

## THE WEAK AND THE STRONG EQUIVALENCE RELATION AND THE ASYMPTOTIC INVERSION

D. Djurčić, I. Mitrović and M. Janjić

### Abstract

In this paper we discuss the relationship between the weak and the strong asymptotic equivalence relation and the asymptotic inversion, for positive and measurable functions defined on a half-axis  $[a, +\infty)$  ( $a > 0$ ).

As the main results, we prove a certain characterizations of the functional class of all rapidly varying functions, as well as some other functional classes.

## 1 Introduction

A function  $f : [a, +\infty) \mapsto (0, +\infty)$  ( $a > 0$ ) is called  $\mathcal{O}$ -regularly varying in the sense of Karamata if it is measurable and

$$\bar{k}_f(\lambda) := \overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(\lambda x)}{f(x)} < +\infty \quad (\lambda > 0). \quad (1)$$

Condition (1) is equivalent to the condition

$$\underline{k}_f(\lambda) := \underline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(\lambda x)}{f(x)} > 0 \quad (\lambda > 0). \quad (2)$$

$\bar{k}_f(\lambda)$  ( $\lambda > 0$ ) is called the *index function* of  $f$ , and  $\underline{k}_f(\lambda)$  ( $\lambda > 0$ ) the *auxiliary index function* of  $f$ .  $ORV$  is the class of all  $\mathcal{O}$ -regularly varying functions defined on some interval  $[a, +\infty)$ .

A function  $f \in ORV$  is called *regularly varying* in sense of Karamata if  $\bar{k}_f(\lambda) = \lambda^\rho$  for all  $\lambda > 0$  and some  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ ; then,  $\rho$  is the general index of variability of  $f$ . The class of all regularly varying functions is denoted  $RV$ . This class is the main object of the Karamata theory of regular variability (e.g. see [14]) and its applications (see also [1], [2] and [15]).

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classifications.* 26A12.

*Key words and Phrases.* Regular variation, asymptotic inversion, weak asymptotic equivalence.

Received: March 16, 2011; Revised: April 27, 2011

Communicated by Ljubiša D.R. Kočinac

This paper is supported by the Ministry of Science and Technological Development of Republic of Serbia.

A function  $f \in RV$  is called *slowly varying* in the sense of Karamata (see e.g. [14]), if its general index of variability  $\rho = 0$ . This class of functions is denoted by  $SV$  (see [2] and [15]).

A measurable function  $f : [a, +\infty) \mapsto (0, +\infty)$  ( $a > 0$ ) belongs to the class  $PI$  if  $\underline{k}_f(\lambda_0) > 1$  for some  $\lambda_0 > 1$  ([5]).

A measurable function  $f : [a, +\infty) \mapsto (0, +\infty)$  ( $a > 0$ ) belongs to the class  $PI^*$  if there is a  $\lambda_0 \geq 1$  such that

$$\underline{k}_f(\lambda) > 1, \quad \text{for all } \lambda > \lambda_0.$$

For  $\lambda_0 = 1$  we obtain the class  $ARV$  (see [11]).

The class  $PI^*$  is a subclass of the class  $PI$  (see e.g. [5]) More information about these classes can be found in [7] and [12].

A function  $f \in ARV$  is called *rapidly varying* in the sense of de Haan, with index  $\infty$  (i.e. belonging to the class  $R_\infty$ ) if  $\underline{k}_f(\lambda) = +\infty$  for all  $\lambda > 1$  (see [2], [6] and [13]). The class  $PI^*$  contains as a proper subclass, the class of regularly varying functions whose Karamata index of variability  $\rho$  is positive, but it does not contain any element from the class of slowly varying Karamata functions.

Next, let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{f : [a, +\infty) \mapsto (0, +\infty) (a > 0) \mid f \text{ is nondecreasing and unbounded}\}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{A} \cap PI^* = \mathcal{A} \cap PI$ . Next, let  $\mathcal{A}^0$  be the set of all functions  $f : [a, +\infty) \mapsto (0, +\infty)$  ( $a > 0$ ). We notice that  $\mathcal{A} \subsetneq \mathcal{A}^0$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{A}^0$ , define  $\{f\} = \{g \in \mathcal{A}^0 \mid f(x) \asymp g(x), x \rightarrow +\infty\}$ , where  $f(x) \asymp g(x)$ ,  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , is the weak asymptotic equivalence relation defined by

$$0 < \liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \leq \overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} < +\infty$$

(see e.g. [2]).

For any function  $f \in \mathcal{A}^0$  put  $[f] = \{g \in \mathcal{A}^0 \mid f(x) \sim g(x), x \rightarrow +\infty\}$ , where  $f(x) \sim g(x)$ ,  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , is the strong asymptotic equivalence relation defined by

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 1.$$

For any  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $f^-(x) = \inf\{y \geq a \mid f(y) > x\}$  ( $x \geq f(a)$ ) is called the *generalized inverse* of  $f$  (see e.g. [2]).

If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is continuous and strictly increasing, then  $f^-(x) = f^{-1}(x)$  for  $x \geq f(a)$ . Besides,  $f^- \in \mathcal{A}$  whenever  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . For any right continuous function  $g \in \mathcal{A}$  there is an  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  ( $f(x) = g^-(x)$ ,  $x \geq g(a)$ ) such that  $g = f^-$ .

Two arbitrary functions  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}^0$  are called *mutually inversely asymptotic* (which is denoted by  $f(x) \overset{*}{\sim} g(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ), if for every  $\lambda > 1$ , there is an  $x_0 = x_0(\lambda) \geq a$  such that

$$f(x/\lambda) \leq g(x) \leq f(\lambda x),$$

for every  $x \geq x_0$  (see e.g. [1], [2] and [11]).

From a result in [2] we get that for any functions  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$  we have  $f(x)^* \sim g(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$  if and only if  $f^\leftarrow(x) \sim g^\leftarrow(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ .

In the next proposition (see e.g. [1] or [2]) a result, which was an initial motivation for considering similar problems (e.g. see [8], [10],[11], [12]), is obtained. This result is the main motivation for this paper, too.

**Proposition A.** *Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}^0$  and  $f \in RV$ , where  $\rho > 0$  is the general index of variability of  $f$ . If  $f(x) \sim g(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ), then  $f(x)^* \sim g(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ).*

**Remark 1.** In [3] and [4], several modifications of this proposition are considered.

In [8] and [11] some results which expand Proposition A are proved, and they are contained in the following proposition.

**Proposition B.** *Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}^0$  and  $f \in ARV$ . If  $f(x) \sim g(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ), then  $f(x)^* \sim g(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ).*

In [11] the following question is posed:

$Q_1$ : *Is the class ARV the widest possible class for which Proposition B is satisfied?*

The answer to this question is affirmative in the case when the functions  $f$  and  $g$  in Proposition B are from the class  $\mathcal{A}$  instead from the class  $\mathcal{A}^0$  (see [8] and [11]).

Two functions  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}^0$  are called *mutually inverse weak asymptotic* (denoted  $f(x) \asymp^* g(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ) if there is a  $\lambda_0 \geq 1$  such that for every  $\lambda > \lambda_0$  there is an  $x_0 = x_0(\lambda) > 0$  so that

$$f(x/\lambda) \leq g(x) \leq f(\lambda x),$$

for all  $x \geq x_0$  (see e.g. [12]).

From a result in [12] it follows that for arbitrary functions  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$  we have  $f(x) \asymp^* g(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , if and only if  $f^\leftarrow(x) \asymp g^\leftarrow(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Next result (see [12]) is a modification of Proposition A, i.e. B.

**Proposition C.** *Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}^0$  and  $f \in PI^*$ . If  $f(x) \asymp g(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ), then  $f(x) \asymp^* g(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ).*

In [12] the following question is posed:

$Q_2$ : *Is the class  $PI^*$  the widest possible class for which the Proposition C is satisfied?*

The answer to this question is affirmative if we replace  $\mathcal{A}^0$  with  $\mathcal{A}$  (see [12]).

**Remark 2.** In [9] the affirmative answer to question  $Q_2$  is given, in the case when we consider only strictly increasing and continuous functions from the class  $\mathcal{A}$ .

## 2 Main results

In the following propositions we shall give the affirmative answers to the questions  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ , so we shall get some characterizations for the classes  $ARV$  and  $PI^*$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be arbitrary measurable functions from the class  $\mathcal{A}^0$ . If  $f(x) \overset{*}{\sim} g(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ) whenever  $f(x) \sim g(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ), then  $f \in ARV$ . Every  $g$  satisfying the above condition also belongs to  $ARV$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}^0$  be an arbitrary measurable function such that  $f(x) \overset{*}{\sim} g(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ) whenever  $f(x) \sim g(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ),  $g \in \mathcal{A}^0$  and is measurable.

Take  $g = f$ . Since  $f(x) \sim f(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$  we find that  $f(x) \overset{*}{\sim} f(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ). Hence, for every  $\lambda > 1$ , there is an  $x_0(\lambda) = x_0 \geq a$  such that  $f(x/\lambda) \leq f(x) \leq f(\lambda x)$  ( $x \geq x_0$ ). Consequently,  $\frac{f(\lambda x)}{f(x)} \geq 1$ , and so  $\underline{k}_f(\lambda) \geq 1$  ( $\lambda > 1$ ). Next, we shall prove that  $\underline{k}_f(\lambda) > 1$  for every  $\lambda > 1$ .

Contrarily, assume that there is a  $\lambda > 1$  such that  $\underline{k}_f(\lambda) = 1$ . Now, we distinguish between two cases.

1<sup>0</sup>. There is an increasing and unbounded sequence  $(x_n)$ ,  $x_n \geq a$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) such that  $\frac{f(\lambda x_n)}{f(x_n)} = 1$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). If we define  $g(x) = (1 + \frac{1}{x}) \cdot f(x)$  ( $x \geq a$ ), we find that  $f(x) \sim g(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ), so that for those  $\lambda$  we have that

$$f(x/\lambda) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right) \cdot f(x) \leq f(\lambda x),$$

for every  $x \geq x_0(\lambda) = x_0 \geq a$ .

Hence, for those  $x$  and  $\lambda$  we have  $\frac{f(\lambda x)}{f(x)} \geq 1 + \frac{1}{x} > 1$ , and this also holds if  $x$  equals to some element of the sequence  $x_n$  which is greater than (or equal)  $a$ . But this obviously contradicts to the assumption from the case 1<sup>0</sup>.

2<sup>0</sup>. There is an increasing and unbounded sequence  $(x_n)$  ( $x_n \geq a, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(\lambda x_n)}{f(x_n)} = 1$  and a sequence  $a_n > 1$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), where  $a_n = \frac{f(\lambda x_n)}{f(x_n)}$ . Notice that in this case, we also have that  $\underline{k}_f(\lambda) = 1$ .

Next, define a function  $u(x)$  ( $x \geq a$ ) as follows:  $u(x_n) = a_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ),  $u(x)$  is linear and continuous on every interval  $[x_{n-1}, x_n]$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), where  $x_0 = a$  and  $u(a) = a_1$ . Then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} u(x) = 1$ . If we define  $g(x) = u^2(x) \cdot f(x)$  ( $x \geq a$ ) then  $g \in [f]$ , and for the considered  $\lambda$  we have  $f(x/\lambda) \leq u^2(x) \cdot f(x) \leq f(\lambda x)$  ( $x \geq x_0(\lambda) = x_0 \geq a$ ). Hence, for those  $x$  and  $\lambda$  we find that  $\frac{f(\lambda x)}{f(x)} \geq u^2(x)$ , and this inequality is also true for values  $x$  which are equal to the elements of the sequence  $x_n$ , which are greater than (or equal)  $a$ . Finally, for the same  $\lambda$  and sufficiently large  $n$  it follows that  $\frac{f(\lambda x_n)}{f(x_n)} \geq u^2(x_n) > u(x_n) = a_n$ . But this obviously contradicts the assumption from the Case 2<sup>0</sup>.

Therefore, we have shown that  $\underline{k}_f(\lambda) > 1$  for every  $\lambda > 1$ , so that  $f \in ARV$ .

Next, suppose that  $f \in ARV$  and  $g \in \mathcal{A}^0$  is such that  $f(x) \sim g(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then  $\underline{k}_g(\lambda) \geq \underline{k}_f(\lambda) > 1$  for every  $\lambda > 1$ , so that  $g \in ARV$ . This completes the proof.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be arbitrary measurable functions from the class  $\mathcal{A}^0$ . If  $f(x) \overset{*}{\asymp} g(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ) whenever  $f(x) \asymp g(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ), then  $f \in PI^*$ . All  $g$  satisfying the above condition also belong to  $PI^*$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be an arbitrary measurable function from the class  $\mathcal{A}^0$  which satisfies the condition above. We shall prove that  $f \in PI^*$ . For  $x \geq a$ , define  $g(x) = 2 \cdot f(x)$ . Then  $g \in \{f\}$ , so there is a  $\lambda_0 \geq 1$  such that for every  $\lambda > \lambda_0$  we have  $f(x/\lambda) \leq 2 \cdot f(x) \leq f(\lambda x)$  ( $x \geq x_0(\lambda) = x_0 \geq a$ ). For those  $\lambda$  and  $x$  we obtain  $\frac{f(\lambda x)}{f(x)} \geq 2$ , so that  $\underline{k}_f(\lambda) = \liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(\lambda x)}{f(x)} \geq 2 > 1$ . Thus, for every  $\lambda > \lambda_0 \geq 1$  we have  $\underline{k}_f(\lambda) > 1$  and hence  $f \in PI^*$ .

Next, let  $f \in PI^* \cap \mathcal{A}^0$  be an arbitrary function, and  $g$  be arbitrary measurable function from the class  $\mathcal{A}^0$  with the property  $g \in \{f\}$ . Then  $g(x) = h(x) \cdot f(x)$  for  $x \geq a$ , where  $h(x)$  ( $x \geq a$ ) is a measurable function, and  $0 < 1/M \leq h(x) \leq M < +\infty$  for some  $M > 1$  and all sufficiently large  $x$ . Consequently, we have that  $\underline{k}_g(\lambda) \geq \frac{1}{M^2} \cdot \underline{k}_f(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda > \lambda_0 \geq 1$ . Since  $f \in PI^*$ , by a result from [12] we find that  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \underline{k}_f(\lambda) = +\infty$ . Therefore, for all sufficiently large  $x$  (and for all  $\lambda > \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_0 \geq 1$ ) we have  $\underline{k}_f(\lambda) > M^2$ . This gives  $\underline{k}_g(\lambda) > 1$  for all  $\lambda > \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_0 \geq 1$ . Hence,  $g \in PI^*$ . This completes the proof.

Further, we consider two more modifications of Proposition A, and for them we discuss the appropriate claims related to Propositions 1 and 2. In this way, we obtain some characterizations of the classes  $PI^*$  and  $R_\infty$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}^0$  and  $f \in PI^*$ . If  $f(x) \sim g(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ), then  $f(x) \overset{*}{\asymp} g(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ).*

**Proof.** The statement of this proposition is a direct corollary of Proposition C ([12]).

In the next proposition we prove that  $PI^*$  is the widest class of functions  $f$ , for which the previous proposition remains true.

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be arbitrary measurable functions from the class  $\mathcal{A}^0$ , and let  $f(x) \overset{*}{\asymp} g(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ) whenever  $f(x) \sim g(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ). Then  $f \in PI^*$ . All  $g$  satisfying the above condition also belong to  $PI^*$ .*

**Proof.** The proof of this claim is very similar to the corresponding proof of Proposition 1. The only difference is as follows: in Proposition 1 an arbitrary  $\lambda > 1$  is taken, while here any  $\lambda > \lambda_0 \geq 1$  for an arbitrary  $\lambda_0 \geq 1$ , is taken.

In the next two propositions we give a characterization of the class  $R_\infty$ , the well-known de Haan's class of rapidly varying functions (see e.g. [13], [2], [6] and [10]).

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be arbitrary functions from the class  $\mathcal{A}^0$  and  $f \in R_\infty$ . If  $f(x) \asymp g(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ), then  $f(x) \overset{*}{\sim} g(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ).*

**Proof.** First, note that  $\frac{1}{M} \leq \frac{g(x)}{f(x)} \leq M$ , for some  $M > 1$  and every  $x \geq x_1(M) = x_1 \geq a$ . Further, for any  $\lambda > 1$  we have that  $\frac{f(x)}{f(\lambda x)} = c_f(\lambda, x) > 0$  ( $x \geq a$ ), and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} c_f(\lambda, x) = 0$ . For those  $\lambda$  and  $x \geq x_1$  we find that  $g(x) \leq M \cdot f(x) = M \cdot c_f(\lambda, x) \cdot f(\lambda x)$ . Assuming that  $M < +\infty$ , then for every  $\lambda > 1$  we have that  $g(x) \leq f(\lambda x)$  ( $x \geq x_1^*(\lambda) = x_1^* \geq a$ ), where  $x_1^* = \max\{x_1, x_1'\}$  and  $x_1' = x_1'(\lambda) \geq a$ . Hence,  $c_f(\lambda, x) \cdot M \leq 1$  for every  $x \geq x_1'$ .

Therefore,  $g(x) \geq \frac{1}{M} \cdot f(x)$  for  $x \geq x_1$ . Since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(x)}{f(x/\lambda)} = +\infty$  for every  $\lambda > 1$ , we have  $\frac{f(x)}{f(x/\lambda)} = d_f(\lambda, x) > 0$  for  $x \geq a$ , and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} d_f(\lambda, x) = +\infty$ , for every  $\lambda > 1$ . Hence, for every  $\lambda > 1$  we obtain  $d_f(\lambda, x) \cdot \frac{1}{M} \geq 1$ , for every  $x \geq x_2' = x_2'(\lambda) \geq a$ . In other words, for every  $\lambda > 1$  we have that  $g(x) \geq \frac{1}{M} \cdot f(x) = \frac{1}{M} \cdot d_f(\lambda, x) \cdot f(x/\lambda) \geq f(x/\lambda)$  for every  $x \geq x_2^*(\lambda) = x_2^* \geq a$ , where  $x_2^* = \max\{x_1, x_2'\}$ . Finally, for every  $\lambda > 1$  we obtain that  $f(x/\lambda) \leq g(x) \leq f(\lambda x)$  for every  $x \geq x_0 = x_0(\lambda) = \max\{x_1^*, x_2^*\} \geq a$ . This means that  $f(x) \overset{*}{\sim} g(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be arbitrary measurable functions from the class  $\mathcal{A}^0$ . If  $f(x) \overset{*}{\sim} g(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ) whenever  $f(x) \asymp g(x)$  ( $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ), then  $f \in R_\infty$ . All  $g$  satisfying the condition above also belong to  $R_\infty$ .*

**Proof.** The proof of this proposition is mostly similar to the proof of Proposition 2, but some parts of these proofs differ. Hence, we shall give the entire proof.

Let  $f$  be an arbitrary measurable function from the class  $\mathcal{A}^0$  and let  $\alpha$  be an arbitrary positive number. Next, let  $g(x) = \alpha \cdot f(x)$  ( $x \geq a$ ). Then  $g \in \{f\}$  and we have that  $f(x) \overset{*}{\sim} g(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . Hence, for any  $\lambda > 1$  we have that  $f(x/\lambda) \leq g(x) \leq f(\lambda x)$ , for every  $x \geq x_0(\lambda) = x_0 \geq a$ . For those  $\lambda$  and  $x$  we find that  $f(x/\lambda) \leq \alpha \cdot f(x) \leq f(\lambda x)$ .

Now, (for the same  $\lambda$  and  $x$ ) we find that  $\alpha \leq \frac{f(\lambda x)}{f(x)}$ . Hence, for any  $\lambda > 1$  we have that  $\underline{k}_f(\lambda) \geq \alpha$ , where  $\alpha > 0$  is arbitrary. So, for any  $\lambda > 1$ , we have that  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} \underline{k}_f(\lambda) \geq \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha = +\infty$ , thus for these  $\lambda$  we have  $\underline{k}_f(\lambda) = \bar{k}_f(\lambda) = +\infty$ . Therefore, for any  $\lambda > 1$ , we obtain that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(\lambda x)}{f(x)} = +\infty$ , i.e. we have  $f \in R_\infty$ .

Next, let  $f \in R_\infty$  and  $g \in \{f\}$ , where  $g \in \mathcal{A}^0$  and is measurable. As in the proof of Proposition 2 we have that  $\underline{k}_g(\lambda) \geq \frac{1}{M^2} \cdot \underline{k}_f(\lambda) = +\infty$  for every  $\lambda > 1$  and arbitrary large number  $M > 1$ . But this gives that  $g \in R_\infty$ . This completes the proof.

## References

- [1] A.A. Balkema, J.L. Geluk, L. de Haan, *An extension of Karamata's Tauberian theorem and its connection with complementary convex functions*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 30 (1979), 385–416 .
- [2] N.H. Bingham, C.M. Goldie, J.L. Teugels, *Regular Variation*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [3] V.V. Buldygin, O.I. Klesov, J.G. Steinebach, *Properties of a subclass of Avakumović functions and their generalized inverses*, Ukr. Math. Zh. 54 (2002), 179–206.
- [4] V.V. Buldygin, O.I. Klesov, J.G. Steinebach, *Some properties of asymptotic quasi-inverse function and their applications*, I, Theor. Prob. Math. Stat. 70 (2005), 11–28.
- [5] V.V. Buldygin, O.I. Klesov, J.G. Steinebach, *On some properties of asymptotically quasi-inverse function*, Teor. Imovirn. Mat. Stat. 77 (2007), 13–27 (in Ukrainian); English transl.: Theor. Prob. Math. Stat. 77 (2008), 15–30.
- [6] D. Djurčić, Lj.D.R. Kočinac, M.R. Žižović, *Some properties of rapidly varying sequences*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 327 (2007), 1297–1306.
- [7] D. Djurčić, Lj.D.R. Kočinac, M.R. Žižović, *A few remarks on divergent sequences: Rates of divergence II*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 367 (2010), 705–709.
- [8] D. Djurčić, A. Torgašev, *Strong asymptotic equivalence and inversion of functions in the class  $K_c$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. 255 (2001), 383–390.
- [9] D. Djurčić, A. Torgašev, *Weak asymptotic equivalence and inverse functions in the class  $OR$* , Math. Moravica 7 (2003), 1–6.
- [10] D. Djurčić, A. Torgašev, *Some asymptotic relations for the generalized inverse*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 325 (2007), 1397–1402.
- [11] D. Djurčić, A. Torgašev, S. Ješić, *The strong asymptotic equivalence and the generalized inverse*, Siber. Math. J. 49 (2008), 786–795.
- [12] D. Djurčić, R. Nikolić, A. Torgašev, *The weak asymptotic equivalence and the generalized inverse*, Lith. Math. J. 50 (2010), 34–42.
- [13] L. de Haan, *On Regular Variation and its Applications to the Weak Convergence of Sample Extremes*, Math. Centre Tracts, Vol. 32, CWI, Amsterdam, 1970.
- [14] J. Karamata, *Sur un mode de croissance reguliere des fonctions*, Mathematica (Cluj) 4 (1930), 38–53.
- [15] E. Seneta, *Functions of Regular Variation*, LNM, Vol. 506, Springer, New York, 1976.

Dragan Djurčić  
University of Kragujevac, Technical Faculty in Čačak, Svetog Save 65, 32000 Čačak,  
Serbia  
*E-mail:* dragandj@tfc.kg.ac.rs

Ivan Mitrović  
Čočetova 20, 35000 Jagodina, Serbia  
*E-mail:* imitrovic@nadlanu.com

Mladen Janjić  
University of Kragujevac, Technical Faculty in Čačak, Svetog Save 65, 32000 Čačak,  
Serbia  
*E-mail:* mjanjic@tfc.kg.ac.rs