DMFT-12 calculation. Five nations confirmed worsening of childhood dental health at age 12 and these were Lithuania, Ukraine, TFYR Macedonia, Romania, Republic of Moldova. Vast majority of the entire aforementioned group of non-classified or poor performing health systems are located within Eastern Europe and the Balkans region.

DISCUSSION

With regards to core oral health indicators such as the index of serious tooth decay (DMFT) most of broad European Region recorded significant achievements since very concerning dental health landscape of the 1970s. Deep Russian recession of 1990s dragging surrounding nations and transitional health reforms taking place throughout Central and Eastern Europe took their toll (Jakovljevic and Getzen, 2016). Nevertheless since the late 1990s things got substantially better in many of these countries, availability of resources became bigger while management of both in- and outpatient dental services was getting more efficient and cost-effective toward the 2000s (Jakovljevic, 2013). These developments affected both the old public and newly evolving,

TABLE 2 | Dentist density and graduate dentist output in the European region according to the national values reported to WHO HFA-DB.

Countries	Dentists density (PP) per 100 000 (First available/Last available)	Time span between two observations (Years)	Total increase in Dentist Density (PP) per 100 000	Annual increase in Dentist Density (PP) per 100 000	Dentists graduated per 100 000 (First/Last available value)	Number of Dentists (PP) national level (First/Last available value)	Number of dentists graduated in a given year (First/Last available value)
Portugal	11.0'1980/776.8'2011	31	65.8	2.12	0.5'1985/6.9'2011	1083'1980/8108'2011	50'1985/7723'2011
Cyprus	35.8'1980/91.5'2011	31	55.7	1.80	N/A	182'1980/7783'2011	N/A
TFYR Macedonia	23.6'1980/778.6'2011	31	55	1.77	3.8'1980/7.6'2010	446'1980/1622'2011	72'1980/156'2010
Spain	10.5'1980/63.0'2011	31	52.5	1.69	1.0'1991/3.0'2011	3946'1980/29070'2011	369'1991/1379'2011
Greece	79.3'1980/128.5'2011	31	49.2	1.59	4.3'1980/3.2'2007	7646'1980/14518'2011	412'1980/355'2007
Luxembourg	36.0'1980/83.1'2012	32	47.1	1.47	N/A	131'1980/441'2012	N/A
Estonia	46.2'1980/88.0'2011	31	41.8	1.35	1.6'1980/2.3'2011	682'1980/1179'2011	23'1980/31'2011
Bulgaria	54.6'1980/90.9'2011	31	36.3	1.17	2.6'1985/4.0'2011	4839'1980/6682'2011	231'1985/290'2011
Belarus	17.9'1980/54.1'2011	31	36.2	1.17	1.8'1990/2.6'2011	1724'1980/5123'2011	187'1980/245'2011
Austria	21.6'1980/56.9'2012	32	35.3	1.10	0.0'1998/1.6'2010	1622'1980/479'2012	3'1998/13'2010
Croatia	37.4'1980/71.8'2011	31	34.4	1.11	3.7'1980/3.5'2003	1715'1980/3162'2011	169'1980/156'2003
Latvia	37.0'1992/70.7'2011	19	33.7	1.77	2.5'1980/1.8'2012	966'1992/1456'2011	62'1980/37'2012
Romania	31.7'1999/62.1'2011	12	30.4	2.53	1.9'1991/5.9'2011	7108'1999/13324'2011	446'1991/1263'2011
Ireland	30.4'1980/58.0'2012	32	27.6	0.86	2.1'1980/1.5'2011	1033'1980/2661'2012	71'1980/70'2011
Lithuania	55.2'1992/82.1'2011	19	26.9	1.41	1.5'1985/4.7'2011	2044'1992/2486'2011	54'1985/141'2011
Hungary	26.4'1985/52.5'2011	26	26.1	1.00	1.3'1985/2.8'2011	2808'1985/5236'2011	134'1985/279'2011
Czech Republic	45.9'1980/70.8'2011	31	24.9	0.80	4.3'1980/2.9'2011	4743'1980/7429'2011	440'1980/300'2011
Ukraine	45.4'2000/67.6'2012	12	22.2	1.85	2.8'2000/4.5'2012	22372'2000/30688'2012	1401'2000/2045'2012
Armenia	23.0'2000/42.7'2012	12	19.7	1.64	0.7'1980/20.2'2012	742'2000/1290'2012	22'1980/610'2012
EU	48.2'1985/67.0'2011	26	18.8	0.72	2.3'1980/2.7'2011	N/A	N/A
EU members before May 2004	53.6'1992/71.3'2011	19	17.7	0.93	2.3'1985/2.4'2011	N/A	N/A
Italy	42.2'1993/59.3'2011	18	17.1	0.95	0.7'1985/2.2'2011	24000'1993/35183'2011	410'1985/1309'2011
Germany	65.1'1992/80.1'2011	19	15	0.79	3.1'1991/2.7'2011	52456'1992/65502'2011	2444'1991/2187'2011
European Region	28.6'1980/42.5'2011	31	13.9	0.45	2.0'1985/2.3'2011	N/A	N/A
Republic of Moldova	33.7'1980/46.9'2012	32	13.2	0.41	1.8'1980/3.4'2011	1353'1980/1670'2012	70'1980/122'2012
Turkey	15.9'1980/28.4'2011	31	12.5	0.40	0.7'1980/1.3'2011	7077'1980/21099'2011	319'1980/950'2011
Andorra	48.4'1995/60.5'2009	14	12.1	0.86	2.9'2003/0.0'2009	31'1995/51'2009	2'2003/0'2009
Iceland	73.7'1980/84.2'2012	32	10.5	0.33	3.1'1980/1.9'2010	168'1980/270'2012	7'1980/6'2010
Kazakhstan	30.9'1980/41.2'2012	32	10.3	0.32	1.8'1990/2.4'2009	4623'1980/6920'2012	306'1990/374'2009
Netherlands	41.0'1995/50.2'2010	15	9.2	0.61	3.1'1995/1.7'2011	6344'1995/8345'2010	453'1985/278'2011
Switzerland	45.0'1980/53.6'2011	31	8.6	0.28	2.1'1980/1.4'2011	2841'1980/4123'2011	130'1980/104'2011
Albania	24.9'1980/32.9'2006	26	8	0.31	1.0'1990/1.3'2010	665'1980/1035'2006	33'1990/42'2010
EU members since May 2004	44.2'1980/51.4'2011	31	7.2	0.23	2.3'1980/3.3'2011	N/A	N/A
Belgium	63.6'1985/70.4'2011	26	6.8	0.26	1.4'1993/1.3'2011	6273'1985/7777'2011	139'1993/146'2011
Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS)	24.8'1980/30.8'2012	32	6	0.19	2.0'1990/2.5'2012	N/A	N/A

(Continued)

TABLE 2 | Continued

Countries	Dentists density (PP) per 100 000 (First available/Last available)	Time span between two observations (Years)	Total increase in Dentist Density (PP) per 100 000	Annual increase in Dentist Density (PP) per 100 000	Dentists graduated per 100 000 (First/Last available value)	Number of Dentists (PP) national level (First/Last available value)	Number of dentists graduated in a given year (First/Last available value)
Slovakia	44.1/2000/50.0/2007	7	5.9	0.84	2.6/1980/1.0/2009	2384/2000/2697/2007	130/1980/53/2009
United Kingdom	48.3/2007/53.6/2012	5	5.3	1.06	N/A	29451/2007/33653/2012	N/A
Tajikistan	11.8/1985/15.9/2011	26	4.1	0.16	1.0/1990/0.7/2006	539/1985/1244/2011	55/1990/43/2006
Russian Federation	28.5/1990/32.0/2006	16	3.5	0.22	2.0/1990/1.8/2004	42102/1990/45628/2006	2930/1990/2567/2004
Uzbekistan	13.8/1980/17.3/2012	32	3.5	0.11	1.3/1990/1.3/2010	2195/1980/5151/2012	255/1990/377/2010
Slovenia	59.1/1998/62.4/2011	13	3.3	0.25	0.8/1990/1.9/2011	1171/1998/1280/2011	16/1990/38/2011
Norway	82.2/1985/85.2/2011	26	3	0.11	2.5/1990/2.7/2011	3414/1985/4218/2011	101/1990/135/2011
Kyrgyzstan	15.3/1980/17.8/2012	32	2.5	0.08	1.1/1990/4.8/2012	554/1980/973/2012	38/1990/262/2012
Malta	43.2/2009/45.3/2012	3	2.1	0.7	2.8/1990/1.4/2012	179/2009/190/2012	10/1990/6/2012
Bosnia and Herzegovina	19.5/1980/20.7/2010	30	1.2	0.04	1.8/1990/3.4/2010	799/1980/797/2010	74/1990/132/2010
Georgia	33.2/1996/33.6/2012	16	0.4	0.02	1.0/1990/7.6/2011	1534/1996/1509/2012	55/1990/340/2011
France	65.6/2011/65.3/2012	1	-0.3	-0.3	3.4/1990/1.4/2007	41507/2011/41740/2012	1849/1980/836/2007
Azerbaijan	28.9/1980/26.7/2012	32	-2.2	-0.07	1.3/1990/1.2/2012	1777/1980/2461/2012	89/1990/113/2012
Turkmenistan	16.7/1998/11.9/2012	14	-4.8	-0.34	1.6/1995/0.3/2012	788/1998/614/2012	51/1985/14/2012
Finland	85.2/2000/78.9/2010	10	-6.3	-0.63	3.5/1990/3.3/2012	4410/2000/4234/2010	166/1980/177/2012
Sweden	91.2/1995/84.9/2010	15	-6.3	-0.42	4.4/1990/2.3/2010	8048/1995/7959/2010	362/1980/217/2010
Denmark	84.6/1992/77.9/2009	17	-6.7	-0.39	3.2/1990/2.5/2011	4373/1992/4297/2009	166/1980/141/2011
Israel	85.6/1996/75.6/2011	15	-10	-0.66	1.4/1990/1.2/2011	4867/1996/5867/2011	67/1990/90/2011
Serbia	47.0/2003/34.1/2012	9	-12.9	-1.43	2.9/2002/7.6/2011	3516/2003/2458/2012	216/2002/550/2011
Monaco	115.4/1980/102.4/2012	32	-13	-0.41	0.0/2011/0.0/2011	30/1980/37/2012	0/2011/0/2011
Poland	47.3/1980/33.8/2011	31	-13.5	-0.43	2.1/1980/2.5/2011	16834/1980/13033/2011	740/1980/958/2011
Montenegro	26.2/1980/4.5/2011	31	-21.7	-0.7	N/A	152/1980/28/2011	N/A
San Marino	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

*Ranking was based on average annual improvement to eliminate bias arising from different reporting periods.

private dental sector. Over several decades improvements in school children were huge. Such advances mostly assumed decreasing frequency of tooth extractions substituted with fillings as well as longer preservation of natural teeth in adults alongside life span. Although there is an evident converging trend in oral health within the European Union (EU) member states few core weaknesses remain. Some of the most prominent are: inter country and inter regional diversity of dental health status indicators among the elderly, lower affordability of dental care to minority groups and the poor and concerning signs of possible worsening of oral health among the European children since the 2000s (Bourgeois et al., 2003). Blossoming of private dental schools in less regulated markets, oversupply and underemployment of graduate dentists are present but more characteristic of Post-Semashko, Eastern European national systems. Some policy makers across the region suspected falling quality of medical services. This might be partially attributable to the growing competitiveness of the private dental sector in Europe and financial incentives to gain larger profit margins.

Previous literature records suspect direct causal link between DMFT-12 and dentist density. Furthermore there is reliable evidence that pediatric dental health is less linked to the local accessibility of dental practitioners and more dependent upon gross national income level, dental expenditure and expected years of education (Pinilla and González, 2009). Nevertheless availability of these data over long term time horizon allows assessment of independent progress in both issues in Europe. Oversight of significant inter-country differences in dental workforce capacities across the continent to some extent masks huge regional intra-country diversity mostly driven by socioeconomic inequalities (Tchicaya and Lorentz, 2014). Contribution of European Commission's agenda in oral public health is development of strategies aimed at closing major gaps in population dental status within the EU and converging national health policy targets (Widström and Van Den Heuvel, 2005). Recent FDI effort actually reveals hidden patterns of dental work force migration and market incentives affecting service provision and unmet demand (Yamalik et al., 2014). Output of dental graduates follows country size as a general rule (Table 1) and it is dominated by Russian Federation, Germany and other large European countries. Some others like Turkey exhibit so far weaker overall dentist practitioner capacity (21,099 in total in 2011 compared to its large population size). Some of similar time lags of the emerging economies compared to mature ones might be explained by the fact that oral health is frequently neglected policy priority in most national health systems (Kandelman et al., 2000).

STUDY LIMITATIONS

Unique data set exploited for this study consisted of national level records reported to WHO HFA-DB. Unlike many other public health indicators present in major international publicly accessible registries, the best available oral health and dentist density data are presented with wide gaps in both individual countries as well as time periods. These missing data gaps are present in many years or entire regions. Therefore all calculations made here are based upon the best available

evidence. Conclusions arising from presented facts are therefore based on differentials between the first and the last available data. In order to improve methodological soundness and applicability we presented individual country advances in community oral health in terms of annual rates and total differentials. Although these calculations might serve as an approximate success ratio they are not fully comparable among countries. This is the case because reported annual national values frequently refer to slightly different time horizons. Nevertheless majority of observed historical data belong to the middle of 1970s or early 1980s while most of the last available data belong to the early 2010s.

CONCLUSION

The long term trends observed relate to the period of three to four decades. Such insight points out to the broad changes of the landscape of major challenges in the European dentistry. Obvious successes in liquidating great oral health crisis of the 1970s are reflected in a decent dental status of European school children. Serious efforts to build up dental work force capacities are only partially responsible for that success story (Velickovic et al., 2015). Large part of the improvements is actually attributable to the growing living standards, oral health literacy of general population and policy efforts to improve affordability of dental care to the ordinary citizens (Rančić et al., 2015). Nevertheless major upcoming challenges are population aging associated with extended life expectancy and blossoming of prosperity diseases and increased demand for medical care by the elderly. How the European region will cope with these issues remains unclear (Ogura and Jakovljevic, 2014; Jakovljevic, 2015, 2016; Jakovljevic and Milovanovic, 2015). This study points out to the significant regional differences within the continent (Jakovljevic and Getzen, 2016). Eastern EU members as well as Commonwealth of Independent States members were driving the large part of staff density increase due to their intensive transitional health reforms (Jakovljevic et al., 2015). Regardless of such promising changes these countries will remain substantially more vulnerable to the upcoming challenges compared to the traditional market economies of Western Europe (Jakovljevic et al., 2016a,b).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MJ and TK designed the research questions and concept of this Opinion article. ML and RV acquired selected published data from the public registry European Health for All Database issued by WHO. All four authors interpreted jointly the findings stated in the article and contributed to the final manuscript in important intellectual content.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The underlying studies providing evidence for this contribution where funded out of The Ministry of Education Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia Grant OI 175014 and OI 175071. Publication of results was not contingent to Ministry's censorship or approval.

REFERENCES

- Bourgeois, D. M., Bonita, R., Leclercq, M. H., Petersen, P. E., Whelton, H., Bravo, M., et al. (2003). *European Global Oral Health Indicators Development Project, Health Surveillance in Europe, Report Proceedings*. ISBN 2-912550-31-9.
- Hayashi, M., Haapasalo, M., Imazato, S., Lee, J. I., Momoi, Y., Murakami, S., et al. (2014). Dentistry in the 21st century: challenges of a globalising world. *Intern. Dental J.* 64, 333–342. doi: 10.1111/idj.12132
- Jakovljevic, M. (2015). The aging of Europe. The unexplored potential, Farmeconomia. *Health Econ. Therap. Pathways* 16, 89–92. doi: 10.7175/fe.v16i4
- Jakovljevic, M. B. (2013). Resource allocation strategies in Southeastern European health policy. *Eur. J. Health Econ.* 14, 153–159. doi: 10.1007/s10198-012-0439-y
- Jakovljevic, M. B., and Milovanovic, O. (2015). Growing burden of non-communicable diseases in the emerging health markets: the case of BRICS. *Front. Public Health* 3:65. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2015.00065
- Jakovljevic, M., and Getzen, T. (2016). Growth of global health spending share in low and middle income countries. *Front. Pharmacol.* 7:21. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2016.00021
- Jakovljevic, M., Groot, W., and Souliotis, K. (2016b). Health care financing and affordability in the emerging global markets. *Front. Public Health* 4:2. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2016.00002
- Jakovljevic, M. M. (2016). Comparison of historical medical spending patterns among the BRICS and G7. *J. Med. Econ.* 19, 70–76. doi: 10.3111/13696998.2015.1093493
- Jakovljevic, M., Lazarevic, M., Milovanovic, O., and Kanjevac, T. (2016a). The new and old europe: east-west split in pharmaceutical spending, frontiers in pharmacology. *Front. Pharmacol.* 7:18. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2016.00018
- Jakovljevic, M. B., Vukovic, M., and Fontanesi, J. (2015). Life expectancy and health expenditure evolution in Eastern Europe—DiD and DEA analysis. *Exp. Rev. Pharmacoecon. Outcomes Res.* doi: 10.1586/14737167.2016.1125293. [Epub ahead of print]
- Kandelman, D., Arpin, S., Baez, R. J., Baehni, P. C., and Petersen, P. E. (2000). Oral health care systems in developing and developed countries. *Periodontol* 60, 98–109. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0757.2011.00427.x
- Ogura, S., and Jakovljevic, M. (2014). Health financing constrained by population aging - an opportunity to learn from Japanese experience. *Ser. J. Exp. Clin. Res.* 15, 175–181. doi: 10.2478/sjocr-2014-0022
- Petersen, P. E. (2003). The World Oral Health Report 2003: continuous improvement of oral health in the 21st century—the approach of the WHO Global Oral Health Programme. *Comm. Dentistry Oral Epidemiol.* 31, 3–24. doi: 10.1046/j.2003.com122.x
- Pinilla, J., and González, B. (2009). Exploring changes in dental workforce, dental care utilisation and dental caries levels in Europe, 1990–2004. *Inter. Dental J.* 59, 87–95. doi: 10.1922/IDJ_2089Pinilla09
- Rančić, J., Rančić, N., Majstorović, N., Bioëanin, V., Milosavljević, M., and Jakovljevic, M. (2015). Cost differentials of dental outpatient care across clinical dentistry branches, Farmeconomia. *Health Econ. Therap. Pathways* 16, 25–32. doi: 10.7175/fe.v16i1.661
- Tchicaya, A., and Lorentz, N. (2014). Socioeconomic inequalities in the non-use of dental care in Europe. *Int. J. Equity Health* 13:7. doi: 10.1186/1475-9276-13-7
- Tennant, M., Kruger, E., and Shiyha, J. (2013). Dentist-to-population and practice-to-population ratios: in a shortage environment with gross mal-distribution what should rural and remote communities focus their attention on? *Rural Remote Health* 13:2518.
- Velickovic, V., Simovic, A., Rajkovic, G., Lazarevic, M., and Jakovljevic, M. (2015). Improvements in neonatal and childhood medical care—perspective from the balkans. *Front. Public Health Epidemiol.* 3:206. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2015.00206
- Vundavalli, S. (2014). Dental manpower planning in India: current scenario and future projections for the year 2020. *Intern. Dental J.* 64, 62–67. doi: 10.1111/idj.12063
- Widström, E., and Van Den Heuvel, J. (2005). More attention to public health in the European Union—implications for dentistry? *Intern. Den. J.* 55, 157–161. doi: 10.1111/j.1875-595X.2005.tb00313.x
- Yamalik, N., Ensaldó-Carrasco, E., Cavalle, E., and Kell, K. (2014). Oral health workforce planning part 2: figures, determinants and trends in a sample of World Dental Federation member countries. *Int. Dent. J.* 64, 117–126. doi: 10.1111/idj.12117

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Jakovljevic, Kanjevac, Lazarevic and Vladimir. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.