Risk factors for healthcare-acquired urinary tract infections caused by multi-drug resistant microorganisms

Zorana M. Djordjević¹, Marko M. Folić^{2,3}, Jagoda Gavrilović⁴, Slobodan M. Janković^{2,3}

¹Clinical Center Kragujevac, Epidemiology Department, Kragujevac, Serbia; ²University of Kragujevac, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Kragujevac, Serbia; ³Clinical Center Kragujevac, Clinical Pharmacology Department, Kragujevac, Serbia; ⁴Clinical Center Kragujevac, Clinic for Infectious Diseases, Kragujevac, Serbia

SUMMARY

Introduction Healthcare-acquired urinary tract infections (HAUTI) make up to 40% of all healthcareacquired infections and contribute significantly to hospital morbidity, mortality, and overall cost of treatment.

Objective The aim of our study was to investigate possible risk factors for development of HAUTI caused by multi-drug resistant pathogens.

Methods The prospective case-control study in a large tertiary-care hospital was conducted during a five-year period. The cases were patients with HAUTI caused by multi-drug resistant (MDR) pathogens, and the controls were patients with HAUTI caused by non-MDR pathogens.

Results There were 562 (62.6%) patients with MDR isolates and 336 (37.4%) patients with non-MDR isolates in the study. There were four significant predictors of HAUTI caused by MDR pathogens: hospitalization before insertion of urinary catheter for more than eight days ($OR_{adjusted} = 2.763$; 95% CI = 1.352–5.647; p = 0.005), hospitalization for more than 15 days ($OR_{adjusted} = 2.144$; 95% CI = 1.547–2.970; p < 0.001), previous stay in another department (intensive care units, other wards or hospitals) ($OR_{adjusted} = 2.147$; 95% CI = 1.585–2.908; p < 0.001), and cancer of various localizations ($OR_{adjusted} = 2.313$; 95% CI = 1.255–4.262; p = 0.007).

Conclusion Early removal of urinary catheter and reduction of time spent in a hospital or in an ICU could contribute to a decrease in the rate of HAUTI caused by MDR pathogens.

Keywords: urinary tract infections; nosocomial infections; multiple antibacterial drug resistance; risk factors

INTRODUCTION

Healthcare-acquired urinary tract infections (HAUTI) make up to 40% of all healthcareacquired infections and contribute significantly to hospital morbidity, mortality and overall cost of treatment [1]. Major risk factor for HAUTI is an indwelling urinary catheter. Bacteriuria develops in up to 25% of patients who carry urinary catheter for one week or more, with a daily risk of 5–7% [2, 3]. It was estimated that there are about one million cases of HAUTI in hospitals and nursing homes annually associated with bladder catheter [4].

Bacteria are the primary organisms that cause HAUTI. Among gram-negative microorganisms, Enterobacteriaceae are predominant pathogens (*Escherichia coli, Klebsiella, Proteus* and *Enterobacter*). However, non-fermenting organisms (e.g. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) and gram-positive cocci (e.g. *Staphylococci* and *Enterococci*) may also play an important role, depending on the underlying conditions [5].

There are numerous recent reports coming from various hospitals around the world about increasing incidence of HAUTI caused by multi-drug resistant (MDR) microorganisms [6, 7]. Emergence of resistant strains is becoming a serious health problem because it limits the number of available antibiotics with potential to successfully treat these infections, and increases the costs of treatment. Knowledge of local and national antimicrobial resistance trends is of utmost importance for translation of evidence based recommendations to empiric antibiotic treatment of HAUTI.

Previous epidemiological studies identified indwelling urinary catheters, prior exposure to broad-spectrum antimicrobial therapy, advanced age of patients and male sex as risk factors for the development of HAUTI caused by MDR pathogens [8, 9, 10]. However, there is a whole spectrum of other characteristics of patients or hospital environments that were not investigated, and yet are candidates for risk factors for emergence of these infections.

OBJECTIVE

The aim of our study was to investigate possible risk factors for development of HAUTI caused by MDR pathogens, and to reveal their resistance patterns to various antibiotics. Good knowledge of the risk factors is the prerequisite for devising effective infection control strategies

Correspondence to:

Marko FOLIĆ Faculty of Medical Sciences University of Kragujevac Svetozara Markovića 69 34000 Kragujevac Serbia markof@medf.kg.ac.rs for HAUTI relevant to local settings, which may reduce the burden of healthcare-acquired infections.

METHODS

Study design

We conducted a prospective case-control study in a large tertiary-care hospital in Kragujevac, Serbia (1,183 beds and 50,000 inpatients per year) from January 2009 to December 2013 (five years).

The patient population

The study enrolled all patients with HAUTI according to standard definition established by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Atlanta, GA, United States, who were hospitalized during the study period for more than five days [11]. The exclusion criteria were isolation of a causative agent within the first 48 hours from the admission, and patients younger than 18 years. In patients who had several episodes of HAUTI during hospitalization, only the first episode was included in the analysis. The study was approved by the local Ethics Committee.

The cases consisted of patients with HAUTI caused by MDR agents. The controls were patients with HAUTI caused by non-MDR isolates.

For each participant a special epidemiological questionnaire was completed containing the following information: age, sex, hospital ward, dates of the patient's admission and discharge from the hospital, date when the HAUTI was diagnosed, dates of insertion and removal of urinary catheter, stay in another hospital ward before emergence of the HAUTI, and co-morbidities (diabetes mellitus, injuries, cancer of various locations). The data were obtained from both the patients' files and interviews with the patients and their physicians.

Each study participant was then analyzed by the Expert Group, comprising an epidemiologist, an infectologist, and a clinical pharmacologist, formed with the purpose of this study, and patients with colonization of the urinary tract were excluded from further analysis.

Antibiotic sensitivity

The isolation and identification of causative agents was performed in the hospital microbiology laboratory, using conventional biochemical methods [12]. An antimicrobial susceptibility test (AST) was performed using disk-diffusion method on Mueller-Hinton agar (bioMerieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France), by measuring the diameter of the zones of inhibition. The results were interpreted in accordance with the guidelines of The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, formerly National Committee for Clinical Laboratory [13]. The susceptibility of isolates to the following antibiotics was analyzed: ampicillin (up to 25 µg/mL), cefotaxime (up to 30 µg/mL), ceftriaxone (up to 30 µg/mL), ceftazidime (up to 30 µg/mL), ceftazidime (up to 30 µg/mL), imipenem (up to 10 µg/mL), meropenem (up to 10 µg/mL), gentamicin (up to 10 µg/mL), amikacin (up to 30 µg/mL), ciprofloxacin (up to 5 µg/mL), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (up to 2.5 µg/mL). MDR was defined as acquired non-susceptibility to at least one agent in three or more antimicrobial categories.

Statistical analysis

Primary analysis of collected data was made by descriptive statistics (arithmetic mean, standard deviation, and percentages), by testing statistical hypotheses, and by analysis of relations between outcomes and potential predictors. The differences among the study groups were tested by the Student's t-test for continual variables (after confirming normal distribution of data) and by the χ^2 test for categorical variables. The variables which turned out to be significant predictors of HAUTI caused by MDR pathogens after univariate logistic analysis were included in a multivariate binary logistic regression analysis. The hypotheses were tested at 0.05 level of statistical significance. The statistical software SPSS version 18 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for all calculations.

RESULTS

During the study period, 775 patients met all eligibility criteria for HAUTI. The average age of the patients was 67.6 ± 13.4 years. Participation of male (n = 389; 50.2%) and female subjects (n = 386; 49.8%) was similar.

The majority of patients (n = 664; 85.7%) suffered from HAUTI caused by a single organism, whereas the rest were with two or three isolated pathogens. A total of 841 isolates were gram-negative agents (93.7%) (Table 1). Generally, the most common pathogen was *Klebsiella* spp. (32.4%), followed by *Proteus mirabilis* (16.8%), *E. coli* (12.8%), *Enterobacter* spp. (12.7%), and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (11.4%), all accounting for over 85% of total isolates. A statistically significant difference in the frequency of isolation between the patients with a bladder catheter and those without one was found for only one of the top five most common agents – *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (p = 0.034).

The percentage of MDR isolates by types of bacteria varied between 0% and 82.4%, and showed higher values in gram-negative than among gram-positive isolates (64.2% and 38.6%, respectively). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter* spp. were the most common MDR uropathogens (Table 1).

There were 562 (62.6%) patients with MDR isolates (cases) and 336 (37.4%) patients with non-MDR isolates (controls) in the study. The results of univariate analysis of risk factors for HAUTI caused by MDR pathogens are shown in Table 2. According to the univariate analysis, age 65 years and above (p = 0.019), insertion of a urinary catheter (p = 0.005), hospitalization before the insertion

Table 1. Cau	ses of nosocomial urina	ry tract infections at the Clinical	Center in Kragujevac, 2009–2013

Microorganism	Catheterized n (%)	Non-catheterized n (%)	Total n (%)	χ² test	p-value	MDR n (%)
All gram negative	679 (94.0)	162 (92.0)	841 (93.7)	1.543	0.214	540 (64.2)
Klebsiella spp.	237 (32.8)	54 (30.7)	291 (32.4)	0.294	0.586	199 (68.4)
Proteus mirabilis	117 (16.2)	34 (19.3)	151 (16.8)	0.980	0.322	98 (64.9)
Escherichia coli	88 (12.2)	27 (15.3)	115 (12.8)	1.259	0.262	51 (44.3)
Enterobacter spp.	95 (13.2)	19 (10.8)	114 (12.7)	0.713	0.399	71 (62.3)
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	90 (12.5)	12 (6.8)	102 (11.4)	4.482	0.034	80 (78.4)
Proteus vulgaris	37 (5.1)	10 (5.7)	47 (5.2)	0.089	0.766	27 (57.4)
Acinetobacter spp.	13 (1.8)	4 (2.3)	17 (1.9)	0.170	0.680	14 (82.4)
Providencia spp.	2 (0.3)	2 (1.1)	4 (0.4)	2.357	0.125	0 (0.0)
All gram positive	43 (6.0)	14 (8.0)	57 (6.3)	1.543	0.214	22 (38.6)
Enterococcus spp.	42 (5.8)	12 (6.8)	54 (6.0)	0.251	0.616	21 (38.9)
Staphylococcus spp.	1 (0.1)	2 (1.1)	3 (0.3)	4.232	0.040	1 (33.3)
Total	722 (100.0)	176 (100.0)	898 (100.0)			562 (62.6)

Table 2. Risk factors for healthcare-acquired urinary tract infections caused by multi-drug resistant (MDR) pathogens (univariate analysis)

Variable	MDR (n = 562)	Non-MDR (n = 336)	t-test/ χ² test	p-value
Age (years)	66.65 ± 13.71	68.49 ± 13.46	t = -1.956	0.051
Age ≥65 years	349 (62.1)	226 (67.3)	$\chi^2 = 2.433$	0.019
Male sex	298 (53.0)	157 (46.7)	$\chi^2 = 3.338$	0.068
Urinary catheter	468 (83.3)	254 (75.6)	$\chi^2 = 7.868$	0.005
Hospitalization before urinary catheter ≥8 days	61 (10.9)	10 (3.0)	$\chi^2 = 17.923$	<0.001
Length of hospitalization ≥15 days	463 (82.4)	222 (66.1)	$\chi^2 = 30.927$	<0.001
Previous stay in another department	278 (49.5)	97 (28.9)	$\chi^2 = 6.680$	<0.001
Surgical departments	230 (40.9)	115 (34.2)	$\chi^2 = 3.989$	0.046
Diabetes mellitus	95 (16.9)	41 (12.2)	$\chi^2 = 3.317$	0.057
Injuries on admission	79 (14.1)	57 (17.0)	$\chi^2 = 1.383$	0.240
Cancer of various localizations	63 (11.2)	15 (4.5)	$\chi^2 = 12.064$	0.001

The results are presented as mean value \pm standard deviation, or n (%)

Table 3. Risk factors for healthcare-acquired urinary tract infections caused by multi-drug resistant pathogens (multivariate analysis*)

Variable	В	OR _{adiusted}	95% CI	p-value
Hospitalization before urinary catheter ≥8 days	1.016	2.763	1.352–5.647	0.005
Length of hospitalization ≥15 days	0.763	2.144	1.547–2.970	<0.001
Previous stay in another department	0.764	2.147	1.585–2.908	<0.001
Cancer of various localizations	0.839	2.313	1.255–4.262	0.007

Only significant factors are presented for the sake of clarity.

B - coefficient of logistic regression analysis; OR - odds ratio; CI - confidence interval

*The model includes the following covariates: age 65 years and above, insertion of urinary catheter, hospitalization before the insertion of a urinary catheter longer than eight days, hospitalization longer than 15 days, previous stay in another department, patients in the surgical department, cancer of various localizations

of a urinary catheter longer than eight days (p < 0.001), hospitalization longer than 15 days (p < 0.001), previous stay in another department (other wards, intensive care units or other hospitals) (p < 0.001), patients in the surgical department (p = 0.046), and cancer of various localizations (p = 0.001) were significant risk factors for HAUTI caused by MDR pathogens.

The results of multivariate binary logistic regression are shown in Table 3. There are four significant predictors of HAUTI caused by MDR pathogens: hospitalization before the insertion of a urinary catheter for more than eight days (OR_{adjusted} = 2.763; 95% CI = 1.352–5.647; p = 0.005), hospitalization for more than 15 days (OR_{adjusted} = 2.144; 95% CI = 1.547–2.970; p < 0.001), previous stay in another department (intensive care units, other wards or hospitals) (OR_{adjusted} = 2.147; 95% CI = 1.585–2.908; p < 0.001) and cancer of various localizations (OR_{adjusted} = 2.313; 95% CI = 1.255–4.262; p = 0.007). The Hosmer–Lemeshow

goodness-of-fit test for this logistic regression model was $\chi^2 = 6.032$; df = 7; p = 0.536.

Resistance of the isolates from the patients with HAUTI is shown in Table 4. The isolates of Klebsiella spp. were highly resistant to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, cephalosporins of the third and fourth generation, and ciprofloxacin (over 90%), whereas 199 (68.4%) isolates were multiresistant. The highest level of sensitivity was retained toward carbapenems (around 13% of isolates were resistant). Proteus mirabilis isolates showed the highest resistance to ampicillin (96.4%), followed by resistance to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (91.3%), gentamicin (90.8%), third-generation cephalosporins (89.5–91.0%), and ciprofloxacin (84.1%). Isolates of E. coli showed high degree of resistance to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (84.1%) and ciprofloxacin (80.8%), while the resistance to aminoglycosides was somewhat lower (77.5% to gentamicin, and 48.1% to amikacin). The percentage of isolates

Antihistis	Microorganism					
Antibiotic	Klebsiella spp.	Proteus mirabilis	Escherichia coli	Enterobacter spp.	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	
Ampicillin	99.0	96.4	98.1	96.7	100.0	
Cefotaxime	97.5	90.4	83.3	97.4	95.9	
Ceftriaxone	97.6	89.5	83.2	95.5	96.5	
Ceftazidime	98.1	91.0	86.2	95.4	87.4	
Cefepime	89.9	49.6	64.8	86.7	85.8	
Imipenem	13.3	13.7	9.6	11.9	46.3	
Meropenem	13.8	13.3	9.7	13.1	43.6	
Gentamicin	92.3	90.8	77.5	93.3	100.0	
Amikacin	64.7	83.1	48.1	63.0	84.2	
Ciprofloxacin	90.8	84.1	80.8	90.8	90.0	
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	96.6	91.3	84.1	96.2	90.0	

Table 4. Degree of antimicrobial resistance (%) of the most important pathogens causing HAUTIs

resistant to carbapenems was rather low (10%). The other isolated gram-negative bacteria showed high degree of resistance to cephalosporins (85–98%), aminoglycosides (65–100%), fluoroquinolones (90%), while resistance to carbapenems was lower (10–45%).

The difference in hospital mortality between the patients with MDR infection [94 (19.6%) patients died from 479 in total] and those without it [56 (18.9%) died from 296 in total] was not significant ($\chi^2 = 0.058$, p = 0.809).

DISCUSSION

There are various reports on HAUTI causative agents in medical literature. In a study similar to ours nearly 95% of all isolates were gram-negative pathogens: Klebsiella spp. making one third (32.4%), followed by Proteus mirabilis (16.8%) and E. coli (12.8%) [14]. In a prospective study of HAUTI which included 29 European countries it was found that six most common causative agents are E. coli, Enterococcus, Candida spp., Klebsiella, Proteus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa [15]. This result is not surprising since these bacteria belong to normal flora of the human intestine and therefore easily colonize urinary tract. This study showed significant difference in prevalence of only one of the gram-negative uropathogens in relation to presence of urinary catheter: Pseudomonas aeruginosa was isolated more frequently from patients with a catheter, which is consistent with the results of other authors [16]. Previous studies have also indicated that patients with HAUTI caused by Pseudomonas aeruginosa were more likely to have history of urinary tract procedures, to have a neurogenic bladder, to be male, and to have received recent antibiotic therapy [17].

There are many different definitions of MDR in medical literature which characterize different patterns of resistance found in healthcare-associated, antimicrobial-resistant bacteria. However, a group of international experts came together through a joint initiative by the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, to create a standardized international terminology for describing acquired resistance profiles of all bacteria often responsible for healthcareassociated infections and prone to multidrug resistance. Epidemiologically significant antimicrobial categories were determined for each bacterium. Lists of antimicrobial categories proposed for antimicrobial susceptibility testing were created using documents and breakpoints from the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute, the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, and the United States Food and Drug Administration. The experts reached consensus that MDR organisms are those which acquired non-susceptibility to at least one agent in three or more antimicrobial categories [18]. This definition has practical value because it allows differentiation of sensitive and MDR strains in clinical settings.

In accordance with this definition, we separated patients with MDR isolates and observed that there was a high percentage of MDR particularly among gram-negative isolates (64.2%). Increase in the prevalence of MDR isolates is being registered all over the world, and these microorganisms have become a global public health problem. Extremely rapid development of antimicrobial resistance is probably the result of the ability of uropathogens to quickly adapt to antibiotics, together with the widespread overuse of antibiotics in the hospital environment. In addition, broad-spectrum antibiotics cause suppression and eradication of competing microorganisms and facilitate selection of the MDR strains [19]. Infections caused by MDR pathogens are difficult to treat and control, leading to prolonged hospital stay, increased mortality, and higher hospitalization costs [20].

Our study showed that hospitalization before the insertion of a urinary catheter for more than eight hours increases the risk of developing HAUTI caused by MDR pathogens 2.7 times. Some other recent studies have shown that unnecessary catheterization is widely prevalent (30–50%), even in tertiary care referral centers. Large proportion of these patients who did not need a catheter in accordance with accepted indications subsequently went on to develop HAUTI, especially if the catheter was kept for longer time period [21]. These findings also emphasize the need for more stringent implementation of aseptic techniques while inserting a catheter and therefore better infection control.

Catheters and other foreign bodies in the urinary tract disrupt natural protective barriers (urethral sphincter) and provide a nidus for infections by offering surface for formation of biofilm [22]. Several studies have shown that most uropathogens are able to form biofilm over urinary catheter shortly after its placement. Biofilms are resistant to antimicrobial agents as well as to host defense mechanisms and hence are difficult to eradicate. Biofilms contribute to virulence of the pathogens as these often cause persistent and recurrent infections [23, 24]. In our study, carrying a urinary catheter was frequent in both groups (cases 83.3% vs. 75.6% of control). However, multivariate analysis excluded wearing of urinary catheter as a risk factor for HAUTI caused by MDR pathogens, although in univariate analysis it was significant (p = 0.005).

It has been known for some time that longer hospitalization of patients increases the risk of nosocomial infections [25], and our study has also shown that the risk of HAUTI caused by MDR pathogens is 2.1 times higher if patients were hospitalized for longer than 15 days. Longer stay in a hospital is associated with invasive medical procedures, and with increased contact with the bacteria from hospital environment, which are often multi drug-resistant.

Our study shows that a previous stay in another department (intensive care unit, other wards or hospitals) increased the risk of HAUTI caused by MDR pathogens by 2.1 times. Our patients usually stayed in intensive and semi-intensive care units (65%) where MDR pathogens are common causes of nosocomial infections [26, 27]. The obtained result is not surprising if one considers that the majority of patients in these departments are those with severe underlying diseases, the elderly, the immunocompromised, and patients with many comorbidities, who require large number of medical procedures (e.g. placement of urinary catheters), which further violate epithelial barriers.

In addition to horizontal transmission of pathogens, there was a vertical one, because our study site is an institution of tertiary care which receives patients from secondary care hospitals within the region. In hospitals without consistent antibiotic policy and with practice of injudicious utilization of antibiotics, patients rapidly become potential sources of infection, particularly of MDR bacteria. A recent study of Falagas and Kopterides [28] found higher rate of MDR bacterial isolates in patients with a history of previous hospitalizations. Increased vigilance and complete implementation of infection control policies and practices in these hospitals could be one part of the solution.

After taking into account individual characteristics of the patients, multivariate analysis showed that only cancer of various localizations increases the risk of HAUTI caused by MDR pathogens by 2.3 times. Generally speaking, patients with cancer frequently have HAUTIs, due to immunosuppression caused by the malignancy itself or by cytotoxic therapy. Also, information on previous catheterization, and previous hospitalization, which are often found in the history of patients with malignant tumors, may explain increased prevalence of perineal colonization with potential MDR pathogens in oncology patients, which may be important for the development of HAUTI [29].

A disturbing result of our study was that isolates of *Klebsiella* spp. showed high resistance (over 90%) to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins, and ciprofloxacin, antibiotics that are

doi: 10.2298/SARH1610490D

commonly used to treat infections caused by these microorganisms in hospitals. Such high rates of resistance limit their use in empirical therapy. The results were significantly worse than in other countries [30, 31], and are even more significant given the high proportion of *Klebsiella* spp. causing HAUTIs in our study (32.4%). This could be explained by a wide use of these antibiotics for treatment of HAUTIs and community-acquired urinary tract infections over the past decade in this region. Further efforts of the entire community are necessary in order to maintain sensitivity of urinary pathogens to these antibiotics in the future.

The degree of *Proteus mirabilis* resistance to antibiotics in our study was generally high for all tested antimicrobials. Thus, the resistance to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole was 91.3%, to cephalosporins of the third generation 89.5–91.0% and to ciprofloxacin 84.1%, which are much higher rates than those observed in other studies [29, 30].

Isolates of *E. coli* in our study showed high degree of resistance to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (84.1%) and ciprofloxacin (80.8%), while the resistance to aminoglycosides was somewhat lower (77.5% to gentamicin, and 48.1% to amikacin). Such high resistance rates are two to three times higher than in other recent studies [31, 32], but it was already reported in the study conducted in the same hospital during the previous period [9]. Particularly worrying is resistance of *E. coli* isolates to broad-spectrum antibiotics such as fluoroquinolones and cephalosporins due to overutilization of these two groups and parallel development of co-resistance to other antibiotics (collateral damage) [33].

Carbapenems were increasingly used during the 1980s for treatment of serious nosocomial infections. However, the emergence of resistant gram-negative bacilli to these antibiotics is nowadays depriving doctors of these very active antibiotics against nosocomial infections. However, in our study, resistance to carbapenems was relatively low (around 10%, except that of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, which was around 45%), which is encouraging. According to the recommendations of European Association of Urology, carbapenems should be used as therapy for complicated cases of HAUTIS [5]. Our results emphasize the importance of optimizing the use of carbapenems, in order to preserve their activity in the future. Hospitals that achieved at least some control over the use of carbapenems halted further increase in resistance to these antibiotics [34].

Having taken into account the incidence of each bacterium (nearly 90% of all isolates were gram negative) and rate of resistance in our study, it can be concluded that the role of fluoroquinolones and aminoglycosides in the empiric treatment of HAUTIs is becoming more and more limited. Available antimicrobials with good activity against majority of pathogens include cefepime and carbapenems. The therapy should be adjusted according to local data on bacterial susceptibility to antibiotics. Whenever possible, empirical therapy should be replaced by a therapy targeted to the specific infective organisms identified in the urine culture. Appropriate antimicrobial selection, surveillance systems, and effective infection control procedures are key measures for limiting antimicrobial-resistant pathogen occurrence and spread. The overall mortality rate in our study was similar in the groups with and without MDR infections, but we were not able to compare the rates of urinary tract infections – attributed mortality, which is reported to be low [35]. Only 5% of patients with bacteriuria develop bacteriemia, but mortality rate in these patients is almost 10% [35].

There are certain limitations of our study. First, the study was conducted in a single center, reflecting the possibility of institutional bias either in the selection of patients or routine medical practices. Second, we were not able to conduct molecular epidemiological research in order to discover the mechanisms by which the drug resistance developed. In addition, we did not determine the minimum inhibitory concentration of the studied antibiotics.

CONCLUSION

The results of our study point to hospitalization for more than eight days before insertion of urinary catheter, to

REFERENCES

- Umscheid CA, Mitchell MD, Doshi JA, Agarwal R, WilliamsK, Brennan PJ. Estimating the Proportion of Healthcare-Associated Infections That Are Reasonably Preventable and the Related Mortality and Costs. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2011; 32(2):101–14. [DOI: 10.1086/657912] [PMID: 21460463]
- Tambyah P, Olyszyna DP, Tenke P, Koves P. Urinary catheters and drainage systems: definition, epidemiology and risk factors. In: Naber KG, Schaeffer AJ, Heyns CF, Matsumoto T, Shoskes DA, Bjerklund Johansen TE (eds). Urogenital Infections. Arnhem: European Association of Urology; 2010. p. 523–31.
- Tenke P, Kovacs B, Bjerklund Johansen TE, Matsumoto T, Tambyah PA, Naber KG. European and Asian guidelines on management and prevention of catheter-associated urinary tract infections. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2008; 31 Suppl 1:S68–78.
- [DOI: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2007.07.033] [PMID: 18006279]
 Foxman B. Urinary tract infection syndromes: occurrence, recurrence, bacteriology, risk factors, and disease burden. Infect Dis Clin North Am. 2014; 28 (1):1–13.
- [DOI: 10.1016/j.idc.2013.09.003] [PMID: 24484571]
 5. Grabe M, Bjerklund Johansen TE, Botto H, Çek M, Naber KG, Pickard RS, et al. Guidelines on Urological Infections. Arnhem: European Association of Urology; 2013. p. 8–10.
- Boucher HW, Talbot GH, Bradley JS, Edwards JE, Gilbert D, Rice LB, et al. Bad bugs, no drugs: no ESKAPE! An update from the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis. 2009; 48(1):1–12. [DOI: 10.1086/595011] [PMID: 19035777]
- Niranjan V, Malini A. Antimicrobial resistance pattern in Escherichia coli causing urinary tract infection among inpatients. Indian J Med Res. 2014; 139(6):945–8. [PMID: 25109731]
- Schaberg DR, Weinstein RA, Stamm WE. Epidemics of Nosocomial Urinary Tract Infection Caused by Multiply Resistant Gram-Negative Bacilli: Epidemiology and Control. J Infec Dis. 1976; 133(3):363–6. [PMID: 768384]
- Djordjevic Z, Ilic M. Urinary tract nosocomial infections at the Clinical centre in Kragujevac. Srp Arh Celok Lek. 2012; 140(3-4):184– 90. [In Serbian] [DOI: 10.2298/SARH1204184D] [PMID: 22650105]
- Lagacé-Wiens PR, Simner PJ, Forward KR, Tailor F, Adam HJ, Decorby M, et al. Canadian Antimicrobial Resistance Alliance (CARA). Analysis of 3789 in- and outpatient Escherichia coli isolates from across Canada-results of the CANWARD 2007-2009 study. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2011; 69(3):314–9. [DOI: 10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2010.10.027] [PMID: 21353959]
- Garner JS, Jarvis WR, Emori TG, Horan TC, Hughes JM. CDC definitions for nosocomial infections. Am J Infect Control. 1988; 16:128–40. [DOI: 10.1016/0196-6553(88)90053-3] [PMID: 2841893]
- 12. Kiska DL, Gilligan PH. Pseudomonas and Burkholderia. In: Murray PR, Baron EJ, Pfaller MA, Tenover FC, Yolken RH (eds). Manual

prolonged hospitalization, to previous stay in an another department, and to cancer of various localizations as important risk factors associated with HAUTI caused by MDR pathogens. Early removal of urinary catheter and reduction of time spent in a hospital or an ICU could contribute to a decrease in the rate of these infections. Moreover, good knowledge of the susceptibility profile of isolated pathogens should help physicians when prescribing empiric therapy. Our study emphasizes the need for aggressive infection control strategies to prevent urinary tract infections with MDR pathogens.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study was partially financed by research grant No. 175007 awarded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia, and through grant No. 404 from the Ministry of Science, Montenegro.

of clinical microbiology. Washington: American Society for Microbiology; 1995. p. 517–25.

- CLSI. Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Wayne, PA: Clinical and laboratory standards Institute; 2010.
- Savas L, Guvel S, Onlen Y, Savas N, Duran N. Nosocomial urinary tract infections: micro-organisms, antibiotic sensitivities and risk factors. West Indian Med J. 2006; 55(3):188–93.
 [DOI: 10.1590/S0043-31442006000300011] [PMID: 17087104]
- Bouza E, San Juan R, Munoz P, Voss A, Kluytmans J. Co-operative Group of the European Study Group on Nosocomial Infections. A European perspective on nosocomial urinary tract infections II. Report on incidence, clinical characteristics and outcome (ESGNI-004 study). European Study Group on Nosocomial Infection. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2001; 7(10):532–42. [DOI: 10.1046/j.1198-743x.2001.00326.x] [PMID: 11683792]
- Hooton TM, Bradley SF, Cardenas DD, Colgan R, Geerlings SE, Rice JC, et al. Infectious Diseases Society of America. Diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of catheter-associated urinary tract infection in adults: 2009 International Clinical Practice Guidelines from the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis. 2010; 50(5):625–63. [DOI: 10.1086/650482] [PMID: 20175247]
- Tabibian JH, Gornbein J, Heidari A, Dien SL, Lau VH, Chahal P, et al. Uropathogens and host characteristics. J Clin Microbiol. 2008; 46(12):3980–6. [DOI: 10.1128/JCM.00339-08] [PMID: 18842936]
- Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME, Giske CG, et al. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2012; 18(3):268–81.
- [DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03570.x] [PMID: 21793988] 19. Aloush V, Navon-Venezia S, Seigman-Igra Y, Cabili S, Carmeli Y.
- Multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa: risk factors and clinical impact. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2006; 50(1):43–8. [DOI: 10.1128/AAC.50.1.43-48.2006] [PMID: 16377665]
- Giske CG, Monnet DL, Cars O, Carmeli Y. ReAct-Action on Antibiotic Resistance. Clinical and economic impact of common multidrugresistant Gram-negative bacilli. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2008; 52(3):813–21. [DOI: 10.1128/AAC.01169-07] [PMID: 18070961]
- 21. Bhatia N, Daga MK, Garg S, Prakash SK. Urinary Catheterization in Medical Wards. J Glob Infect Dis. 2010; 2(2):83–90. [DOI: 10.4103/0974-777X.62870] [PMID: 20606958]
- Nicolle L. The prevention of hospital-acquired urinary tract infection. Clin Infect Dis. 2008; 46(2):251–3.
 [DOI: 10.1086/524663] [PMID: 18171257]

- Tenke P, Köves B, Nagy K, Hultgren SJ, Mendling W, Wullt B, et al. Update on biofilm infections in the urinary tract. World J Urol. 2012; 30(1):51–7. [DOI: 10.1007/s00345-011-0689-9] [PMID: 21590469]
- Vergidis P, Patel R. Novel approaches to the diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of medical device-associated infections. Infect Dis Clin North Am. 2012; 26(1):173–86.
 [DOI: 10.1016/i,idc.2011.09.012] [PMID: 22284383]
- Lautenbach E, Synnestvedt M, Weiner MG, Bilker WB, Vo L, Schein J, et al. Imipenem resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa: emergence, epidemiology, and impact on clinical and economic outcomes. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2010; 31(1):47–53.
 [DOI: 10.1086/649021] [PMID: 19951202]
- Mojtahedzadeh M, Panahi Y, Fazeli MR, Najafi A, Pazouki M, Navehsi BM, et al. Intensive care unit-acquired urinary tract infections in patients admitted with sepsis: etiology, risk factors, and patterns of antimicrobial resistance. Int J Infect Dis. 2008; 12(3):312–8.
 [DOI: 10.1016/j.ijid.2007.09.005] [PMID: 18032082]
- Hidron AI, Edwards JR, Patel J, Horan TC, Sievert DM, Pollock DA, et al. National Healthcare Safety Network Team; Participating National Healthcare Safety Network Facilities. NHSN annual update: antimicrobial-resistant pathogens associated with healthcareassociated infections: annual summary of data reported to the National Healthcare Safety Network at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2006-2007. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2008; 29(11):996–1011. [DOI: 10.1086/591861] [PMID: 18947320]
- Falagas ME, Kopterides P. Risk factors for the isolation of multidrugresistant Acinetobacter baumannii and Pseudomonas aeruginosa: a systemic review of the literature. J Hosp Infect. 2006; 64(1):7–15. [DOI: 10.1016/j.jhin.2006.04.015] [PMID: 16822583]
- Cornejo-Juárez P, Velásquez-Acosta C, Sandoval S, Gordillo P, Volkow-Fernández P. Patrones de resistencia bacteriana en urocultivos en un hospital oncológico. Salud Publica Mex. 2007; 49(5):330–6.

[DOI: 10.1590/S0036-36342007000500003] [PMID: 17952240]

- Farrell DJ, Morrissey I, De Rubeis D, Robbins M, Felmingham D. A UK multicentre study of the antimicrobial susceptibility of bacterial pathogens causing urinary tract infection. J Infect. 2003; 46(2):94– 100. [DOI: 10.1053/jinf.2002.1091] [PMID: 12634070]
- Johansen TE, Cek M, Naber KG, Stratchounski L, Svendsen MV, Tenke P. PEP and PEAP-study investigators; Board of the European Society of Infections in Urology Hospital acquired urinary tract infections in urology departments: pathogens, susceptibility and use of antibiotics. Data from the PEP and PEAP-studies. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2006; 28 Suppl 1:S91–107. [DOI: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2006.05.005] [PMID: 16829052]
- Gordon KA, Jones RN; SENTRY Participant Groups (Europe, Latin America, North America). Susceptibility patterns of orally administered antimicrobials among urinary tract infection pathogens from hospitalized patients in North America: comparison report to Europe and Latin America. Results from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (2000). Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2003; 45(4):295–301.
 [DOI: 10.1016/S0732-8893(02)00467-4] [PMID: 12730002]
- Cassier P, Lallechère S, Aho S, Astruc K, Neuwirth C, Piroth L, et al. Cephalosporin and fluoroquinolone combination are highly associated with CTX-M beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli: a case control study in a French teaching hospital. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2011; 17(11):1746–51. [DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2010.03349.x] [PMID: 20840333]
- Pakyz AL, Oinonen M, Polk RE. Relationship of carbapenem restriction in 22 university teaching hospitals to carbapenem use and carbapenem-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2009; 53(5):1983–6.
 [DOI: 10.1128/AAC.01535-08] [PMID: 19273670]
- Gould CV, Umscheid CA, Agarwal RK, Kuntz G, Pegues DA. Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. Guideline for prevention of catheter-associated urinary tract infections 2009. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2010; 31(4):319–26. [DOI: 10.1086/651091] [PMID: 20156062]

Фактори ризика за развој болничких инфекција уринарног тракта узрокованих мултирезистентним микроорганизмима

Зорана М. Ђорђевић¹, Марко М. Фолић^{2,3}, Јагода Гавриловић⁴, Слободан М. Јанковић^{2,3}

¹Клинички центар Крагујевац, Епидемиолошки одсек, Крагујевац, Србија;

²Универзитет у Крагујевцу, Факултет медицинских наука, Крагујевац, Србија;

³Клинички центар Крагујевац, Служба за клиничку фармакологију, Крагујевац, Србија;

⁴Клинички центар Крагујевац, Инфективна клиника, Крагујевац, Србија

КРАТАК САДРЖАЈ

Увод Болничке инфекције уринарног тракта чине и до 40% свих болничких инфекција и значајно доприносе болничком морбидитету, морталитету и расту укупних трошкова лечења. Циљ рада Циљ нашег истраживања био је да се утврде потенцијални фактори ризика за развој болничких инфекција уринарног тракта узрокованих мултирезистентним (МР) патогенима.

Методе рада Спроведена је клиничка студија типа случајконтрола у периоду од пет година у здравственој установи терцијарног нивоа здравствене делатности. Групу случајева чинили су болесници са болничким инфекцијама уринарног тракта проузрокованим МР бактеријама, док су контролну групу чинили пацијенти са болничким инфекцијама уринарног тракта узрокованим бактеријама које нису припадале претходно наведеној групи узрочника инфекција.

Резултати Укупно је било 562 (62,6%) болесника са верификованим МР изолатима, односно 336 (37,4%) пацијената са изолатима који нису припадали МР групи патогена. Идентификована су четири значајна предиктора која могу допринети развоју болничких инфекција уринарног тракта проузрокованих мултирезистентним патогенима: хоспитализација пре пласирања уринарног катетера дужа од осам дана ($OR_{adjusted} = 2,763;95\%$ Cl = 1,352-5,647, p = 0,005), дужина хоспитализација од 15 и више дана ($OR_{adjusted} = 2,144;95\%$ Cl = 1,547-2,970, p < 0,001), претходни боравак на другом одељењу (интензивна нега, друга одељења или болнице) ($OR_{adjusted} = 2,147;95\%$ Cl = 1,585-2,908, p < 0,001) и карциноми различитих локализација ($OR_{adjusted} = 2,313;95\%$ Cl =1,255-4,262; p = 0,007).

Закључак Правовремено (рано) уклањање уринарног катетера и смањење времена проведеног у болници или у интензивној нези могли би значајно да допринесу редукцији стопе болничких инфекција уринарног тракта проузрокованих мултирезистентним патогенима.

Кључне речи: инфекције уринарног тракта; болничке инфекције; мултипла резистенција на антибиотике; фактори ризика

Ревизија • Revision: 23/03/2016